

James Scarola Vice President Harris Nuclear Plant

SERIAL: HNP-01-081 10CFR50.4

MAY 18 2001

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTENTION: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT DOCKET NO. 50-400/LICENSE NO. NPF-63 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE STEAM GENERATOR REPLACEMENT **AND** POWER UPRATE LICENSE AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS

Dear Sir or Madam:

By letters dated October 4, 2000 and December 14, 2000, Carolina Power & Light Company (CP&L) submitted license amendment requests to revise the Harris Nuclear Plant (HNP) Facility Operating License and Technical Specifications to support steam generator replacement and to allow operation at an uprated reactor core power level of 2900 megawatts thermal (Mwt). NRC letter dated April 12, 2001 requested additional information to support staff review of the proposed license amendment requests. The requested information is provided by the Enclosures to this letter.

The enclosed information is provided as a supplement to our October 4, 2000 and December 14, 2000 submittals and does not change the purpose or scope of the submittals, nor does it change our initial determinations that the proposed license amendments represent a no significant hazards consideration.

Please refer any questions regarding the enclosed information to Mr. Eric McCartney at (919) 362-2661.

Apol

P.O Bo **<sup>165</sup>** New Hill, NC 27562

T> **919.362.2502**  F **>** 919.362.2095 Document Control Desk SERIAL: HNP-01-081 Page 2

Sincerely, ames. ) James Scarola Vice President Harris Nuclear Plant

James Scarola, having been first duly sworn, did depose and say that the information contained herein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief, and the sources of his information are employees, contractors, and agents of Carolina Power & Light Company.

uline arborou Notary (Seal)



My commission Expires:  $2 - 21 - 200$ 

Document Control Desk SERIAL: HNP-01-081 Page 3

KWS/kws

Enclosures:

- (1) RAI Responses (2 pages)
- (2) Calculation No. HNP-I/INST-1010, Revision 0 (119 pages)

c: Mr. J. B. Brady, NRC Senior Resident Inspector (w/o Enclosure 2) Mr. Mel Fry, NCDENR (w/o Enclosure 2) Mr. R. J. Laufer, NRC Project Manager Mr. L. A. Reyes, NRC Regional Administrator (w/o Enclosure 2)

# NRC Questions:

The staff has reviewed the RTS/ESFAS Technical Specifications setpoint changes. The licensee stated that setpoint and time constants changes are based on Westinghouse margin improvement methodology previously approved for Farley Nuclear Plant.

- (1) Please provide the Shearon Harris plant-specific instrument channel uncertainty calculations documentation that shows how all of the proposed TS setpoint changes in TS Tables 2.2-1 and 3.3-4 were calculated.
- (2) Explain the process used to generate and verify the uncertainty numbers listed in the setpoint documents.
- (3) Describe the licensee's practice to maintain the accuracy of the setpoint documents when a plant protection system instrumentation is modified.

# CP&L Response to NRC Question (1):

Calculation HNP-I/INST-1010, Rev. 0, "Evaluation of Tech Spec Related Setpoints, Allowable Values, and Uncertainties Associated With RTS/ESFAS Functions for Steam Generator Replacement (with Current 2787 MWT-NSSS Power or Uprate to 2912.4 MWT-NSSS Power)," is provided in its entirety to support this information request.

Note: CP&L letter HNP-01-044, dated March 27, 2001 submitted a proposed change to the operability determination (Z) term on page 3/4 3-32 of TS Table 3.3-4 for the Steam Generator Water Level High-High (P-14) setpoint. This proposed TS page change was submitted to the NRC as a replacement page to the initial TS page 3/4 3-32 mark-up submitted by CP&L letter HNP-00-142, dated October 4, 2000 and reflects CP&L's plans to replace the existing Tobar Model 32DP1 steam generator level transmitters with new Barton Model 764 transmitters. Please note, however, that calculation HNP-I/INST-1010 (enclosed herein) was prepared to support the use of both transmitter types in this application and thus includes calculations of Tech Spec terms for both the Tobar and Barton transmitters.

# CP&L Response to NRC Question (2):

The calculation provided in response to NRC question **1** (HNP-I/INST-1010, Rev **0)** also explains the process used to generate and verify the uncertainty numbers listed in the setpoint documents. That process is consistent with ISA Standard S67.04-1994, NRC Reg Guide 1.105, and the current Harris plant licensing basis. Tables 1-1 and 1-2 in the calculation define and compare the terms used to perform the original and proposed channel uncertainty analysis. Also, Figures 1 and 2 of the calculation depict the relationship between various Harris TS terms and channel uncertainty terms.

# CP&L Response to NRC Question **(3):**

The existing plant modification procedure provides programmatic requirements to maintain the accuracy of setpoint documentation with respect to design change reviews and subsequent implementation associated with the protection [RTS/ESFAS] system. This procedure contains a screening criteria process, which includes three questions related to instrumentation and controls (I&C) setpoints and time response. The design engineer must determine if the proposed modification will:

- \* affect the response time characteristics of equipment that are part of a required reactor trip or engineered safety features response time?
- affect any actuation or interlock circuit components which are part of a  $\blacksquare$ surveillance test used to verify operability of a reactor trip or engineered safety features actuation systems?
- affect any setpoints or margins to setpoints?

RTS/ESFAS-related setpoints are implemented only after scaling documents, surveillance test procedures, and the engineering database are revised to reflect the new setpoints. In addition, the Westinghouse NSSS Precautions, Limitations, and Setpoint (PLS) Document is maintained at HNP as a "living" document. For example, the PLS Document was revised for the  $T_{hot}$  Reduction and the RTD Bypass Manifold Elimination modifications. Similarly, implementation of Steam Generator Replacement and Power Uprate design modifications includes revision to the PLS Document as well.

# Clarifying Information

In the paragraph preceding the NRC questions, the "Westinghouse margin improvement methodology previously approved for Farley Nuclear Plant" is mentioned. Please note that, as stated in Enclosure 1 to CP&L letter HNP-00-142 dated October 4, 2000, the aforementioned methodology applies only to the development of OPAT and OTAT trip setpoint coefficients and time constants.

Enclosure 2 to SERIAL: HNP-01-081

## CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010

For

# EVALUATION OF TECH SPEC RELATED SETPOINTS, ALLOWABLE VALUES, AND UNCERTAINTIES ASSOCIATED WITH RTS/ESFAS FUNCTIONS FOR STEAM GENERATOR REPLACEMENT (WITH CURRENT 2787 MWT-NSSS POWER OR UPRATE TO 2912.4 MWT-NSSS POWER)

(119 PAGES TOTAL)



CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

# **CALCULATION** NO. HNP-I/INST-1010

FOR

EVALUATION OF TECH SPEC RELATED SETPOINTS, ALLOWABLE VALUES, AND UNCERTAINTIES ASSOCIATED WITH RTS/ESFAS FUNCTIONS FOR STEAM GENERATOR REPLACEMENT (WITH CURRENT 2787 MWT-NSSS POWER OR UPRATE TO 2912.4 MWT-NSSS POWER)

FOR

SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

NUCLEAR ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

QUALITY CLASS: **MA DB OC DD B** 



CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010

 $\sim$  and when the set

PAGE i REV. 0 . . . . . . . . . . . .

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 $\sim$ 

# LIST OF EFFECTIVE PAGES

manifesta de desde una anna annosciatura de annos de consideración no característica con el constructo de la c



CALCULATION NO.

HNP-I/INST-1010

PAGE REV.

ii  $\overline{\circ}$ 





# CALCULATION NO.

PAGE REV.

Ξ

 $\sim$ 

HNP-I/INST-1010 iii  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2}}}$ 

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Page No.



# CALCULATION NO.

PAGE REV.

HNP-I/INST-1010 iv

 $\overline{0}$ 

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)





CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 1 REV. 0

#### 1.1 Objective and Applicability

This calculation documents the basis for 'final' values specified in HNP Technical Specification Tables 2.2-1 and 3.3-4 [References 2.1 and 2.2], as a re sult of steam generator replacement [SGR] and/or power uprate [PUR] projects implementation. It serves to reconcile values shown in other documents pro duced for these projects, and to clarify determinations/specification of such values within these Tech Spec Tables for post-SGR/PUR operation.

#### 1.2 Functional and Operational Description

Tech Spec RTS/ESFAS Trip Setpoint Tables [References 2.1 and 2.2] and their associated Bases define limiting safety system settings [LSSS] and operability limits for Reactor Trip System [RTS] and Engineered Safety Features Actuation Systems [ESFAS] functions. Various instrumentation channel surveillances [e.g., channel calibrations and functional checks per MSTs and LPs] are<br>performed to demonstrate compliance with these RTS/ESFAS Tech Spec performed to demonstrate compliance with these RTS/ESFAS requirements. Acceptance criterion for these surveillances are generally defined within corresponding instrumentation channel scaling calculations (or electrical calculations, for RTS/ESFAS-related relay settings); scaling calculations, as revised for SGR/PUR implementation, should reflect the conclusions documented herein.

#### 1.3 Additional Background

Original engineering methodology and operability determination bases, for values defined in the Tech Spec RTS/ESFAS Trip Setpoint Tables, were contained in Westinghouse Letter Report FCQL-355 [Reference 2.3]. This methodology has been described as a "five-column" Tech Spec format. Its original intent was to minimize the number of licensing event reports (LERs) issued for inoperable instrumentation channels. The need for LER issuance was further reduced by NRC changes to IOCRF50.73 in 1983; reportability was only required if a loss of safety function occurred (versus the loss of a single channel).

Tech Spec-related RTS/ESFAS trip functions have also been defined within various site calculations [as listed within Reference 2.4 documentation]. Additionally, HNP FSAR Section 1.8 specifies a licensing commitment to RG 1.105, Rev. 1 [Reference 2.5].

Subsequent industry guidance was provided by ISA Standard S67.04 [Reference 2.6] and by RG 1.105 [as recently updated per Rev. 3 (dated 12/99)]. NGGC procedural guidance (per Reference 2.7) allows for the use of vendor-prepared calculations which comply with newly-updated ISA calculational methodology and/or maintain consistency with current licensing bases.

Westinghouse SGR/PUR-related evaluation of RTS/ESFAS trip functions was per formed and documented by WCAP-15249 [Reference 2.8] and various supporting Westinghouse uncertainty calculations [listed within Reference 2.9 documenta tion]. (This methodology has been described as a "two-column" Tech Spec for mat, which consists of the Trip Setpoint and the Allowable Value.) In gener al, this evaluation process was intended to update original methodology/bases to more current industry practices (with respect to a more standardized Tech Spec format, as well as an updated treatment of measurement uncertainties [relative to notifications listed by Reference 2.11]). For reference pur poses, correspondence listed per Reference 2.12 acknowledges CP&L design in-



puts (provided to Westinghouse) and other Westinghouse analysis inputs (speci fic for the HNP PUR/SGR projects) as noted within the Reference 2.10 listing.

Owing to the existing HNP licensing bases, the Tech Spec RTS/ESFAS Trip Setpoint Tables will be retained in their original "five-column" format. By retaining the existing HNP licensing bases, the current plant controls (for channel calibrations/surveillances and for operability determinations) can be maintained for the PUR/SGR implementation.

In most cases (i.e., except for steam generator [SG] narrow-range [N-R] level, Overtemperature/Overpower AT [OTAT/OPAT] trip channels) the instrument channels are physically (and/or analytically [by nominal setpoints and safety analysis limits]) unchanged, for PUR/SGR implementation, from their current plant operational and design requirements. Therefore, the current (preplant operational and design requirements. PUR/SGR) Tech Spec values shall be compared to those values computed herein, to evaluate the continued acceptability of current Tech Spec values (for post PUR/SGR operation). Furthermore, it can be concluded that existing Tech Spec term values shall continue to apply for all channels, unless a specific technical justification requires the modification of Tech Spec term values.

#### 2.0 LIST OF REFERENCES

- **1.** HNP Technical Specification Table 2.2-1, "Reactor Trip System Instrumen tation Trip Setpoints" [mark-up included in Table 4-1 herein].
- 2. HNP Technical Specification Table 3.3-4, "Engineered Safety Features Actuation System Instrumentation Trip Setpoints" [mark-up included in Table 4-2 herein].
- 3. Westinghouse Letter Report FCQL-355, Rev. **1,** dated July 1985, "Westinghouse Setpoint Methodology for Protection Systems, Shearon Harris" [ENDRAC 1364-053067, Rev. 3 contains the current revision of this methodology, at the time of issuance of this calculation].
- 4. **HNP** Calculations [associated with RTS/ESFAS trip functions]:
	- a. HNP-I/INST-1002, Rev. **1,** "Reactor Coolant Loss of Flow Error Analysis".
	- b. HNP-I/INST-1003, Rev. 2, "Pressurizer Pressure Protection Error Analysis (Loops P-455, P-456, P-457)".
	- c. HNP-I/INST-1030, Rev. 1, "Refueling Water Storage Tank Level Accuracy Calculation / L-990, L-991, L-992, L-993 for Shearon Harris EOP Setpoints / HESS I&C".
	- d. HNP-I/INST-1045, Rev. **1,** "Steam Generator Narrow Range Level: Low, Low-Low, and High-High Setpoints/Setpoint Accuracy Calculation; L-473 through L-476, L-483 through L-486, and L-493 through L-496".
		- NOTE: Bechtel-generated revision [Rev. IC, dated 4/11/00] of HNP I/INST-1045 has been prepared in support of the SG Replace ment Project [as transmitted by Bechtel project letter BH/2000-029].
	- e. HNP-I/INST-1049, Rev. 0, "Replacement of RCS Narrow Range RTDs; Acceptability Calculation; TE-412B1, 412B2, 412B3, 422B1, 422B2, 422B3, 432B1, 432B2, 432B3, 412D, 422D, 432D".
	- f. HNP-I/INST-1054, Rev. 0, "Turbine Throttle Valve Closure Uncertainty and Scaling Calculation".

