

May 3, 1996

Mr. George A. Hunger, Jr.
Director-Licensing, MC 62A-1
PECO Energy Company
Nuclear Group Headquarters
Correspondence Control Desk
P.O. Box 195
Wayne, PA 19087-0195

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION
DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING, LIMERICK GENERATING
STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M95285 AND M95286)

Dear Mr. Hunger:

Enclosed is a copy of the subject notice for your information. This notice
relates to your application dated April 25, 1996, for the Limerick Generating
Station (LGS), Units 1 and 2, which would relocate the technical specification
(TS) Traversing In-Core Probe System Limiting Condition for Operation
3/4.3.7.7 and its Bases 3/4.3.7.7, to the LGS Technical Requirements Manual
and modify Note (f) of TS Table 4.3.1.1-1.

This notice will be forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for
Publication.

Sincerely,
/s/

Frank Rinaldi, Project Manager
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-352/353

Enclosure: Notice

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File OGC
PUBLIC ACRS
PDI-2 Reading WPasciak, RGN-I
SVarga
JZwolinski
JStolz
FRinaldi
MO'Brien

OFFICE	PDI-2/CLB	PDI-2/PM	PDI-2/D		
NAME	MO'Brien	FRinaldi:rb	JStolz RAB		
DATE	5/2/96	5/2/96	5/2/96 for		

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY
DOCUMENT NAME: LI95285.IND

000000
9605090204 960503
PDR ADOCK 05000352
P PDR

ING FILE CENTER COPY

JFol



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

May 3, 1996

Mr. George A. Hunger, Jr.
Director-Licensing, MC 62A-1
PECO Energy Company
Nuclear Group Headquarters
Correspondence Control Desk
P.O. Box 195
Wayne, PA 19087-0195

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION
DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING, LIMERICK GENERATING
STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M95285 AND M95286)

Dear Mr. Hunger:

Enclosed is a copy of the subject notice for your information. This notice relates to your application dated April 25, 1996, for the Limerick Generating Station (LGS), Units 1 and 2, which would relocate the technical specification (TS) Traversing In-Core Probe System Limiting Condition for Operation 3/4.3.7.7 and its Bases 3/4.3.7.7, to the LGS Technical Requirements Manual and modify Note (f) of TS Table 4.3.1.1-1.

This notice will be forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for Publication.

Sincerely,

Frank Rinaldi, Project Manager
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-352/353

Enclosure: Notice

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. George A. Hunger, Jr.
PECO Energy Company

Limerick Generating Station,
Units 1 & 2

cc:

J. W. Durham, Sr., Esquire
Sr. V.P. & General Counsel
PECO Energy Company
2301 Market Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Mr. Rich R. Janati, Chief
Division of Nuclear Safety
PA Dept. of Environmental Resources
P. O. Box 8469
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-8469

Mr. David P. Helker, MC 62A-1
Manager-Limerick Licensing
PECO Energy Company
965 Chesterbrook Boulevard
Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-5691

Mr. Michael P. Gallagher
Director - Site Engineering
Limerick Generating Station
P. O. Box A
Sanatoga, Pennsylvania 19464

Mr. Walter G. MacFarland, Vice President
Limerick Generating Station
Post Office Box A
Sanatoga, Pennsylvania 19464

Mr. James L. Kantner
Manager-Experience Assessment
Limerick Generating Station
P. O. Box A
Sanatoga, Pennsylvania 19464

Mr. Robert Boyce
Plant Manager
Limerick Generating Station
P.O. Box A
Sanatoga, Pennsylvania 19464

Library
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region I
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Regional Administrator
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region I
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. Ludwig E. Thibault
Senior Manager - Operations
Limerick Generating Station
P. O. Box A
Sanatoga, Pennsylvania 19464

Mr. Neil S. Perry
Senior Resident Inspector
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 596
Pottstown, Pennsylvania 19464

Dr. Judith Johnsrud
National Energy Committee
Sierra Club
433 Orlando Avenue
State College, PA 16803

Mr. Darryl P. Lequia
Director - Site Support Services
Limerick Generating Station
P.O. Box A
Sanatoga, Pennsylvania 19464

Chairman
Board of Supervisors
of Limerick Township
646 West Ridge Pike
Linfield, PA 19468

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONPHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANYDOCKET NOS. 50-352 AND 50-353NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. NPF-39 and NPF-85 issued to Philadelphia Electric Company (the licensee) for operation of the Limerick Generating Station (LGS), Units 1 and 2, located in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania.

