

EXHIBIT 11

Case No. 2-1998-023S

J/25

EXHIBIT 11

September 3, 1998

Terry R. Woods

EXPLANATION OF FIGURE SEVEN IN REPORT NO. 95-1021

In comparing Figure 7 in the first report issued (RIMS No. E13 950502 302) to Figure 7 in the second report issued (RIMS No. E13 950619 303), there were some differences observed. The first one addressed fractured Sample A and Sample B. The second one addressed the "whole screw" Sample A and Sample H.

The first report was done on an emergency basis and all of the samples received were not completely analyzed. After issuing the first report, a request was made to perform additional testing/analysis (metallography) on those screws that were not addressed in the initial report. That work was then performed and an endorsement was issued that stated the findings of the additional testing/analysis.

After it was determined that a second report would need to be issued, it was decided to incorporate the results of the additional testing. In order to keep the flow of the original report, the initial Figure 7 was revised to include these results. Figure 7 was chosen because the cracking observed in the original figure 7 (depicting samples A and B) was similar to the cracking observed in Sample H and in the "whole screw A" depicted in the revised Figure 7.

Therefore, this figure substitution which incorporates Sample H instead of B, was performed in order to include additional test/analysis results while eliminating duplication of a similar failure mode information.



Delisa L. Fischer, Metallurgical Engineer
Analysis and Evaluation Services
Central Laboratories and Field Testing Services
PSC-18-C

EXHIBIT 11
PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGE(S)

2-1998-0235

TOTAL P.25