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010

PAGE 3

- REV. 0
- g. HNP-I/INST-1055, Rev. 0, "Turbine Low Hydraulic Pressure Trip Uncertainty and Scaling Calculation".
- h. EQS-2, Rev. 6, "Refueling Water Storage Tank Level Setpoint".
- i. **E2-0010,** Rev. 5, "Undervoltage Relays: Reactor Coolant Pump Motors **1A,** lB & IC".
- j. E2-0011, Rev. 4, "Underfrequency Relays: Reactor Coolant Pump Motors **1A,** lB & IC".
- k. E2-0005.09, Rev. **1,** "Degraded Grid Voltage Protection for 6.9KV Busses IA-SA & lB-SB".
- **1.** 0054-JRG, Rev. 2, "PSB-1 Loss of Offsite Power Relay Settings".
- 5. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.105, "Instrument Setpoints For Safety Related Systems". NOTE: FSAR Section 1.8 states HNP commitment to Rev. 1 of this RG; current version of RG is Rev. 3 (issued 12/99).
- 6. ISA Standard S67.04, Part i, 1994, "Setpoints for Nuclear Safety-Related Instrumentation".
- 7. CP&L Procedure EGR-NGGC-0153, Rev. 7, "Engineering Instrument Setpoints"
- 8. WCAP-15249, Rev. 0, dated April 2000, "Westinghouse Protection System Setpoint Methodology for Harris Nuclear Plant (for Uprate to 2912.4 MWT NSSS Power and Replacement Steam Generators)" [designated as Westing house Proprietary Class 2C; transmitted by project letter CQL-00-141J.
- 9. Westinghouse Calculation Notes [associated with RTS/ESFAS setpoint uncer tainties]; designated as Westinghouse Proprietary Class 2:
	- a. CN-TSS-98-19, Rev. 2, dated 3/99, "Harris (CQL) Control/Protection Uncertainty and Setpoint Analysis for Delta-75 Replacement Steam Generators (RSG) and Uprate to 2912.4 MWt-NSSS Power" [transmitted to CP&L by Bechtel project letter BH/98-067].
	- b. CN-TSS-98-33, Rev. **1,** dated 9/13/99, "Harris (CQL) Overtemperature and Overpower Delta-T Reactor Trip Setpoints for Uprate to 2912.4 Mwt-NSSS Power" [transmitted by project letter CQL-99-088].
	- c. CN-SSO-99-03, Rev. **1,** dated 9/17/99, "Harris (CQL) Pressurizer Pres sure - Low Reactor Trip, Pressurizer Pressure - High Reactor Trip, Pressurizer Pressure - Low Safety Injection and P-11 Permissive Set-<br>points for Uprate to 2912.4 Mwt - NSSS Fower" [transmitted by points for Uprate to  $2912.4$  Mwt - NSSS Power" project letter CQL-99-092].
	- d. CN-SSO-99-5, Rev. **1,** dated 9/7/99, "Pressurizer Water Level High Reactor Trip Setpoint Uncertainty Calculation for Harris Uprate to 2912.4 MWt, NSSS Power" [transmitted by project letter CQL-99-084].
	- e. CN-SSO-99-7, Rev. **1,** dated 9/21/99, "Harris Steamline Pressure-Low and Negative Steamline Pressure Rate-High Technical Specification Setpoint for Uprate to 2912.4 Mwt-NSSS Power" [transmitted by pro ject letter CQL-99-101].
	- f. CN-SSO-99-8, Rev. **1,** dated 9/21/99, "Harris Steamline Differential Pressure-High Technical Specification Setpoint for Uprate to 2912.4 Mwt-NSSS Power" [transmitted by project letter CQL-99-100].
	- g. CN-SSO-99-13, Rev. **1,** dated 9/7/99, "Nuclear Instrumentation System Power Range Protection Functions for Harris Uprate to 2912.4 Mwt NSSS Power" [transmitted by project letter CQL-99-085].

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 4 REV. 0

- h. CN-SSO-99-14, Rev. **1,** dated 12/17/99, "Harris (CQL) Nuclear Instru mentation System Intermediate Range Protection Function for the Uprate to 2912.4 Mwt NSSS Power" [transmitted by project letter CQL 99-229).
- i. CN-SSO-99-15, Rev. **1,** dated 11/9/99, "Harris (CQL) Nuclear Instrumen tation System Source Range Protection Function for the Uprate to 2912.4 Mwt NSSS Power" [transmitted by project letter CQL-99-176].
- j. CN-SSO-99-16, Rev. **1,** dated 9/17/99, "Containment Pressure Functions for Harris Uprate to 2912.4 Mwt-NSSS Power" [transmitted by project letter CQL-99-091].
- k. CN-SSO-99-17, Rev. **1,** dated 11/9/99, "Harris Reactor Coolant Pump Under Voltage/Under Frequency Setpoint Calculations for Uprate to<br>2912.4 Mwt - NSSS Power" [transmitted by project letter CQL-99-175]. [transmitted by project letter CQL-99-175].
- **1.** CN-SSO-99-18, Rev. **1,** dated 10/20/99, "Harris (CQL) Steam Flow **/**  Feedwater Flow Mismatch Function (Coincident with Steam Generator<br>Water Level- Low) for Uprate to 2912.4 Mwt - NSSS Power" [trans-Water Level- Low) for Uprate to 2912.4 Mwt - NSSS Power" mitted by project letter CQL-99-146].
- m. CN-SSO-99-32, Rev. 0, dated 11/24/99, "Harris (CQL) Low, Low Tavg (P-12) Technical Specification Setpoint for Uprate to 2912.4 Mwt NSSS Power" [transmitted by project letter CQL-99-199].
- n. CN-SSO-99-33, Rev. 0, dated 11/30/99, "Harris (CQL) Low Reactor Coolant Flow Technical Specification Setpoint for Uprate to 2912.4 Mwt-NSSS Power" [transmitted by project letter CQL-99-203].
- **10.** Westinghouse Project Letters (PUR/SGR design information sent to CP&L):
	- a. CQL-98-028, dated 6/8/98, "Unverified Uncertainty Estimates".
	- b. CQL-98-032, dated 7/6/98, "Unverified Uncertainty Estimates Correc tions".
	- c. CQL-98-030, Rev. **1,** dated 7/8/98, "Final PCWG Parameters for the SGR/ Uprating Analysis and Licensing Project".
	- d. CQL-99-013, dated 5/11/99, "Revision to CQL Streaming Uncertain ties".
	- e. CQL-99-029, dated 5/14/99, "Harris Hot Leg Streaming Evaluation Sup porting Documentation".
	- f. CQL-99-105, Rev. **1,** dated 4/3/00, "OTDT and OPDT Setpoints Operating Margins Evaluation for Harris Plant Margin Recovery Program (WX705)".
	- g. CQL-98-050, dated 11/3/98, "Revised RSG Level & Trip Setpoints in Consideration of Moisture Separator Modifications".
	- h. CQL-98-052, dated 11/12/98, "Calculation Note Harris RSG Recommend-<br>ed SG Level Setpoints" [transmitted Calculation Note OPES(98)-025, [transmitted Calculation Note OPES(98)-025, dated 10/23/98, "SG NR Level Setpoints and PMA Inputs For Shearon Harris Model **A75** Replacement Steam Generators with Modified Moisture Separator Design"].
- **11.** Westinghouse Technical and Nuclear Safety Notifications:
	- a. Westinghouse Technical Bulletin ESBU-TB-97-02, dated 5/1/97, "Analog Process Rack Operability Determination Criteria".
	- b. Westinghouse Technical Bulletin ESBU-TB-97-03, dated 5/1/97, "W Non Conservative Aspect of the Generic Westinghouse Instrument Uncertain ty Algorithm".
	- c. Westinghouse Nuclear Safety Advisory Letter NSAL-97-01, dated 6/30/97, "Transmitter Drift".



- 12. CP&L Project Letters (design input information provided to Westinghouse):
	- a. HW/98-013, dated 8/4/98, "Reference: Letter 97-CQL-901: Request for Input Information for Setpoint/Uncertainty Analysis".
	- b. HW/99-038, dated 4/1/99, "Design Inputs for WA Task 6 Protection System Setpoint Methodology for Uprated Power Conditions".
	- c. HW/99-033, dated 3/22/99, "Design Inputs for WA Task 5 Pressurizer Water Level Control System Uncertainty Calculations for Uprated Power Conditions".
	- d. HW/99-032, dated 3/22/99, "Design Inputs for WA Task 4 Control Systems Uncertainty Calculations for Uprated Power Conditions".
	- e. HW/99-097, dated 6/21/99, "Design Inputs for RCP Undervoltage & Underfrequency Protection System Trip Setpoints for Uprated Power Conditions (WA Task 6)".
	- f. HW/99-116, dated 7/14/99, "Response/Clarification to Open Issues in Letter CQL-99-035".
	- g. HW/99-136, dated 8/12/99, "Additional Design Inputs for ITDP Calorimetric Uncertainty Calculations".
	- h. HW/99-199, dated 10/12/99, "Clarification of Final Design Inputs and Owner's Review Comments for ITDP Calorimetric Uncertainty Calculations".
	- i. HW/99-021, dated 2/19/99, "Calibration Procedures for WCAP 12340 (ITDP) Instrument Channels".
	- j. HW/98-032, dated 12/28/98, "Design Input for RCS Streaming Evaluation . **.** . Task #2".
	- k. HW/99-030, dated 3/10/99, "Harris Cycle 8 Quadrant Power Tilt Ratio Design Input Data for the RCS Streaming Report **. .** Task #2".
	- **1.** HW/99-009, dated 2/3/99, "Design Inputs for Overtemperature and Overpower reactor Trip Setpoints".
	- m. HW/99-019, dated 2/18/99, "Design Input, Analysis Value Trip Coefficients for the OPAT/OTAT Setpoint Evaluation".
	- n. HW/99-147, dated 8/25/99, "HNP SGR/PUR CP&L Approval of Final OPAT/OTAT Setpoints and Tau's".
	- o. HW/99-144, dated 7/14/99, "Additional Design Input Information for NIS Source Range (SR) and Intermediate Range (IR) Protection Trip Uncertainty Calculations".
	- p. HW/99-034, dated 3/26/99, "Design Input, RCS Streaming Uncertainties for the Westinghouse Design Verified Setpoint Uncertainty Calcula tion".
	- q. HW/99-151, dated 9/3/99, "Review Comments for Uncertainty Calcula tion associated with WBS Activity WX939 and WX971".
	- r. HW/99-123, dated 7/16/99, "Pressurizer Pressure Control Uncertainty Calculation Inputs/Clarifications".
	- s. HW/99-162, dated 9/10/99, "Review Comments for Uncertainty Calcula tion associated with WBS Activity WX987 and WX979".
	- t. HW/99-202, dated 10/14/99, "Owner's Review Comments for Steam Flow/Feedwater Flow Mismatch Uncertainty Calculation".
	- u. HW/99-248, dated 12/9/99, "Owner's Review Comments for **NIS**  Intermediate Range Protection Function Uncertainty Calculation".

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010<br>PAGE 6  $PAGE$ REV. 0

- 13. Other CP&L-Generated PUR/SGR Design Input Documents:
	- a. Uprate Fuel Analysis Plant Parameters Document [UFAPPD], Rev. 3 [contained within Nuclear Fuels Section Calculation HNP-F/NFSA-0034, Rev. 3, "HNP SGR/PUR Fuel Related Design Input Calculations"].
	- b. HB/98-037, dated 6/2/98, "Letter BH/98-015 dated February 27, 1998, Design Input Required From CP&L".
- 14. Plant Configuration Drawings:
	- a. EMDRAC 1364-001328 **S01** through S42, Westinghouse Process Control Block Diagrams [Westinghouse Drawing 108D803 Sheets 1 through 421.
	- b. EMDRAC 1364-000864 through 1364-000878, Westinghouse Functional Diagrams [Westinghouse Drawing 108D831 Sheets 1 through 15].
	- c. Drawing 2166-S-0302 Sheets 02, 07, & 08, Medium Voltage Relay Settings 6900 V Auxiliary Bus **1A,** 1B, & **1C.**
	- d. Drawing 2166-S-0302 Sheets 20, 23, & 24, Medium Voltage Relay Settings 6900 V Auxiliary Emergency Bus IA-SA & IB-SB.
	- e. EMDRAC 1364-002795 **S01** and EMDRAC 1364-003319, [Turbine Trip Low Fluid Oil Pressure Schematic and wiring Diagram]
	- f. Drawing 2165-S-0553 S03 and EMDRAC 1364-002724 [Turbine Throttle Valve Closure Turbine Trip Schematic and Wiring Diagram]

#### 3.0 BODY OF CALCULATION

#### 3.1 Current Engineering/Licensing Basis Methodology

As stated in Section 1.3 above, the original engineering methodology and operability determination bases, for values defined in the Tech Spec RTS/ESFAS Trip Setpoint Tables, were contained in Westinghouse Letter Report FCQL-355 [Reference 2.3]. This "five-column" Tech Spec formatted methodology defines the following terms and their corresponding definitions.

- Trip Setpoint [TS]: Considered a nominal Reactor Trip value setting.
- Allowable Value [AV]: Accommodates instrument drift assumed between operational tests and the accuracy to which Trip Setpoint can be measured and calibrated. Defined using a "trigger value" [ $T_N'$ ] per Letter Report FCQL-355.
- 'TA' or Total Allowance: Difference (in percent of span) between Trip Setpoint and Safety Analy sis Limit [SAL] assumed for Reactor Trip function; e.g., TA=  $|TS - SAL|$ . Defined within Tech Spec Equation 2.2-1 [  $Z + R + S \leq TA$  ]; where 'R' includes Rack Drift and Calibration Uncertainties.
- 'Z' Term: Statistical summation of analysis errors excluding Sensor and Rack Drift and Calibration Uncertainties.
- "\* **'S'** (Sensor Error) Term: Sensor Drift and Calibration Uncertainties.

The last three terms were intended to further quantify channel operability (when an As-Found calibration is outside its [rack] Allowable Value tolerance or Sensor Error **'S'** allowance), by demonstrating that sufficient margin exists from the safety analysis limit.

Figure 1 herein was adapted from Figure 4-2 of Letter Report FCQL-355, to con ceptually illustrate typical channel uncertainties in relation to the Safety

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 7 REV. 0

Analysis Limit, Allowable Value, and Trip Setpoint. Figure 2 herein depicts the implementation for an instrument channel nominal setpoint, with respect to its (two-sided) rack calibration tolerance, its administratively controlled Tech Spec allowable value, and its normal operating range [or 'margin to trip']. Furthermore, Figure 2 shows the setpoint's relationship between its corresponding (FSAR Chapter 15) analytical limit and overall plant design safety limit.

Note that, an As-Found rack condition which exceeds a  $'$ + R' tolerance will re-<br>quire readjustment to an acceptable As-Left condition [i.e., at nominal trip setpoint 'TS' plus or minus 'R' tolerance] . (Similarly, sensor surveillance will confirm that the sensor is within an error tolerance defined by 'S'.)

Table **1-1** herein provides a summary of general equations/relationships per FCQL-355, used for computing each of the original "five-column" Tech Spec formatted terms. To demonstrate similarity with this original methodology, Table 1-2 herein provides a further summary of equations/relationships used for the updated "five-column" Tech Spec formatted term computations, given the [applicable PUR/SGR project-generated] uncertainty components. (For clarity [applicable PUR/SGR project-generated] uncertainty components. (For clarity<br>of presentation, updated "five-column" Tech Spec terms will be denoted herein<br>as primed [X'] terms.) As seen in Table 1-2, the need to 'minimize' the final definition used for the **S'** and AV' Tech Spec terms (i.e., consideration of only calibration and drift terms [as identified by {SD + SCA} and {RD + RCA}, for sensor and rack, respectively]); this assures that a conservatively small tolerance is used to administratively control/evaluate the As-Found/As-Left sensor and rack measurements, consistent with the FCQL-355 approach used for selection of the smallest of multiple trigger values and for operability determinations.

Note that the 'Allowable Value' term contained in an updated Westinghouse "two-column" Tech Spec format (i.e., per methodology in WCAP-15249 and sup porting Westinghouse calculation notes [References 2.8 and 2.9, respectively]) is not synonymous with the above "five-column" 'Allowable Value' definition.

In addition to the above-noted Tech Spec terminology, total loop uncertainty [TLU], which is usually defined within Westinghouse uncertainty calculations as the channel statistical allowance [CSA], employs a calculational method that combines uncertainty components by either: a square root of the sum of the squares (SRSS) technique for statistically and functionally independent [random] uncertainty errors; <u>or</u> by a conservatively treated arithmetic summation technique of dependent uncertainties, and subsequent combination by SRSS with independent terms. These approaches are compliant with indus These approaches are compliant with industry practices and CP&L guidance specified by References 2.6 and 2.7, respectively. Therefore, each instrument channel is evaluated for its applicable instrument uncertainty (including process measurement effects, M&TE/calibration accuracy, reference accuracy, pressure effects, temperature effects, drift, and other biases [where applicable]) for the sensor and rack electronics. Note that these uncertainties are similar to those shown in Figures 1 and 2 herein.

### 3.2 Inputs and Assumptions

CP&L design inputs to Westinghouse uncertainty calculations [Reference 2.9 listing] included conservative CP&L determination of various uncertainty effects for sensors and rack electronics [e.g., reference accuracy, calibration accuracy, measurement & test effects, applicable sensor pressure and temperature effects, electronics temperature effects, drift, etc.]. These



determinations were provided as CP&L design inputs by Reference 2.12 project correspondence.

The following inputs and assumptions are specifically noteworthy, and have been applied within computations summarized herein (unless noted otherwise):

- **1.** Continued use of "five-column" formatted terms and their corresponding definitions (per current Tech Spec surveillance requirements and bases) remain applicable. Since References 2.8 and 2.9 were prepared to the Westinghouse "two-column" methodology, 'Allowable Value' terms specified in References 2.8 and 2.9 do not apply, and should be ignored (to avoid confusion with conclusions herein). [However, for ease of reference, Table 2-1 herein consists an excerpt of WCAP-15249, Table 3-21.]
- 2. CP&L and/or Westinghouse-generated design inputs [per References 2.13 and 2.10 listings, respectively] define PUR/SGR-related nominal trip setpoints and associated analytical limits for specific **RTS/ESFAS** func tions. As noted in Tables 3-1 through 3-29, some protection functions do not have identified safety analysis limits (within existing Chapter 15 safety analyses); these channels are used for diversity, but the analysis do not explicitly model or take credit for their actuation.
- 3. Unless specifically designated to be a dependent uncertainty component, process measurement uncertainty effects (designated as PMA or PEA) are generally considered to be independent (or random) of both sensor and rack uncertainty parameters. Examples **bf** PMA components include effects due to neutron flux, calorimetric power measurement uncertainty assumptions, fluid density changes, reference leg heatup, effects of head correction, and temperature stratification/streaming assumptions. Examples of PEA components include uncertainties due to metering devices (such as flow elbows and venturis).

When the condition monitored has a trip on an increasing process condition, only the negative uncertainties are considered for the calcula tion. When the condition being monitored has a trip on a decreasing process condition, only the positive uncertainties are considered for the calculation. The calculation below groups both the positive and negative uncertainties together in a conservative manner, that may be applied in either direction.

- 4. Calibration (i.e., SCA and RCA) and Drift (i.e., SD and RD) uncertain ties are defined as random with normal distributions [see Reference 2.8, Sections 2.2 and 2.3]. Calibrations are performed under [MST/LP] proce dural control with two-sided calibration tolerances. Sensors will drift either high or low from the as-left values. For these reasons, the un certainties are expected to be random with normal distributions.
- **5.** Uncertainty components are defined using a 95% probability and high con fidence level, consistent with the original Westinghouse FCQL-355 methodology [Reference 2.3] and PUR/SGR-generated documents [per Refer ences 2.8 and 2.9].
- 6. Published sensor manufacturers' performance specifications generally show drift over a specific time duration. Where such specifications are cited, an  $18$ -month  $\pm$  25% [or 22.5-month] minimum MST/LP calibration frequency has been used within Westinghouse uncertainty calculations [per References 2.8 and 2.9].
- 7. Sensor drift component was chosen as 'bounding' [worst-case maximum] values (based upon As-Found and previous As-Left MST/LP calibration data comparisons), which was considered to be conservative for the computation purposes of each CSA term; these SD values have been re-



tained within the computation of applicable "five-column" Tech Spec terms. [See Reference 2.8, Section 2.1 for additional discussion.] Where a turndown factor exists for a specific sensor function, each SD value will be multiplied by its corresponding turndown factor, unless justified otherwise (within its Tables 3-1 through 3-29 details).

- 8. Three-up/three-down calibrations are not performed for transmitters within MST/LP procedures. Therefore, CSA results are computed using the sensor reference accuracy (SRA) term. SRA values are generally obtained from manufacturer's published product specifications. Although proce dure revisions are unlikely, if calibration techniques included multiple passes over the entire instrument range (to verify conformity, hyster esis, and repeatability effects), then the SRA term could be eliminated from the CSA uncertainty computation.
- 9. Based upon MST/LP calibration methods, credit is taken in the uncertainty calculation for the loop-calibration of process channels (with a test signal at the input of the process instrument channel and a complete loop calibration to the final device). Therefore, only one RCA term is used for the total rack calibration tolerance; a rack comparator set ting accuracy [RCSA], as originally specified in Reference 2.3, is not used in the CSA (or in Tech Spec Allowable Value term).
- **10.** Heise (or equivalent) pressure gauges used for transmitter calibrations are temperature compensated to 95°F; calibrations performed in ambients above 95°F will compensate for the specific increased ambient. The DVM (of a type as required by the MST/LP) is used generally within the temperature range of 15°C to 35°C [59°F to 95°F], as identified in the DMV specification.
- **11.** Sensor and rack M&TE **[SMTE** and RMTE] uncertainties have been specified as statistically dependent upon drift and calibration uncertainties in (Reference 2.9) Westinghouse calculation notes, which assures that the CSA determination is more conservative (than without such consideration of interactive parameters).
- 12. Sensor pressure effects [SPE] and sensor temperature effects [STE], where applicable, are generally based upon manufacturer's published product specifications. (SPE components are typically applicable only to differential pressure transmitters.) STE values will incorporate applicable turndown factors, unless justified otherwise.
- 13. Rack temperature effects [RTE] are based upon historical Westinghouse performance data, and can be considered to reflect uncertainty values at <sup>a</sup>**95%** probability and **95%** confidence level. In general, an RTE term of 0.5% of span was used in the CSA/Tech Spec uncertainty calculations, based upon Reference 2.3.
- 14. Rack drift [RD] was generally assumed as a (worst-case) conservative value of 1.0% of span for the purpose of CSA uncertainty calculations.
- 15. Environmental allowance [EA] uncertainty components are generally limited to RTS/ESFAS trip functions which must be postulated to occur at a delayed post-accident [LOCA/MSLB] time duration. Sensors installed in containment or steam tunnel locations may require an EA component. A basis for **EA** uncertainty component values has been included in the applicable Table 3-x reference.
- 16. Seismic effects are not assumed, owing to the fact that (previously performed) seismic qualification testing has demonstrated successful response/acceptance criterion. Furthermore, after a seismic event, the plant is shutdown and instruments would be recalibrated (to required performance specifications/tolerances).

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE **10**  REV. 0

In addition, seismic effects on OTAT/OPAT channels have been further evaluated (as noted in Reference 2.12.1 [HW/99-009]). A seismic allow ance is not required for the OTAT reactor trip, since the **HNP** design basis requirements do not postulate a seismic event simultaneously with a non-LOCA transient that may require the OTAT trip. The OTAT trip is not required for LOCA events. In the event of a seismic disturbance, the pressure transmitter calibration would be suspect and require evaluation and possible recalibration.

- 17. This calculation will address, in particular, those changes to trip setpoints and/or analytical limits that have been changed specifically for PUR/SGR-related analyses and/or system configurations. Tables 2-2 and 2-3 provide a summary of such changes to trip setpoints and analytical limits, for RTS and ESFAS functions, respectively. These changes are a result of the following:
	- For SG N-R Level trip functions, the [Model  $\Delta$ 75] replacement steam generators [RSGs] have a different physical design configuration (e.g., larger tap-to-tap dimension, different top of U-tube bundle, elimination of pre-heat feedwater design, etc.), which results in the need for different normal operating control water level and for RTS/ ESFAS trip setpoints [for Low-Low, Low, and High-High trip functions, as defined per References 2.10.g and 2.10.h.]. PUR/SGR analyses have utilized updated safety analysis limits [as originally defined in References 2.10.a, 2.10.b, & 2.13.a and subsequently reconciled per Reference 2.9]. Revised Tech Spec term values correspond to these new RSG setpoint requirements, as noted in Tables **3-10A** through 3-1OC and Tables 3-18A through 3-18B herein.
	- For OTAT/OPAT trip functions, Reference 2.10.f provides the justification for: elimination of  $T_1/T_2$  lead/lag compensation and addition of  $T_3$  lag filter (for each RCS loop's measured  $\Delta T$ ); and changes to other trip function coefficients/time constants. PUR/SGR implementa tion will be based upon updated safety analysis limits [compatible with function values defined in Reference 2.10.f]. Tech Spec values must be revised accordingly, as shown in Tables 3-5 and 3-6 herein.
	- Containment Pressure High-1 and High-2 setpoints have slightly increased safety analysis values (as compared to Reference 2.3). Refer to Table 3-12A herein for Tech Spec term changes.
	- A Pressurizer Level High setpoint uncertainty [of 6.75% level span] has been [recently] defined within PUR/SGR safety analyses; this un certainty was applied against a 100% filled pressurizer level condi tion. (Reference 2.3 did not previously specify a safety analysis limit.) As such, the current Tech Spec trip setpoint continues to apply, in relation to a 100% level analytical limit, as noted per Table 3-8 herein.
- 18. In lieu of simplified loop diagrams, refer to existing HXP process control block diagrams, functional diagrams, and/or other plant configuration drawings [as noted per Reference 2.14 listing above].

#### 3.3 Calculation Synopsis

This document delineates the channel statistical allowance (CSA) and the "five-column" Tech Spec terms for each RTS/ESFAS Trip Setpoint function. Tables 3-1 through 3-29 herein summarize these calculation results. (For ease of reference, Table 3-0 contains an index of these calculation summaries for each trip function [with its corresponding Tech Spec Table Item No.].)



The CSA result combines applicable uncertainty components [described in Sec tion 3.1] using a "square root of the sum of the squares" (SRSS) calculational technique. This technique has been used in both past and current Westinghouse methodologies [per References 2.3 and 2.8], as well as within current industry and CP&L guidance [per References 2.6 and 2.7]. The 'updated' Westinghouse uncertainty calculations and associated WCAP [References 2.8 and 2.9], which were produced for the PUR/SGR projects, combine uncertainty components in the following general equation formula [also 'Eq. 2.1' of Reference 2.8]:

CSA = 
$$
\left[ (PMA)^{2} + (PEA)^{2} + (SME + SD)^{2} + (SPE)^{2} + (STE)^{2} + (SRA)^{2} \right]^{1/2}
$$

$$
+ (SMTE + SCA)^{2} + (RMTE + RD)^{2} + (RTE + RCA)^{2}
$$

+ EA + SEISMIC + BIAS

where:



The CSA results from 'updated' Westinghouse uncertainty calculations (produced for the PUR/SGR projects [per References 2.9]), for each RTS/ESFAS trip function, have been summarized within Table 3-21 of WCAP-15249 [Reference 2.8]. In addition, Table 3-21 of WCAP-15249 has also been excerpted as Table 2-1 herein, for ease of reference to uncertainty terms and CSA results for each trip function.

Based upon the relationships shown in Figures 1 and 2, portions of the overall CSA have been defined in terms of the Tech Spec terms (as specified above in Section 3.1, and within Tables **1-1** and 1-2). Any variations from the above generalized equation format and/or uncertainty components are defined in specific trip function summaries (within Tables 3-1 through 3-29).

Although interrelated, the CSA uncertainty and the Tech Spec terms are generally evaluated in different ways, as noted by the following evaluation circumstances:

The CSA term is typically composed of conservatively-chosen (increased) values for uncertainty components, to maximize the overall channel uncer tainty (for comparison of available margin between the nominal setpoint and safety analysis limit) relative to their 95% probability and high (or 95%, as applicable for power/flow calorimetric functions) confidence level.



However, the "five-column" Tech Spec allowable value [AV] has been conser vatively chosen (smaller) based upon the smallest trigger term  $[T_N]$  as defined/required by Reference 2.3, to minimize the Tech Spec surveillance tolerance used for rack calibration/drift allowances. Sensor Error **[S]** is also correspondingly minimized using calibration/drift allowances only.

In addition, deviations from current Tech Spec term values must be balanced in relation to: the level of conservatism provided by the current surveillance; the operational conditions/considerations associated with the RTS/ESFAS trip function; and the practicality of surveillance testing (e.g., ease of testing process, repeatability of test results, etc.). Where post-PUR/SGR implementa tion includes no hardware changes (independent of channel normalization/ scaling), evaluation of specific trip function summaries [per Tables 3-1 through 3-29] will detail those cases where deviations from current Tech Spec values are not warranted.

### 4.0 CONCLUSIONS

Computation summaries of (post-PUR/SGR) instrument channel uncertainties and "five-column" Tech Spec terms for each RTS/ESFAS function are presented [with a corresponding documentation source reference] in Tables 3-1 through 3-29 herein. The applicability and acceptability of these results are discussed per the following:

### 4.1 Channel Statistical Allowance (CSA) Results

The acceptance criterion for the trip channel results requires that positive setpoint margin exists. This calculational margin is defined as the differ ence between the channel's total allowance [TA] and the channel statistical allowance [CSAJ. (As specified in Section 3.0, the total allowance is defined as the difference between safety analysis limit and the nominal trip setpoint [in percent of span].)

References 2.8 and 2.9 results, as excerpted within Table 2-1 and as specified within Tables 3-1 through 3-29, demonstrate that all trip setpoints possess a specific positive calculational margin between its TA and CSA result; there fore, acceptability of each function's nominal trip setpoint is demonstrated.

Unless specifically excepted (and reconciled) herein, the **CSA** terms presented herein agree with values specified in PUR/SGR-related Westinghouse documenta tion listed under References 2.8 and 2.9. These results supercede the original values provided within Reference 2.3 [FCQL-355], and comply with updated calculational methodology (as described per Section 3.3).

### 4.2 Summary of "Five-Column" Tech Spec Terms

Tables 3-1 through 3-29 also detail applicable "five-column" Tech Spec terms [TA, Z, S, Trip Setpoint, and Allowable Value] for each trip function. These Tech Spec terms are based upon either: values evaluated to be the same as current Tech Spec terms; or values computed by general equations shown in Table 1-2.

Tables 4-1 and 4-2 include a mark-up of current Tech Spec Tables 2.2-1 and 3.3-4, respectively, to support the PUR/SGR licensing amendment; furthermore, for ease of comparison, PUR/SGR Tech Spec changes have also been highlighted within Table 4-3. These Tech Spec changes retain the original HNP engineering and licensing bases (as defined in Reference 2.3 [FCQL-355]), and demonstrate



continued (post-PUR/SGR) compliance to HNP Tech Spec RTS/ESFAS Trip Setpoint As such, use of these updated Tech Spec terms are suitable within corresponding scaling calculations, MSTs/LPs, and other documents that require update as a result of PUR/SGR project implementation.

The "five-column" Tech Spec terms presented herein will not agree with "twocolumn" values/terminology specified in PUR/SGR-related Westinghouse documen tation listed under References 2.8 and 2.9. Similar to CSA results (as noted in Section 4.1 above), the "five-column" Tech Spec terms presented herein supercede the original values provided in Reference 2.3; however, operability methodology of Reference 2.3, Section 4.0 remains applicable (owing to its compliance with the existing HNP licensing bases [as delineated in Tech Spec Bases B 2.2, B 3/4.3.1, and B 3/4.3.2]).

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010

PAGE 14 REV. 0

## FIGURE **1**

## CHANNEL UNCERTAINTY COMPONENTS RELATIVE TO SAFETY ANALYSIS LIMIT, ALLOWABLE VALUE, AND TRIP SETPOINT

Safety Analysis Limit (SAL) STS Allowable Value (AV) STS Trip Setpoint (TS)  $\int$ f<br>1  $\begin{matrix} \phantom{-} \end{matrix}$ f<br>L  $\int$  $\Box$  $\vert$ I  $\frac{1}{2}$ 1  $\frac{1}{2}$ Process Measurement Accuracy Primary Element Accuracy Sensor Temperature Effects Sensor Pressure Effects Sensor Calibration Accuracy Sensor Drift Environmental Allowance Rack Temperature Effects Rack Calibration Accuracy \* Rack Drift

\* - Includes Rack Comparator Setting Accuracy (RCSA).

*(Adapted* from *W* Letter *Report FCQL-355 (Rev.* **1),** *Figure 4-2 [Page 4-111.)*

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 15 0

#### FIGURE 2

## OPERATING CONDITIONS, UNCERTAINTIES, AND MARGINS RELATIVE TO SAFETY ANALYSIS LIMIT, ALLOWABLE VALUE, AND TRIP SETPOINT



Note: Figure is intended to provide relative position and not to imply direction. *(Adapted* from *ISA S67.04-1994, Figure* **1)**

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 16 REV. 0

والمستوري والمتحدث

### TABLE **1-1**

ومشيره مستشار والمتنا سشما ووارد والمنادر متساور ومناصر والاستهداء والمناصر والمناسب والمناصر

# SUMMARY OF GENERAL EQUATIONS/RELATIONSHIPS USED IN REPORT FCQL-355 FORMAT



CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 **PAGE** REV. 0

### TABLE 1-2

## SUMMARY OF GENERAL EQUATIONS/RELATIONSHIPS USED FOR THE UPDATED "FIVE-COLUMN" TECH SPEC FORMAT

### General Notes:

All terms are in Percent of Span, unless noted otherwise. •\*' designates one of the "five-column" Tech Spec terms. Primed terms (X') represent updated [PUR/SGR-related] terms. CSA' uncertainty components below reflect updated PUR/SGR values.

#### Background/Development:

CSA' = Channel Statistical Allowance

 $=$   $\{(PMA)^{2} + (PEA)^{2} + (SMTE + SD)^{2} + (SPE)^{2} + (STE)^{2} + (SMTE + SCA)^{2} + (SMTE + SCA)^{2}$  $(SRA)^{2}$  +  $(RMTE + RD)^{2}$  +  $(RTE)^{2}$  +  $(RMTE + RCA)^{2}$ <sup>1/2</sup> + EA + Biases

This equation can be rearranged per FCQL-355 terminology, by inspection:

CSA' = {  $A' + S'^{2} + R'^{2}$ }<sup>1/2</sup> + EA' + Biases' where:  $A' = (PMA)^{2} + (PER)^{2} + (SPE)^{2} + (STE)^{2} + (RTE)^{2}$  $S' = [ (SMTE + SD)^2 + (SMTE + SCA)^2 + (SRA)^2]^{1/2}$  $R' = [ (RMTE + RD)^{2} + (RMTE + RCA)^{2}]^{1/2}$  $Z' = (A')^{1/2} + EA + Bias$ 

However, to conservatively maintain minimum tolerances on **S'** and R" terms, define **S'** and R' (as originally specified in FCQL-355) in terms of updated PUR/SGR components (where RCA includes bistable accuracy [i.e., original FCQL-355 RCSA term]):

> $S' = SD + SCA$  $R' = RD + RCA$

Since FCQL-355 relationship (TA' **>** Z' + R' + **S'1** must remain valid, alternately confirm the acceptability for R', by solving the TA' inequality relationship for a minimum R" [once **S',** Z', and TA' are known].

$$
R' = \{ TA' - Z' - S' \}
$$

Note that the above "check" yields an equal (or smaller) value for R' than use of the FCQL-355  $T_2' = { T A' - [(A' + (S')^2)^{1/2} + a 11 \text{ Biases}] }$  expression.

## TABLE  $1-2$  (Cont'd)

## SUMMARY OF GENERAL EQUATIONS/RELATIONSHIPS USED FOR THE UPDATED "FIVE-COLUMN" TECH SPEC FORMAT

## Computational Methodology:

والمواد والمتار والمستفر وللتناء المتسلمين للمرواة للمتناول والشهرة والمتر





HNP-I/INST-1010

20  $\overline{0}$ 

### TABLE 2-2

لشواب بالمنادي والمسار

## PUR/SGR-RELATED CHANGES TO RTS SETPOINTS AND ANALYTICAL LIMITS



CALCULATION NO. PAGE

REV.

HNP-I/INST-1010 21 0

TABLE 2-2 (Cont'd)

TS Table 2.2-1 Item / Trip Parameter 14 / Low **SG** Level (coincident with Steam/Feedwater Flow Mismatch) 14 / Steam/Feedwater Flow Mismatch (coincident with Low **SG** Level) TS Trip Setpoint > 25% of N-R span  $(3), (4)$ < 40% of full steam flow at  $RTP$  (5) Safety Analysis Limit See (3) below. N/A (5)

Table 2-2 Notes:

- $(1)$  As noted in Reference 2.10.a [CQL-98-028] and/or Reference 2.10.b [CQL-98-032] Reference 2.13.a [UFAPPD] confirms this value for SPC Safety Analysis.
- (2) As revised by Reference 2.10.a [CQL-98-028] and/or Reference 2.10.b [CQL-98-032] Reference 2.13.a [UFAPPD] confirms this value for SPC Safety Analysis.
- $(3)$   $5/5/98$  &  $5/6/98$  meeting minutes attached to  $CQL-98-028$  recommended the following analysis values:
	- a. For outside containment steam line breaks, accident cases should use a 0% of span SAL for the SG Low-Low Level Trip.
	- b. For loss of normal feedwater and for auxiliary feedwater initiation, a 16.1% of span SAL (corresponding to the top of the RSG tubes) should be used.
	- c. For feedwater line breaks, a 0% of span SAL should be used.

Reference 2.13.a [CFAPPD] confirms this value for SPC Safety Analysis.

- (4) Specified within CQL-98-050. (Note that High-High **SG** Level setpoint and SAL [for a feedwater system malfunction] were originally specified as 79% and 100%, respective ly, in CQL-98-032; the 'final' 78% of span setpoint value was selected based upon the evaluation documented per CQL-98-050.) Reference 2.13.a [UFAPPD] confirms this value for SPC Safety Analysis.
- (5) Not used in SPC Safety Analysis. Current TS trip setpoint value shown.

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE

 $\overline{22}$ 

REV.

 $\overline{\mathbf{0}}$ 

## TABLE 2-3

## PUR/SGR-RELATED CHANGES TO ESFAS SETPOINTS AND ANALYTICAL LIMITS



CALCULATION NO. PAGE HNP-I/INST-1010 23

REV.

0

### TABLE  $2-3$  (Cont'd)



Table 2-3 Notes:

- (1) As noted in Reference 2.10.a [CQL-98-028] and/or Reference 2.10.b [CQL-98-0321. Reference 2.13.a [UFAPPD] confirms this value for **SPC** Safety Analysis.
- $(2)$  As revised by Reference 2.10.a  $[CQL-98-028]$  and/or Reference 2.10.b  $[CQL-98-032]$ . Reference 2.13.a [UFAPPD] confirms this value for **SPC** Safety Analysis.
- **(3)**  5/5/98 & 5/6/98 meeting minutes attached to CQL-98-028 recommended the following analysis values:
	- a. For outside containment steam line breaks, accident cases should use a 0% of span SAL for the **SG** Low-Low Level Trip.
	- b. For loss of normal feedwater and for auxiliary feedwater initiation, a 16.1% of span SAL (corresponding to the top of the RSG tubes) should be used.
	- d. For feedwater line breaks, a 0% of span SAL should be used.

Reference 2.13.a [UFAPPD] confirms this value for SPC Safety Analysis.

- (4) Specified within CQL-98-050. (Note that High-High SG Level setpoint and SAL [for a feedwater system malfunction] were originally specified as 79% and 100%, respective ly, in CQL-98-032; the 'final' 78% of span setpoint value was selected based upon the evaluation documented per CQL-98-050.) Reference 2.13.a [UFAPPD] confirms this value for SPC Safety Analysis.
- (5) Not used in SPC Safety Analysis. Current TS trip setpoint value shown.
- (6) Per current TS Table, same value as Item l.c (for High-l) or Item 2.c (for High-3).
- (7) Westinghouse PUR analysis used an analytical value of 542.2 psig, which excludes MSLB-related environmental allowances (EA) uncertainties.

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010

PAGE

REV.  $\overline{0}$ 

. . . . . . . .

## TABLE 3-0

and a communication of the communication

# SUMMARY OF CSA AND FIVE-COLUMN TECH SPEC TERMS INDEX OF CALCULATION SUMMARY TABLES



24

CALCULATION NO. PAGE 25

 $HNP-I/INST-1010$ 

REV.  $\overline{0}$ <u>and the communication of the communication</u>

## TABLE 3-0 (Cont'd)

 $\sim 10$ 

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

and the contract of the contract of the company service service contracts to the company of the contract of the

## SUMMARY OF CSA AND FIVE-COLUMN TECH SPEC TERMS INDEX OF CALCULATION SUMMARY TABLES


HNP-I/INST-1010

26 0

PAGE REV.

CALCULATION NO.

#### TABLE **3-1A**

POWER RANGE, NEUTRON FLUX - HIGH SETPOINT Summary of **CSA** and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.



Note that all sensor uncertainties are set to zero, owing to channel normalization based upon daily power calorimetric surveillance [and adjustment (as required)] or based upon STE accounted for through PMA neutron flux effects uncertainty.