The proposed amendment would relocate the Technical Specifications (TSs) Traversing In-Core Probe (TIP) System Limiting Condition For Operation (LCO) 3/4.3.7.7, and its Bases 3/4.3.7.7, to LGS Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) and modify Note (f) of TS Table 4.3.1.1-1, "Reactor Protection System Instrumentation Surveillance Requirements," to remove its reference to the TIP System in accordance with NRC NUREG-1433, "Standard Technical Specifications, General Electric Plants, BWR/4."

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant

increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed TS changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes will relocate requirements from TS to a licensee controlled document (i.e., TRM) and delete surveillance details pertaining to the TIP system which are already contained in licensee controlled documents. The relocated requirements will be retained in licensee controlled documents which will be maintained under the requirements of TS Administrative Controls Section 6.0 and the provisions of 10CFR50.59. Since any changes to licensee controlled documents are required to be evaluated per 10CFR50.59, no increase (significant or insignificant) in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated will be allowed.

In addition, these proposed changes will not affect any equipment important to safety, in structure or operation. These changes will not alter operation of process variables, structures, systems, or components as described in the safety analysis report and licensing basis. The changes will not increase the probability or consequences of occurrence of a malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the SAR [Safety Analysis Report].

2. The proposed TS changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes will not alter the plant configuration or change the methods governing normal plant operation. The changes will not impose different operating requirements and adequate control of information will be retained. The changes will not alter assumptions made in the safety analysis report and licensing basis. Since the proposed changes cannot cause an accident, and the plant response to the design basis events is unchanged, the changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed TS changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed changes to relocate requirements from TS to a licensee controlled document and modify surveillance details pertaining to the TIP system which are already contained in other licensee controlled documents have been performed under the guidance of NRC NUREG-1433, and the NRC Final Policy Statement noticed in the Federal Register on July 22, 1993 "Final Policy Statement on Technical Specifications Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors." PECO Energy has concluded that the TIP LCO and surveillance details do not meet any of the four criteria delineated in the NRC's Policy Statement and therefore, may be removed from TS. The relocated requirements will be retained in licensee controlled documents which will be maintained under the requirements of TS Administrative Controls Section 6.0 and the provisions of 10CFR50.59.

The existing requirements for NRC review and approval of revisions (in accordance with 10 CFR 50.90), pertaining to the details and requirements proposed for relocation, do not have a specific margin of safety upon which to evaluate. However, since the proposed changes are consistent with the BWR Improved Standard Technical Specifications (NUREG-1433, approved by the NRC Staff) and the change controls for proposed relocated requirements provide an equivalent level of regulatory authority, revising the TS to reflect the approved level of detail and requirements ensures no reduction in the margin of safety.

These changes will not reduce the margin of safety since they have no impact on any safety analysis assumptions. Since any future changes to the removed TIP System requirements will be evaluated under the requirements of 10CFR50.59, no reduction (significant or insignificant) in a margin of safety will be allowed. Therefore, the proposed TS changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of

written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By June 7, 1996, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Pottstown Public Library, 500 High Street, Pottstown, Pennsylvania 19464. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in

proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to John F. Stolz: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to J. W. Durham, Sr., Esquire, Sr. V.P. and General Counsel, Philadelphia Electric Company, 2301 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or

request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated April 25, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Pottstown Public Library, 500 High Street, Pottstown, Pennsylvania 19464.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of May 1996.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Frank Rinaldi, Project Manager
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation