MEMORANDUM TO: Lawrence E. Kokajko, Section Chief

Risk Task Group

Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

FROM: Candice M. Drummond, Chemical Engineer /RA/

Risk Task Group

Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

SUBJECT: Summary - Stakeholder Meeting to Discuss Case Studies on Gas

Chromatographs, Static Eliminators and Fixed Gauges

The purpose of the meeting was to communicate to stakeholders the status of the case studies; receive early feedback and comments from stakeholders before continuing with the case studies; and solicit from stakeholders comments or insights regarding the use of risk information in the NRC's regulation of gas chromatographs, static eliminators and fixed gauges. The referenced meeting was held in the NRC Auditorium on February 9, 2001. The meeting was noticed in the Federal Register (66 FR 2010, January 10, 2001).

The meeting transcripts, attendance lists, the presentation slides and meeting handouts are attached.

Summary:

On February 9, 2001, the Risk Task Group held a public meeting to discuss its case studies on gas chromatographs, static eliminators and fixed gauges. The meeting's objectives were (1) to discuss testing of draft screening criteria and development of safety goals through the case studies, and (2) to solicit recommendations and comments on how NRC should proceed with the case studies, apply the draft screening criteria, develop safety goals, and incorporate risk information into its regulatory program. Thirty-three stakeholders attended the case study meeting. The stakeholders were from industry, citizens' groups, and the NRC.

Meeting attendees provided feedback on the case studies and the use of risk information. Some meeting attendees questioned the purpose, selection and direction of the case studies. They commented specifically on expanding the scope of the site decommissioning case study. Some participants expressed the need for the NRC to look at the totality of additive dose from multiple sources to workers and members of the public.

The stakeholders recommended that the staff consider additional information (data and analytical studies) before making final conclusions in the respective case studies. One stakeholder recommended that the data on the thefts, losses and abandonments of gas chromatographs be considered with respect to the protection of property. Some attendees recommended that economic risk should be considered with dose and health effects in making a risk-informed decision. Remarks were made to reconsider public perception of the risk

involved with the use of gas chromatographs, static eliminators and fixed gauges. A stakeholder discussed having a problem with current regulations for fixed gauges. They stated that the regulations seem to be written for a nuclear power plant. One participant suggested that a risk-informed approach would lead to deregulation.

Issues related to public participation were also discussed. A recommendation was made by a stakeholder to have the stakeholder meetings accessible by live webcast to reach a larger stakeholder audience.

The staff emphasized that no decisions or final conclusions have been made on the case studies and that the staff would consider the stakeholders' feedback before continuing with the case studies.

Attachments:

- 1. Meeting Transcript
- 2. Attendance list
- 3. Presentation slides
- 4. Meeting handouts
 - -agenda
 - -Case Study Plan

involved with the use of gas chromatographs, static eliminators and fixed gauges. A stakeholder discussed having a problem with current regulations for fixed gauges. They stated that the regulations seem to be written for a nuclear power plant. One participant suggested that a risk-informed approach would lead to deregulation.

Issues related to public participation were also discussed. A recommendation was made by a stakeholder to have the stakeholder meetings accessible by live webcast to reach a larger stakeholder audience.

The staff emphasized that no decisions or final conclusions have been made on the case studies and that the staff would consider the stakeholders' feedback before continuing with the case studies.

Attachments:

- 1. Meeting Transcript
- 2. Attendance list
- 3. Presentation slides
- 4. Meeting handouts
 - -agenda
 - -Case Study Plan

DISTRIBUTION

RTG r/f NMSS r/f ADAMS ML <u>011490181 (Pkg.)</u> (ML091490159, ML011490101, ML011490134, ML011490146)

OFC	NMSS/RTG	NMSS/RTG		
NAME	CDrummond:CD	LKokajko:LK		
DATE	05/25/01	05/25/01		

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

ATTENDANCE LIST

1.	Marissa Bailey	NRC
2.	Albert Wong	NRC
3.	Jim Danna	NRC
4.	Ralph S. Heyer	Thermo Measuretek
5.	Fred Entwistle	3M Co.
6.	Elsa Nimmo	Honeywell-Measurex
7.	Lawrence Kokajko	NRC
8.	Maria Pavlova	DOE
9.	Jocelyn Mitchell	NRC
10.	Ronald Zelac	NRC
11.	George Powers	NRC
12.	Thomas Young	NRC
13.	Dennis Damon	NRC
14.	Clifton Farrell	NEI
15.	Diane D'Arrigo	Nuclear Information & Resource Service
16.	Josie Piccone	NRC
17.	Torre Taylor	NRC
18.	John Jankovich	NRC
19.	Candice Drummond	NRC
20.	Robert Bernero	
21.	Jonathan Fortkamp	ABB Automation
22.	Gary Caines	Honeywell
23.	Jack Ramsey	Neles Automation
24.	Roland Fletcher	State of Maryland

ATTENDANCE LIST

25.	Robert Bari	Brookhaven National Laboratory
26.	Vinod Mubayi	Brookhaven National Laboratory
27.	Joe Tenhet	PM-USA
28.	Jim Meyer	ISL
29.	Joel Lubenau	
30.	Felix Killar	NEI
31.	Marcus Page	Morgan Lewis
32.	Hugh Evans	AEA Technology
33.	Judith Johnsrud	Sierra Club / Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power
34.	Jim Smith	NRC
35.	Raeann Shane	NRC