



Union of Concerned Scientists

May 3, 2001

Mr. Chandu P. Patel
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Mail Stop 8 H12
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

**SUBJECT: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING PETITION PURSUANT TO 10
CFR 2.206 REGARDING NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS WITH SECURITY
PROVIDED BY WACKENHUT CORPORATION**

Dear Mr. Patel:

I appreciate your having contacted me regarding the information that I supplied with the subject petition dated April 24, 2001. As you pointed out, the nature of that information raised privacy questions. I should have addressed those questions within the petition and apologize for the additional staff efforts that had to be undertaken as a consequence.

I received this information directly from the security guard himself and specifically asked him if I could release/distribute the information publicly. He told me that since the information was already publicly available since it was a formal part of this Department of Labor filing, I could distribute it publicly. Therefore, I recommend that the staff include this material with the petition within the NRC Public Document Room and other public arenas.

Thank you again for checking with me about this matter. Privacy issues are important and I appreciate that the NRC double-checked to verify that the information was public.

I also need to make one minor correction to the petition itself. In my letter, I include Indian Point Unit 3 on the list of licensees covered by the petition. It is my understanding now that Wackenhut provides security for Indian Point Unit 2, but not to Indian Point Unit 3. Thus, please remove Indian Point Unit 3 from the scope of this petition.

Sincerely,

David A. Lochbaum
Nuclear Safety Engineer
Union of Concerned Scientists
Washington Office
e-mail: dlochbaum@ucsusa.org