TS = 109.0 % RTP [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.2)]  $SAL = 118.0 % RTP$ (Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.2)] TA = { ( SAL **-** TS ) / 120 **%** RTP Span } x **100 %** Span - 7.50 **%** Span  $Margin = TA - CSA'$  $= 2.78$  % Span **S'** = {SD + SCA } = {0.00 **<sup>+</sup>**0.00} = 0.00 **%** Span  $(PMA<sub>1</sub>)<sup>2</sup> + (PMA<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2</sup> + (PEA)<sup>2</sup> + (STE)<sup>2</sup> + (SPE)<sup>2</sup> + (RTE)<sup>2</sup>$ **A'**   $\pm$  $(1.67)^{2} + (4.17)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.83)^{2}$  $\equiv$ 20.87 % Span  $=$  $=$   $(A')^{1/2}$  + EA + Biases **Z** -  $(20.87)^{1/2}$  + 0.00 + 0.00 4.57 **%** Span  $=$  $R' = T'$  is the lesser of:  $=$  { RD + RCA } { 1.00 + 0.50 **) .** 1.50 % Span  $T_1$ <sup>t</sup>  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}$  $7.50 - 0.00 - 4.57$  $= 2.93$  % Span  $=$  TA' - S' - Z'  $\equiv$  $T_2$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> AV' = { TS + [ T<sub>1</sub>'/100%Span ] x 120%RTP } = 110.80 % RTP

The above-computed AV' is slightly less than that allowed by FCQL-355 (given current Tech Spec requirements of TA **=** 7.5 %Span, Z = 4.56 %Span, S = 0.00 %Span and AV **<sup>&</sup>lt;**  $111.1$  % RTP, with a CSA of  $4.9$  %Span).

Since TA', Z', and **S'** remain at current Tech Spec values and since CSA' has been slightly reduced (primarily due to elimination of the originally assumed 0.25 %Span rack comparator setting accuracy [RCSA]), the above-computed value for R' can be increased to the original trigger term T of 1.75 %Span (to retain the original AV). This increase to retain the original AV is justified given that no PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for the Power Range NIS channels; channels will be scaled

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 27  $\overline{0}$ 

# TABLE 3-lA (Cont'd) POWER RANGE, NEUTRON FLUX - HIGH SETPOINT Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

commensurate for the increased RTP (consistent with the detectors' increased output).

A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:

a mara mana da music a mara mara mara a contra contra mara mara a contra a



CALCULATION NO. PAGE HNP-I/INST-1010 28



 $\overline{0}$ 

#### TABLE 3-1B

a construction and complete the complete state

POWER RANGE, NEUTRON FLUX - LOW SETPOINT Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.



Note that all sensor uncertainties are set to zero (similar to the Power Range **NIS**  High Setpoint), owing to channel normalization based upon daily power calorimetric surveillance [and adjustment (as required)] or based upon STE accounted for through PMA neutron flux effects uncertainty.

[Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.2)] TS = 25.0 **%** RTP (Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.2)]  $SAL = 35.0 % RTP$ TA = { ( TS **-** SAL ) / 120 **%** RTP Span } x **100 %** Span = 8.33 % Span Margin = TA - CSA" = 3.61 **%** Span  $S' = {SD + SCA}$   $= {0.00 + 0.00}$   $= 0.00 %$  Span  $(PMA<sub>1</sub>)<sup>2</sup> + (PMA<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2</sup> + (PEA)<sup>2</sup> + (STE)<sup>2</sup> + (SPE)<sup>2</sup> + (RTE)<sup>2</sup>$  $A' =$  $(1.67)^{2} + (4.17)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.83)^{2}$  $\equiv$ 20.87 % Span  $=$  $Z' = (A')^{1/2} + EA + Biases$ = (20.87)1/2 + 0.00 + 0.00 4.57 **%** Span  $R' = T'$  is the lesser of:  $T_1'$  = { RD + RCA } = { 1.00 + 0.50 } 1.50 **%** Span **Contract Contract Contract Contract**  $T_2'$  =  $T_A' - S' - Z'$  $=$  8.33 - 0.00 - 4.57 3.76 **%** Span  $\mathbf{r}$ AV' = { TS + **f** T,'/100%Span ] x 120%RTP **)** = 26.80 % RTP

The above-computed AV' is slightly less than that allowed by FCQL-355 (given current Tech Spec requirements of TA = 8.33 %Span, Z = 4.56 %Span, S = 0.00 %Span and AV **<sup>&</sup>lt;** 27.1 % RTP, with a **CSA** of 4.9 %Span).

Since TA', Z', and **S'** remain at current Tech Spec values and since CSA' has been slightly reduced (primarily due to elimination of the originally assumed 0.25 %Span rack comparator setting accuracy [RCSA]), the above-computed value for R' can be increased to the original trigger term T of 1.75 %Span (to retain the original AV and for consistency with the Power Range NIS High Setpoint). This increase to

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 29 0

# TABLE 3-1B (Cont'd) POWER RANGE, NEUTRON FLUX - LOW SETPOINT Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

retain the original AV is justified given that no PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for the Power Range NIS channels; channels will be scaled commensurate for the increased RTP (consistent with the detectors' increased output).

A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:



CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 30 **0**

#### TABLE 3-2A

and the contract measurement of the contract contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the c

الموالي المتحدث والمتحدث

# POWER RANGE, NEUTRON FLUX - HIGH NEGATIVE RATE

Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.

CSA' =  $[(PMA)^{2} + (PEA)^{2} + (SME + SD)^{2} + (STE)^{2} + (SPE)^{2} +$  $(SCA + SMTE)^{2} + (SRA)^{2} + (RMTE + RD)^{2} + (RTE)^{2} + (RCA + RMTE)^{2}$ <sup>1/2</sup>  $[(0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00 + 0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} +$  $=$  $(0.00 + 0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.10 + 1.00)^2 + (0.83)^2 + (0.50 + 0.10)^2]^{1/2}$ 1.45 % Span [Reference 2.9.g & Reference 2.8 (WCAP Table 3-2)]

Note that all sensor uncertainties are set to zero, owing to the use of a rate (de rivative) function to eliminate steady-state measurement errors.

[Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.2)] TS = 5.0 **%** RTP  $SAL' = 8.0 % RTP$ [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.2)] TA' = **C** ( SAL' **-** TS ) **/** 120 % RTP Span **)** x 100 % Span - 2.50 **%** Span Margin = TA' - CSA' = 1.05 **%** Span **S'** - {SD + SCA **)** = {0.00 **+** 0.00} = 0.00 % Span  $(PMA)^{2} + (PEA)^{2} + (STE)^{2} + (SPE)^{2} + (RTE)^{2}$  $\mathbf{A}$ '  $=$  $(0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.83)^2$  $\equiv$  $\equiv$ 0.69 % Span  $Z' = (A')^{1/2} + EA + Biases$ = (0.69)1/2 + 0.00 + **0.00** = 0.83 **%** Span  $R' = T'$  is the lesser of:  $T_1'$  = {RD + RCA } = {1.00 + 0.50 } 1.50 % Span  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}$  $= 2.50 - 0.00 - 0.83$ 1.67 % Span  $T_2'$  =  $TA' - S' - Z'$  $\blacksquare$ AV" = { TS + **E** T1"/100%Span **I** x 120%RTP **)** = 6.80 % RTP

The above-computed AV' is greater than that allowed by FCQL-355 (given current Tech Spec requirements of TA = 1.6 %Span, Z =  $0.5$  %Span, S =  $0.0$  %Span and AV  $\leq 6.3$  % RTP, with a CSA of 1.4 %Span). Therefore, the original AV  $\leq$  6.3 % RTP should continue to be used within existing MSTs, given its trigger of **1.1** %Span.

TA' and Z' have been increased based upon the larger SAL' value used. No PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for the Power Range NIS channels; channels will be scaled commensurate for the increased RTP (consistent with the detectors' increased output).

A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE REV.  $\overline{0}$ 

# $\overline{31}$

### TABLE 3-2A (Cont'd) POWER RANGE, NEUTRON FLUX - HIGH NEGATIVE RATE Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms



CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 32  $\overline{0}$ 

### TABLE 3-2B

#### POWER RANGE, NEUTRON FLUX - HIGH POSITIVE RATE Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.

CSA' =  $[$   $(PMA)^2 + (PEA)^2 + (SMTE + SD)^2 + (STE)^2 + (SFE)^2 +$  $(SCA + SMTE)^{2} + (SRA)^{2} + (RMTE + RD)^{2} + (RTE)^{2} + (RCA + RMTE)^{2}$ <sup>1/2</sup>  $[ (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00 + 0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.$  $(0.00 + 0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.10 + 1.00)^2 + (0.83)^2 + (0.50 + 0.10)^2)$ <sup>1/2</sup> 1.45 **%** Span [Reference 2.9.g & Reference 2.8 (WCAP Table 3-2)]

Similar to the High Negative Rate trip function, all sensor uncertainties are set to zero, owing to the use of a rate (derivative) function to eliminate steady-state measurement errors.

TS = 5.0 **%** RTP  $SAL = N/A$ [Reference 2.1] [References 2.3 and 2.13.a]

ww.com.com/single-experience/single-experience/single-experience/single-experience/single-experience-

TA' = { ( SAL - TS ) / 120 **%** RTP Span **)** x **100** % Span N/A; Set to 2.50 %Span (per High Negative Rate trip TA' per Table 3-2A) Use of High Negative Rate TA (and TA') value is consistent with Reference 2.3 and with current Tech Spec Table 2.2-1.

Margin = TA - CSA' <sup>=</sup>**1.05** % Span <sup>=</sup>**(** 0.00 **+** 0.00 **<sup>1</sup>** = 0.00 **%** Span  $S' = \{ SD + SCA \} = \{ 0.00 + 0.00 \}$  $(PMA)^{2} + (PEA)^{2} + (STE)^{2} + (SPE)^{2} + (RTE)^{2}$  $A' =$  $(0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.83)^2$  $\equiv$ 0.69 **%** Span  $=$  $Z' = (A')^{1/2} + EA + Biases$  $=$   $(0.69)^{1/2}$  + 0.00 + 0.00  $=$  0.83 % Span  $R' = T'$  is the lesser of:  $T_1'$  = {RD + RCA } = {1.00 + 0.50 } 1.50 **%** Span  $\blacksquare$  $= 2.50 - 0.00 - 0.83$  $T_2'$  =  $TA' - S' - Z'$  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{r}$ 1.67 **%** Span AV' = **(** TS **+ [** Tl'/100%Span **]** x 120%RTP **<sup>I</sup>** - 6.80 % RTP

The above-computed AV' is greater than that allowed by FCQL-355 (given current Tech Spec requirements of TA = 1.6 %Span, Z =  $0.5$  %Span, S =  $0.0$  %Span, and AV  $\leq 6.3$  % RTP, with a CSA of 1.4 %Span); therefore, the original AV **<** 6.3 % RTP should be retained within existing MSTs, given its trigger of **1.1** %Span. Since the High Negative Rate SAL' value has been increased, the High Positive Rate TA and Z terms can be increased for post-PUR/SGR values (for consistency). No PUR/SGR hardware

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 33  $\overline{\mathbf{0}}$ 

### TABLE 3-2B (Cont'd) POWER RANGE, NEUTRON FLUX - HIGH POSITIVE RATE Sumnmary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

changes are proposed for the Power Range NIS channels; channels will be scaled commensurate for the increased RTP (consistent with the detectors' increased output).

A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:



CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 34 0

#### TABLE 3-3

a program de la construcción de la

### INTERMEDIATE RANGE, NEUTRON FLUX Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.

CSA' =  $[$   $(PMA)^2 + (PEA)^2 + (SMTE + SD)^2 + (STE)^2 + (SPE)^2 +$  $(SCA + SMTE)^2 + (SRA)^2 + (RME + RD)^2 + (RTE)^2 + (RCA + RMTE)^2]^{1/2}$  $[( (8.33)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00 + 0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.$  $=$  $(0.00 + 0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.10 + 4.20)^2 + (1.18)^2 + (2.00 + 0.10)^2$  ]<sup>1/2</sup> 9.68 % Span [Reference 2.9.h & Reference 2.8 (WCAP Table 3-3)]  $\equiv$ 

Note that sensor uncertainties are considered as zero, due to channel normalization (per power calorimetrics) or through inclusion of neutron flux measurement uncertainties within the process measurement accuracy (PMA) term.

TS = 25.0 % RTP [Reference 2.1]  $SAL = N/A$ [Reference 2.3]  $TA' = SAL - TS$ - N/A; Set to 17.0 % Span (based on current Tech Spec TA).  $Margin = TA' - CSA' =$ = 7.32 % Span  $S' = \{ SD + SCA \} = \{ 0.00 + 0.00 \}$ ( 0.00 **+** 0.00 **<sup>1</sup>** - 0.00 **%** Span **Z'** =  $(A')^{1/2}$  + **EA** = {  $(PMA)^2$  +  $(PEA)^2$  +  $(SPE)^2$  +  $(STE)^2$  +  $(RTE)^2$  }<sup>1/2</sup> + EA **(** { (8.33)2 **+** 02 **+** 02 + 02 **+** (1.18)2 **)1/2 +** 0 = 8.413 % Span  $\equiv$ **T'** is the lesser of:  $\{ RD + RCA \} = \{ 4.20 + 2.00 \}$ **T3.•**   $\blacksquare$  $= 6.20$  % Span  $TA' - S' - Z'$  $T_2'$  $= 17.00 - 0.00 - 8.41$  $\mathbf{r}$ - 8.59 % Span

AV' = { TS **+ f** R'/100%Span **]** x 120%.RTP **)** = 32.44 **%** RTP

The above-computed AV' is higher than that allowed by FCQL-355 (given current Tech Spec requirements of Z = 8.41 %Span, T **=** 5.00 %Span, and AV **<** 30.9 **%** RTP, with a CSA of 9.8 %Span). Therefore, since no PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for the Intermediate Range NIS channels, the current AV shall be retained.

Channels will be scaled commensurate for the increased RTP (consistent with the detectors' increased output). A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE REV.

# $\overline{35}$

# $\overline{0}$

# TABLE 3-3 (Cont'd) INTERMEDIATE RANGE, NEUTRON FLUX Summary of CSA and Five-Colunn Tech Spec Terms



CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV.

#### TABLE 3-4

### SOURCE RANGE, NEUTRON FLUX Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.

CSA' =  $[$  (PMA)<sup>2</sup> + (PEA)<sup>2</sup> + (SMTE + SD)<sup>2</sup> + (STE)<sup>2</sup> + (SPE)<sup>2</sup> +  $(SCA + SMTE)^{2} + (SRA)^{2} + (RMTE + RD)^{2} + (RTE)^{2} + (RCA + RMTE)^{2}]^{1/2}$  $[ (10.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00 + 0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2}]$  $=$  $(0.00 + 0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.50 + 3.00)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50 + 0.50)^2]^{1/2}$ 10.65 % Span [Reference 2.9.i & Reference 2.8 (WCAP Table 3-4)]  $\equiv$  1000  $\pm$ 

Note that sensor uncertainties are considered as zero, due to channel normalization (per power calorimetrics) or through inclusion of neutron flux measurement uncertainties within the process measurement accuracy (PMA) term.

 $TS = 1.0 \times 10^5 \text{ CPS}$  $SAL = N/A$ (Reference 2.1] [Reference 2.3]  $TA' = SAL - TS$ - N/A; Set to 17.0 **%** Span (based on current Tech Spec TA). Margin = TA' - CSA' = 6.35 % Span  $S' = \{ SD + SCA \} = \{ 0.00 + 0.00 \} = 0.00 \%$  Span  $Z'$  =  $(A')^{1/2}$  + EA = {  $(PMA)^2$  +  $(PEA)^2$  +  $(SPE)^2$  +  $(STE)^2$  +  $(RTE)^2$  }<sup>1/2</sup> + EA  $\{(10.00)^2 + 0^2 + 0^2 + 0^2 + (0.50)^2\}^{1/2}$  + 0 = 10.01 % Span  $R' = T'$  is the lesser of:  $T_1'$  = {RD + RCA } = {3.00 + 0.50 }  $T_2'$  =  $TA' - S' - Z'$  $= 17.00 - 0.00 - 10.01$ AV' = ( TS *+* **[** R'/100%Span ] x 1.0 x 106 CPS **I** - 1.35 x **105** CPS - 3.50 **%** Span = 6.99 **%** Span

The above-computed AV' is comparable to that allowed by FCQL-355 (given current Tech Spec requirements of  $Z = 17.0$  %Span,  $T = 3.8$  %Span, and  $AV \le 1.4$  x 10<sup>5</sup> CPS, with a CSA of 10.7 %Span). Therefore, since no PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for the Source Range NIS channels, the current AV shall be retained.

Channels will be scaled commensurate for the increased CPS (consistent with the channels' increased output). A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:

HNP-I/INST-1010 36

CALCULATION NO. PAGE HNP-I/INST-1010

REV.  $\overline{\mathbf{0}}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

<u>37</u>

# TABLE 3-4 (Cont'd) SOURCE RANGE, NEUTRON FLUX Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms



CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 38 REV. **0** 

#### TABLE 3-5

### OVERTEMPERATURE AT Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

The setpoint for the Overtemperature AT trip function is based upon the equation as specified in the current Tech Spec Table 2.2-1. For PUR/SGR operation, the trip function coefficients and time constants were updated, based upon the joint Westinghouse/Siemens analyses (including that documented per Reference 2.10.f [CQL 99-105, Rev. 1]). These updated values are contained within "Note **I"** of the Tech Spec mark-up (included in Table 4-1 herein).

Owing to the complex function and its associated hardware implementation (which uses AT channel inputs along with compensation from Pressurizer Pressure, Power Range NIS AI, and Tavg), discrete allowable values have been computed (by Westinghouse, per Reference 2.9.b [CN-TSS-98-33, Rev. **1])** to correlate to each of these channel inputs. This computational practice reflects actual [MST] surveillance calibration tolerances; these Westinghouse proposed allowable values have been adjusted/recon ciled herein (for consistency with other RTS/ESFAS trip functions), and the updated values are contained within "Note 2" of the Tech Spec mark-up (included in Table 4-1 herein). In lieu of current use of a single Allowable Value for the overall channel, the use of discrete allowable values (for each of these inputs) satisfies NRC requirements for fixed Allowable Value requirement, and is consistent with Westinghouse recommendations within Reference 2.11.a.

Post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms can be computed, by solving for the equations generally shown per Table 1-2 herein. Uncertainties calculated in Reference 2.8 (Table 3-22) and Reference 2.9.b are based upon the normalization of  $\Delta T_c$  (performed per EPT-156).

CSA' =  $8.38\%$  of  $\Delta T$  span [Ref. 2.9.b, Page 26 & Ref. 2.8 (Table 3-5)] This CSA' consists of: Process Measurement Accuracy terms (noted on Pages 20, 21, 23, and 25 of Ref. 2.9.b); RCS N-R RTD and pressurizer pressure transmitter uncer tainties; R/E conversion and nonlinearity rack uncertainties; as well as other process rack uncertainties for  $\Delta T$ , Tavg, pressurizer pressure, and  $\Delta T$  channels.



**<sup>=</sup>**{ (1.32-1.185) x (620.2- 557. <sup>4</sup> ) / (94.2) } x 100% Span **<sup>=</sup>**9.00% of AT span [Ref. 2.9.b, Page 26]

Margin =  $TA'$  -  $CSA'$  = 0.62% of  $AT$  span [Ref. 2.9.b, Page 26]

Comparable **S',** R', and Z" terms can be defined using the "csal" [above CSA'] rela tionship on Page 26 of Ref. 2.9.b, by discretely recognizing each of the CSA' compo nents (noted above); note that S' and R' terms can be computed for the inputs to<br>this AT trip function, using Table 1-2 methodology. (Terminology and values are this  $\Delta T$  trip function, using Table 1-2 methodology. shown consistent with those obtained from Ref. 2.9.b.)

 $S'$ <sub>pressure</sub> = Variation of  $(S_{prz})^{1/2}$  per Ref. 2.9.b, Page 25  $=$  { (sd\_ps ) + (sca\_ps ) } x Conv2 = { (1.00) + (0.50) } x 0.64 = 1.50% of pressurizer pressure span x 0.64 % DT span/% pressure span  $= 0.96\%$  of  $\Delta T$  span  $\sim = 1.0\%$  of  $\Delta T$  span

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 39 0 TABLE 3-5 (Cont'd) OVERTEMPERATURE AT Sunmary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

 $S'$ temperature =  $(S_{\text{RTD}})^{1/2}$  = 0.25% of  $\Delta T$  span [Ref. 2.9.b, Page 25] Note the above S'temperature value is lower than its original Tech Spec temperature sensor error, since Reference 2.9.b RTD uncertainties (e.g., scartd, smtertd, and sdrtd) are set to zero due to normalization process. Since RTD cross-calibrations are performed prior to channel normalization, further acceptance criterion is re quired to define each RTD's acceptability. Reference 2.4.e [INST-1049], Section 6.2 allows for a <  $1.2^{\circ}$ F temperature accuracy for each  $T_{hot}$  or  $T_{cold}$  RTD (based upon a  $0.5^{\circ}$ F RTD calibration accuracy and a  $0.7^{\circ}$ F 18-month RTD drift [as confirmed by the RTD cross-calibration procedure EST-104]). This can be translated into a Tech Spec sensor error of 1.3% of  $\Delta T$  span [by {[1.2°F error/94.2°F  $\Delta T$  span] x 100%} = 1.27 %  $\Delta T$ span]. Round-up allows for the possibility of a slightly lower  $\Delta T$  span (e.g., ~90°F AT at current plant levels with **SG** replacement).

As noted above, Allowable Values for  $\Delta T$ , Tavg, pressurizer pressure, and  $\Delta T$  channels [in terms of AT span] as well as pressurizer pressure transmitter Operability Limit [in terms of % of pressure span] have been recomputed (from those shown on Ref. 2.9.b, Page 26), based upon the following uncertainty terms (using Ref. 2.9.b terminology and values [including conversions shown in Ref. 2.9.b, Page 25)):



= Operableprz-trans **1.5%** of pressurizer pressure span S 'pressure

EPT-156 (performed each calendar quarter) will assure that AT trip channels are maintained in a normalized condition. A -1% AT tolerance is used as the limiting EPT-156 acceptance criterion [to preclude the need for renormalization], which is comparable to the above-noted AT channel input rack drift.

Furthermore, Tech Spec term Z' can be calculated using the  $(A')^{1/2}$  + Biases equation, per the following determination (based upon the terminology within Ref. 2.9.b):

A' = 
$$
(PMA)^2 + (PEA)^2 + (STE)^2 + (SPE)^2 + (RTE)^2
$$

where: PMA = {  $(pma_{rn})^2 + (pma_{\Delta I_{-1}})^2 + (pma_{\Delta I_{-2}})^2 + (pma_{pwr\_cal})^2$ <sup>1/2</sup> **PMA** = {  $(0.00)^2 + (3.00)^2 + (1.30)^2 + (1.33)^2$ }<sup>1/2</sup> = 3.53 % AT span,

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 40 0

### TABLE 3-5 (Cont'd) OVERTEMPERATURE AT Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

which accounts for all random process measurement effects (i.e., AT Hot Leg streaming [Th], incore/excore mismatch **[AI-lI,** incore map AI uncertainty [AI\_2], and secondary side calorimetric uncertainty present at normalization [pwrcal]), after conversion to **%** AT span [per Ref. 2.9.b, Page 23].

PEA and SPE = 0, since these components are not specified within Ref. 2.9.b.

STE = ste = ste\_ps x  $Conv2 = 1.4375$  x  $0.64$  =  $0.92$  %  $\Delta T$  span [per Ref. 2.9.b, Pages 22 & 24]

RTE = dtrte =  $0.5 % \Delta T$  span [per Ref. 2.9.b, Page 21]

Therefore,  $A' = (3.53)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.92)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.50)^2 = 13.5573$  % AT span

In addition, all PMA terms treated as Biases have been included (i.e., the  $\Delta T$ burndown effect [budt], the Tavg burndown effect [butavg], the Tavg asyimmetry [Tavg asym], and the T' - Tref mismatch [TpTr]; per Ref. 2.9.b, Page 20 defines these terms as biases, and Page 23 provides conversions in terms of **%** AT span):

Biases = (pma<sub>budt</sub>) + (pma<sub>butavg</sub>) + (pma<sub>Tavy\_asym</sub>) + (pma<sub>Tp\_Tr</sub>)  
= (0.64) + (0.45) + (1.49) + (1.05) = 3.63 % 
$$
\Delta T
$$
 span

Therefore, Z" can be solved based on the above determined A' and Biases:  $Z' = (A')^{1/2}$  + Biases =  $(13.5573)^{1/2}$  + 3.63 = 7.312 ~= 7.31 % AT span Note that this computed Z" term is slightly larger than the previous Tech Spec value, for consistency with the PUR/SGR uncertainty calculation and its associated uncertainty component accounting.

Tech Spec Term Current Tech Spec Value Post-PUR/SGR Value Total Allowance (TA) 8.7 **%** Span 9.0 **%** Span Z Term 6.02 **%** Span 7.31 **%** Span Sensor Error (S) **Per current Note 5** Per new Note 5 (see below) Trip Setpoint (TS) Per current Note 1 Per new Note 1 (see below) Allowable Value (AV) Per current Note 2 Per new Note 2 (see below)

Tech Spec terms can be summarized as follows:

Post-PUR/SGR Note **1:** Overtemperature **AT** Function, Coefficients, and Time Constants will be updated consistent with format specified in References 2.9.b and 2.10.f. See Tech Spec mark-up contained in Table 4-1 herein.

Post-PUR/SGR Note 2: The channel's maximum Trip Setpoint shall not exceed its computed Trip Setpoint by more than: 1.4% of **AT** span for **AT**

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE REV. 41  $\overline{\mathbf{0}}$ 

and the state of the

# TABLE 3-5 (Cont'd) OVERTEMPERATURE AT Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

a construction of the company of the

channel input; 2.0% of  $\Delta T$  span for Tavg input; 0.4% of  $\Delta T$ span for pressurizer pressure input; and 0.7% of AT span for the **Al** input.

Post-PUR/SGR Note 5: The sensor error is:  $1.3\%$  of  $\Delta T$  span for  $\Delta T/Tavg$  temperature measurements; and 1.0% of  $\Delta T$  span for pressurizer pressure measurements.

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 42 REV. 0

### TABLE 3-6

.<br>1961 – La Mangala i Andrea de La Mangala (m. 1996), a marcado de composición de la mangala marca das podes de

### OVERPOWER AT Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

The setpoint for the Overpower AT trip function is based upon the equation as specified in the current Tech Spec Table 2.2-1. For PUR/SGR operation, the trip function coefficients and time constants were updated, based upon the joint Westinghouse/Siemens analyses (including that documented per Reference 2.10.f [CQL 99-105, Rev. 1]). These updated values are contained within "Note 3" of the Tech Spec mark-up (included in Table 4-1 herein).

Owing to the complex function and its associated hardware implementation (which uses AT channel inputs along with compensation from Tavg), discrete allowable values have been computed (by Westinghouse, per Reference 2.9.b [CN-TSS-98-33, Rev. **1])** to correlate to each of these channel inputs. This computational practice reflects actual [MST] surveillance calibration tolerances; these Westinghouse proposed allowable values have been adjusted/reconciled herein (for consistency with other RTS/ESFAS trip functions), and the updated values are contained within "Note 4" of the Tech Spec mark-up (included in Table 4-1 herein). Similar to that noted in Table 3-5 herein, the use of discrete allowable values (for each of these inputs) satisfies NRC requirements for fixed Allowable Value requirement, and is consistent with Westinghouse recommendations within Reference 2.1l.a.

Post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms can be computed, by solving for the equations generally shown per Table 1-2 herein. Uncertainties calculated in Reference 2.8 (Table 3-22) and Reference 2.9.b are based upon the normalization of **AT,** (performed per EPT-156).

CSA $'$  = 2.95% of  $\Delta T$  span [Ref. 2.9.b, Page 32 & Ref. 2.8 (Table 3-6)] This CSA' consists of: Process Measurement Accuracy terms (noted on Pages 20, 21, 23, and 25 of Ref. 2.9.b); RCS N-R RTD uncertainties; R/E conversion and non linearity rack uncertainties; as well as other process rack uncertainties for AT and Tavg channels.



Margin =  $TA' - CSA' = 1.05% of  $\Delta T$  span [Ref. 2.9.b, Page 32]$ 

Comparable **S',** R', and Z' terms can be defined using the "csal" [above CSA'] rela tionship on Page 32 of Ref. 2.9.b, by discretely recognizing each of the CSA' components (noted above); note that **S'** and R' terms can be computed for the inputs to this AT trip function. (Terminology and values are shown consistent with those obtained from Ref. 2.9.b.)

 $S'$ temperature =  $(S_{\text{RTD}})^{1/2}$  = 0.25% of  $\Delta T$  span [Ref. 2.9.b, Page 31] As discussed in Table 3-5 herein, the 1.3% AT span acceptance criterion is also applicable (prior to channel normalization) to define the RTDs" OPAT Tech Spec sensor error **S'** term, in lieu of the (already normalized) above-noted S'temperature-

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV.  $\Omega$ 

HNP-I/INST-1010 43

### TABLE 3-6 (Cont'd) OVERPOWER AT Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

As noted above, Allowable Values for  $\Delta T$  and Tavg channels [in terms of  $\Delta T$  span] have been recomputed (from those shown on Ref. 2.9.b, Page 32), based upon the following uncertainty terms (using terminology and values obtained from Ref. 2.9.b [including the OPAT "Conv2" conversion factor specified on Page 31 of Ref. 2.9.b]):



As noted in Table 3-5, limiting EPT-156 renormalization criterion assures channel normalization comparable to the above-computed  $\Delta T$  channel input rack drift.

Also similar to the process shown in Table 3-5, Tech Spec term Z' can be calculated using the  $(A')^{1/2}$  + Biases equation, per the following OPAT determination (based upon the terminology and % AT span conversions, respectively, within Ref. 2.9.b, Pages 28 and 30):

A' =  $(PMA)^{2} + (PER)^{2} + (STE)^{2} + (SPE)^{2} + (RTE)^{2}$ 

where: PMA = {  $(pma_{Th})^2 + (pma_{pwr\_cal})^2$  }<sup>1/2</sup>

PMA = { $(0.00)^2 + (1.33)^2$ }<sup>1/2</sup> = 1.33 %  $\Delta$ T span

which accounts for all random process measurement effects (i.e.,  $\Delta T$  Hot Leg streaming [Th], and secondary side calorimetric uncertainty present at normalization [pwr\_cal]), after conversion to % AT span [per Ref. 2.9.b, Page 30].

PEA, STE, and SPE **=** 0, since these components are not specified within Ref. 2.9.b.

RTE **=** dtrte **=** 0.5 % AT span [per Ref. 2.9.b, Page 21]

Therefore,  $A' = (1.33)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.50)^2 = 2.0189$  % AT span

In addition, all PMA terms treated as Biases have been included (i.e., the  $\Delta T$ burndown effect [budt], the Tavg burndown effect [butavg], the Tavg asymmetry [Tavg\_asym], and the T' - Tref mismatch [Tp\_Tr]; per Ref. 2.9.b, Page 28 defines these terms as biases, and Page 30 provides [OPAT] conversions in terms of % AT span):

Biases = (pma<sub>budt</sub>) + (pma<sub>butavg</sub>) + (pma<sub>ray-asym</sub>) + (pma<sub>rp\_tr</sub>)  
= (0.64) + (0.04) + (0.13) + (0.09) = 0.90 % 
$$
\Delta T
$$
 span

Therefore, Z' can be solved based on the above determined A' and Biases:  $Z'$  =  $(A')^{1/2}$  + Biases =  $(2.0189)^{1/2}$  + 0.90 = 2.3208 ~= 2.32 %  $\Delta T$  span

CALCULATION NO. PAGE

HNP-I/INST-1010 44

#### REV.

 $\overline{0}$ 

# TABLE  $3-6$  (Cont'd) OVERPOWER AT Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Note that this computed Z' term is slightly larger than the previous Tech Spec value, for consistency with the PUR/SGR uncertainty calculation and its associated uncertainty component accounting.

In summary, Tech Spec terms can be summarized as follows:



- Post-PUR/SGR Note 3: Overpower AT Function, Coefficients, and Time Constants will be updated consistent with format specified in References 2.9.b and 2.10.f. See Tech Spec mark-up contained in Table 4-1 herein.
- Post-PUR/SGR Note 4: The channel's maximum Trip Setpoint shall not exceed its computed Trip Setpoint by more than:  $1.4%$  of  $\Delta T$  span for  $\Delta T$ input; and 0.2% of **AT** span for Tavg input.

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010<br>PAGE 45 **PAGE** 

REV. 0

### TABLE 3-7A

PRESSURIZER PRESSURE - LOW, REACTOR TRIP Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.



The above-computed AV' is comparable to the originally 1946 psig value specified by FCQL-355 (with current Tech Spec requirements of  $Z = 2.21$  %Span,  $T = 1.8$  %Span, and  $S = 1.5$  %Span, based upon a CSA of 3.9 %Span). Given the reduction in CSA', Z', and T', the computed AV' will be used for post-PUR/SGR Allowable Value. A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:



CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1O10 PAGE 46

REV. 0

.<br>Alaman kalender adalah kera

#### TABLE 3-7B

a may katangguna ka kalimatin maka sa kata a sa sa sa sa sa mana sa manamara katin din mining aya manamaran ng

### PRESSURIZER PRESSURE - HIGH, REACTOR TRIP Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.



The above-computed AV' is comparable to the originally 2399 psig value specified by FCQL-355 (with current Tech Spec requirements of  $Z = 5.01$  %Span,  $T = 1.8$  %Span, and  $S = 0.5$  %Span, based upon a CSA of 6.3 %Span). Given the reduction in CSA',  $Z'$ , and T', the computed AV' will be used for post-PUR/SGR Allowable Value. A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are sunmmarized as follows:



CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010  $47$  $\overline{0}$ 

### TABLE 3-8

### PRESSURIZER WATER LEVEL - HIGH Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.



The above-computed AV' should be used in lieu of the current Tech Spec AV of 93.8 **%**  level span (owing to the original 1.8% Span trigger value). A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:



CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010  $PAGE$ REV. 0

#### TABLE 3-9

### REACTOR COOLANT FLOW - LOW Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equation format shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function. In addition, uncertainty components are adjusted for the flow conversion factor of 0.663 (used to convert uncertainties from % DP transmitter span to % RCS flow span), which was computed on Page 21 of Reference 2.9.n; see Reference 2.8, Table 3-24 [AP Measure ments Expressed in Flow Units] for further derivation of conversion factor. Channel normalization, based upon EST-709 calorimetric measurement and EST-708/OST-1021 surveillances, allows sensor calibration tolerance [SCA), sensor M&TE [SMTE], and sensor pressure & temperature effects [SPE & STE] to be defined with zero uncertainty.



current TA of 2.9% Flow Span). Since Z' and than the current Tech Spec S and Z terms, it is acceptable to maintain  $S' = S =$ 0.60% Flow Span and  $Z' = Z = 1.98$ % of Flow Span (based upon the increased TA' term, and given that no PUR/SGR-related hardware changes [other than normalization for new RCS flow conditions at uprated power operation] are being implemented). A compari son of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:

CALCULATION NO.

HNP-I/INST-1010  $\frac{49}{49}$ 

PAGE REV.  $\overline{\circ}$ 

. . . . . . . . . .

### TABLE 3-9 (Cont'd) REACTOR COOLANT FLOW - LOW Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

 $\bar{z}$ 



### TABLE **3-10A**

SG WATER LEVEL, LOW-LOW (FW LINE BREAK)

Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.

CSA' =  $[(SMTE + SD)^{2} + (STE)^{2} + (SPE)^{2} + (SCA + SMTE)^{2} + (SRA)^{2} + (SRA)^{2}]$  $(RMTE + RD)^2 + (RTE)^2 + (RCA + RMTE)^2]^{1/2} + EA_{RefLog} + EA_{HELB} +$  $PMA_{RefLgGTerm}$  +  $PMA_{pressure}$  +  $PMA_{Subcool}$  +  $PMA_{rluidVelocity}$  +  $PMA_{midPlateDP}$  $[(0.50 + 1.50)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.63)^2 + (0.50 + 0.50)^2 + (0.50)^2 +$  $(0.08 + 1.00)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50 + 0.08)^2]$ <sup>1/2</sup> + (1.50) + (10.00) +  $(0.40) + (0.00) + (1.90) + (0.00) + (2.10)$ 

18.67 % Span [Reference 2.9.a and Reference 2.8 (WCAP Table 3-10a)] Note that above CSA' computation includes a 1.5% Span sensor drift uncertainty (versus the 2.0% Span value originally assumed in References 2.8 and 2.9.a), based upon subsequent review of As-Found/As-Left transmitter drift data.

In addition, no uncertainty [bias] due to cable insulation resistance degradation was assumed above (versus the 1.0% Span value originally assumed in Reference 2.9.a); this is based upon the short-lived (i.e., less than 30-second) Feedwater Line Break accident environment prior to the reactor trip (for consistency with assumption in INST-1045, Rev. **1,** Section 6.10 [Reference 2.4.d]).

TS' **=** 25.0 % Level SAL' **=** 0.0 % Level [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.2)] [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.2)] TA' **=** { ( **TS'** - SAL' ) / 100 **%** level **I** x **100 %** Span **<sup>=</sup>**25.00 % Span Margin **=** TA' - CSA' - 6.33 % Span S" **=** ( (SD) + (SCA)) - { (1.50) + (0.50) **) <sup>=</sup>**2.00 % Span  $Z' = (A')^{1/2}$  + Biases  $=$  **(**  $(SPE)^{2}$  +  $(STE)^{2}$  +  $(RTE)^{2}$ <sup>1/2</sup> +  $ER_{RefLog}$  +  $ER_{RELB}$  +  $PMR_{RefLog}$  + PMA<sub>Pressure</sub> + PMA<sub>Subcool</sub> + PMA<sub>Fluid</sub> + PMA<sub>MidPlateDP</sub>  $\{ (0.63)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50)^2 \}^{1/2} + (1.50) + (10.0) + (0.40) +$ (0.00) + (1.90) + (0.00) + (2.10) **=** 16.85 % Span

Note that Biases shown conservatively reflect a worst-case value over the ent: instrument span, and not specifically at the 25% Level trip setpoint.

R" **=** T" is the lesser of:  $T_1'$  = { RD + RCA } = { (1.0) + (0.5) }  $T_2'$  =  $TA' - S' - Z'$  $=$  25.00 - 2.00 - 16.85 = 6.15 % Span AV" **=** { **TS'** - [ R'/100%Span **I** x **100** % Level **)** = 1.50 % Span = 23.5 % Level

Since the above-noted trip setpoint corresponds to a requirement for the Model  $\Delta$ 75 replacement steam generators [RSGs], the current Tech Spec values (associated with

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 51 0

# TABLE **3-10A** (Cont'd) SG WATER LEVEL, LOW-LOW (FW LINE BREAK) Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

the Model D-4 SGs) are not directly comparable. The summary which follows (for current and post-PUR/SGR values) has been provided for completeness only:



Table 3.3-4, Item 6.c [Auxiliary Feedwater Initiation] also specifies the Low-Low SG Level RTS trip setpoint for this ESFAS function. Since the same RTS channels perform this ESFAS function, the above RTS post-PUR/SGR values can be applied to its corresponding ESFAS Tech Spec requirement. (This is consistent with the practice used in the current Tech Spec Table 2.2-1, Item 13 and Table 3.3-4, Item 6.c.)

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 52  $\mathbf{0}$ 

#### TABLE 3-10B

SG WATER LEVEL, LOW-LOW (LOSS OF NORMAL FW)

#### Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.

 $CSA' = [ (SMTE + SD)^2 + (STE)^2 + (SPE)^2 + (SCA + SMTE)^2 + (SRA)^2 +$  $(RMTE + RD)^2 + (RTE)^2 + (RCA + RMTE)^2]^{1/2} + EA_{RefLog} + EA_{HELB} +$  $PMA_{RefLogTemp}$  +  $PMA_{Pressure}$  +  $PMA_{Subcool}$  +  $PMA_{PluidVelocity}$  +  $PMA_{MidPlateDP}$  $[(0.50 + 1.50)^{2} + (0.50)^{2} + (0.63)^{2} + (0.50 + 0.50)^{2} + (0.50)^{2} +$  $(0.08 + 1.00)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50 + 0.08)^2]^{1/2} + (0.00) + (0.00) +$  $(0.40) + (0.00) + (1.90) + (0.00) + (2.10)$ 

= 7.17 % Span [Reference 2.9.a and Reference 2.8 (WCAP Table 3-10b)] As noted per Table 3-10A, the above CSA' computation includes: a 1.5% Span sensor drift uncertainty (versus the 2.0% Span value originally assumed in References 2.8 and 2.9.a); and conservatively chosen Biases which reflect worst-case values.

[Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.2)]  $TS' = 25.0 %$  Level [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.2)]  $SAL' = 16.1 %$  Level TA' = { ( TS' **-** SAL' ) / **<sup>100</sup>**% level **I** x **100** % Span = 8.9 % Span  $\texttt{Margin}$  = TA' - CSA' = 1.73 % Span  $S' = { (SD) + (SCA)}$  **}** = {  $(1.50) + (0.50)$  } = 2.00 % Span  $Z' = (A')^{1/2}$  + Biases  $=$  {  $(SPE)^{2}$  +  $(STE)^{2}$  +  $(RTE)^{2}$ <sup>1/2</sup> +  $ER_{RefLeg}$  +  $ER_{RELB}$  +  $PMA_{RefLog}$  + PMA<sub>Pressure</sub> **+ PMA**<sub>Subcool</sub> **+ PMA**<sub>Fluid</sub> **+ PMA**<sub>MidPlateDP</sub>  $($   $(0.63)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50)^2$   $)$ <sup>1/2</sup> + (0.00) + (0.0) + (0.40) +  $= 5.35 %$  Span  $(0.00) + (1.90) + (0.00) + (2.10)$  $R' = T'$  is the lesser of:  $=$  1.50 % Span  $=$   $\{$  RD + RCA  $\}$  $=$  {  $(1.0)$  +  $(0.5)$  }  $T, I$ = **TAI - S' -** Z" *-* 8.90 **-** 2.00 **-** 5.35  $= 1.55$  % Span  $\mathbf{T}_2$ .

$$
AV' = \{ TS' - [R'/100%Span \mid x 100 % Level \}
$$
 = 23.5 % Level

Since the current licensing basis for the Low-Low SG Narrow-Range Level specifies the Tech Spec TA and Z for only the Feedwater Line Break (and not for the Loss of Normal Feedwater condition), the values within Table **3-10A** continue to apply for the Low-Low setpoint Tech Spec requirements for TA' and Z'.

The summary which follows (for current [Model D-4 SG] and post-PUR/SGR [Model A75 RSG] values) has been provided for completeness only, and is consistent with that shown in Table **3-10A** (absent a specific current Tech Spec listing associated with this channel's function under Loss of Normal Feedwater, and owing to the common hardware implementation for these trip functions):

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE REV. 53  $\overline{\mathbf{0}}$ 

# TABLE 3-10B (Cont'd) SG WATER LEVEL, LOW-LOW (LOSS OF NORMAL FW) Sunmnary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms



CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 54  $\mathbf{O}$ 

# TABLE **3-10C**  STEAM GENERATOR WATER LEVEL, LOW Sunmmary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.

CSA' =  $[(SMTE + SD)^{2} + (STE)^{2} + (SPE)^{2} + (SCA + SMTE)^{2} + (SRA)^{2} +$  $(RMTE + RD)^2 + (RTE)^2 + (RCA + RMTE)^2]^{1/2} + EA_{RefLog} + EA_{HELB}$ PMA<sub>RefLegTemp</sub> + PMA<sub>Pressure</sub> + PMA<sub>Subcool</sub> + PMA<sub>FluidVelocity</sub> + PMA<sub>MidPlateDP</sub>  $=$  [  $(0.50 + 1.50)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.63)^2 + (0.50 + 0.50)^2 + (0.50)^2 +$  $(0.08 + 1.00)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50 + 0.08)^2]^{1/2} + (0.00) + (0.00) +$ (0.40) + (0.00) **+** (1.90) + (0.00) + (2.10)

7.17 % Span [Reference 2.9.a and Reference 2.8 (WCAP Table 3-10c)] As noted per Table 3-10A, the above CSA' computation includes: a 1.5% Span sensor drift uncertainty (versus the 2.0% Span value originally assumed in References 2.8 and 2.9.a); and conservatively chosen Biases which reflect worst-case values.

TS' = 25.0 % Level [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.2)]

 $SAL' = 16.1 %$  Level [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.2)] Note that Reference 2.4.d acknowledges that Low-Low RSG Level SAL was assumed for conservatism, since an SAL value is not credited in the Safety Analysis for an assumed loss of normal feedwater.

TA' = { ( **TS'** - SAL' ) / 100 % level } x 100 % Span = 8.9 % Span

 $\texttt{Margin}$  =  $\texttt{TA'}$  -  $\texttt{CSA'}$  = 1.73 %  $\texttt{Spar}$ 

 $S' = \{ (SD) + (SCA) \} = \{ (1.50) + (0.50) \} = 2.00 %$  Span

 $=$   $(A')^{1/2}$  + Biases **Z'"**

 $=$  {  $(SPE)^{2}$  +  $(STE)^{2}$  +  $(RTE)^{2}$ <sup>1/2</sup> +  $ER_{RefLog}$  +  $EA_{RELB}$  +  $PMA_{RefLog}$  +  $PMA<sub>pressure</sub> + PMA<sub>subcool</sub> + PMA<sub>fluid</sub> + PMA<sub>midd</sub><sub>right.</sub>$  ${\frac{FMLP_{F\text{cos}^{-1}}}{F(0.63)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50)^2} \frac{1^{1/2} + (0.00) + (0.0) + (0.40) + (0.40)}{F(0.63)^2}}$  $\equiv$ 

(0.00) + (1.90) **+** (0.00) + (2.10)  $= 5.35 %$  Span

 $R' = T'$  is the lesser of:  $T_1' = { R D + R C A }$  $T_2'$  =  $TA' - S' - Z'$  $=$  { (1.0) + (0.5) }  $=$  8.90 - 2.00 - 5.35 AV' = { TS' - [ R'/100%Span ] x 100 % Level }  $= 1.50$  % Span  $=$  1.55 % Span  $= 23.5 %$  Level

Since the above-noted trip setpoint corresponds to a requirement for the Model A75 replacement steam generators [RSGs], the current Tech Spec values (associated with the Model D-4 SGs) are not directly comparable. The summary which follows (for current and post-PUR/SGR values) has been provided for completeness only:

CALCULATION NO. PAGE HNP-I/INST-i010

REV.  $\overline{\circ}$ 

55

# TABLE 3-lOC (Cont'd) STEAM GENERATOR WATER LEVEL, LOW Sunmmary of **CSA** and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms



CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 56 REV. **0** 

#### TABLE 3-11

### STEAM/FEEDWATER FLOW MISMATCH Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

This trip function is based upon a high mismatch setpoint signal between steam flow and feedwater flow, coincident with the SG N-R Level Low setpoint. The steam flow input is density-compensated from the main steamline pressure channel. The current and post-PUR/SGR mismatch trip setpoints **[TS** and TS'] have been maintained at a 40% flow mismatch (i.e., original accident analyses scenario assumed a 100% steam flow and a 60% feedwater flow). This mismatch trip function is considered a backup to the SG N-R Level Low-Low trip function; as such, no credit is taken for this RTS trip function within current (and post-PUR/SGR) SPC Safety Analyses.

The initial CP&L design input provided to Westinghouse per Reference 2.12.b [HW/99 038] assumed that existing steam and feedwater flow spans would be maintained (to preclude hardware replacements), and that renormalization of flow loops would be performed to correspond to the increased flows at 100% operation. Therefore, a re duction for the original, nominal 120% flow range to an expected 116.55% flow range was assumed (given the 4.29 MPPH maximum PCWG 100% uprated flow condition, relative to the existing 5.0 MPPH maximum range EPCWG Case 35 per Ref. 2.10.c]). In addition, a slightly lower 100% uprated flow of 4.24 MPPH (relative to the 5.0 MPPH maximum range [PCWG Case 30 per Ref. 2.10.c]) has been identified, which would yield an expected 117.93% flow range.

For comparison to RSG only operation at current power conditions, computations at an expected 122.94% flow range can also be evaluated, based upon the current 100% operation at 4.067 MPPH (relative to the 5.0 MPPH maximum range [PCWG Case 1 per<br>Ref. 2.10.c]). The use of this design assumption maximizes (or conservatively The use of this design assumption maximizes (or conservatively bounds) the mismatch trip channel uncertainty, independent of the flow range selected for final design implementation.

Using the terminology within Reference 2.9.1 [CN-SSO-99-18, Rev. **1],** Pages 23 and 24, the following adjusted conversion factors were computed for use herein:

Feedwater Flow Conversion (to convert uncertainties from % DP xntr span to % flow span; Ref. 2.9.1 used 0.97):

 $CFF_{at}$  122.94% = FMAX/(2 x FFNOM) = 122.94/(2 x 60) = 1.0245  $CFF_{at}$  **117.93%** = FMAX/(2 x FFNOM) = 117.93/(2 x 60) = 0.9827

Steam Flow Conversion (to convert uncertainties from % DP xmtr span to % flow span; Ref. 2.9.1 used 0.58):



An additional conservative input assumption for the steam pressure span to flow span conversion factor [CSP] was used by Westinghouse, by rounding off the 1.142 proportionality constant to 1.2, for all steam pressure transmitter and process rack uncertainties.

This conversion process is consistent with the methodology originally used in Reference 2.3 [FCQL-355], and per PUR/SGR project documentation within Table 3-24 of Reference 2.8 [WCAP-15249]. Using the following equations generally shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. 0

 $HNP-I/INST-1010$ 57

### TABLE 3-11 (Cont'd) STEAM/FEEDWATER FLOW MISMATCH Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

CSA' =  $[(SF\_pma)^2 + (FF\_pea)^2 + (SF\_rte)^2 + (SF\_smte + SF\_sd)^2 + (SF\_spe)^2 + (SF\_ste)^2 +$  $(SF_Sra)^2 + (SF_Sca + SF_Smte)^2 + (SF_rmte + SF_rd)^2 + (SF_crea + SF_rmte)^2 +$ (FF\_smte + FF\_sd)<sup>2</sup> + (FF\_spe)<sup>2</sup> + (FF\_ste)<sup>2</sup> + (FF\_sra)<sup>2</sup> + (FF\_sca +  $\overline{F}$ F\_smte)<sup>2</sup> +  $(FF\_rmte + FF\_rd)^2 + (FF\_rca + FF\_rmte)^2 + (SP\_smte + SP\_sd)^2 + (SP\_ste)^2 +$  $(SP\_sra)^2$  +  $(SP\_sca + SP\_smte)^2$  +  $(SP\_rmte + SP\_rd)^2$  +  $(SP\_rca + SP\_rmte)^2$   $]^{1/2}$ 

Using the above CSA' equation, reconciled channel Uncertainty Components have been determined in the following manner. This computation also considered the possibility of replacement of existing Barton 764 steam flow transmitters with Rosemount 1154DP5 series transmitters. This calculation utilizes the maximum uncertainty component of % Flow Span and transmitter model.





0.42 0.26 0.51 0.55

 $\omega = \omega$ 

 $---$ 

#### Feedwater Flow Channels:



#### Steam Pressure Channels:



CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE REV. 0

### TABLE 3-11 (Cont'd) STEAM/FEEDWATER FLOW MISMATCH Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

CSA' =  $[(2.54)^{2} + (0.42)^{2} + (0.50)^{2} + (0.33 + 0.77)^{2} + (0.31)^{2} + (0.59)^{2} + (0.31)^{2} +$  $(0.31 + 0.33)^{2} + (0.20 + 1.00)^{2} + (0.50 + 0.20)^{2} + (0.55 + 1.28)^{2} + (0.31)^{2} + (1.13)^{2} +$  $(0.26)^{2} + (0.51 + 0.55)^{2} + (0.20 + 1.00)^{2} + (0.50 + 0.20)^{2} + (0.65 + 1.80)^{2} + (0.60)^{2} +$  $(0.60)^{2} + (0.60 + 0.65)^{2} + (0.24 + 1.20)^{2} + (0.60 + 0.24)^{2}$ ]<sup>1/2</sup> = 5.466 % Flow Span TS = 40.0 % Rated Flow [Reference 2.1]<br>SAL' = N/A (References 2.3  $N/A$  [References 2.3 and 2.13.a]  $TA = 20.0 %$  Flow Span [Reference 2.1] Current Tech Spec TA was used, for evaluation of **S'** & Z'. Margin = TA -  $CSA'$  = 20.0 - 5.47  $\sim$  = 14.53 % Flow Span  $S'$ stmFlow = {  $(SF_s d)$  +  $(SF_s c a)$  }  $=$  {  $(0.77) + (0.31)$  }  $=$  1.08 % Flow Span  $\sim$  = 1.1 % Flow Span  $S'$ FWFlow = { $(FF_s d) + (FF_sca)$ }  $=$  {  $(1.28) + (0.51)$  }  $=$  1.79 % Flow Span  $\sim$  = 1.8 % Flow Span  $S'$ StmPres = {  $(SP_Sd)$  +  $(SP_Sca)$  } = { (1.80) + (0.60) } 2.4 % Flow Span  $Z' = (A')^{1/2} + Biases$  $=$  {  $(PMA)^{2}$  +  $(PEA)^{2}$  +  $(Total SPE)^{2}$  +  $(Total STE)^{2}$  +  $(RTE)^{2}$   $H^{1/2}$  + EA + Bias  $=$  {  $(SF pma)^{2} + (FF pea)^{2} + ($  [(SF spe)<sup>2</sup> + (FF spe)<sup>2</sup> ]<sup>1/2</sup>)<sup>2</sup> +  $(( [(SF\_ste)^2 + (FF\_ste)^2 + (SP\_ste)^2 ]^{1/2})^2 + (SF\_rte)^2 )^{1/2} + EA + Bias$  $=$   $\{(2.54)^2 + (0.42)^2 + [(0.31)^2 + (0.31)^2] + [(0.59)^2 + (1.13)^2 + (0.60)^2] + (0.5)^2$   $\}^{1/2}$ + (0) + (0) =  $(9.0552)^{1/2}$  = 3.0092 = 3.01 % Flow Span

The above-computed Z" term has been reduced from that shown in the current Tech Specs, owing to the elimination of the thermal nonrepeatability bias previously assumed for originally installed Barton 764 feedwater flow transmitters.

R' = 
$$
((SF\_rd + SF\_rca)^2 + (FF\_rd + FF\_rca)^2 + (SP\_rd + SP\_rca)^2)^{1/2}
$$
  
\n=  $((1.0 + 0.5)^2 + (1.0 + 0.5)^2 + (1.2 + 0.6)^2)^{1/2}$   
\n=  $((2.25) + (2.25) + (3.24))^{1/2}$  =  $(7.74)^{1/2}$  = 2.782 % Flow Span

Using a 122.94% Span (versus the original plant design of 120% Span), which incor porates steam/feedwater flow conversion values based upon the current 5.0 MPPH/4.067 MPPH maximum/100% flow ratio, a worst-case allowable value (designated by AV,') can be computed for SGR only operation. However, for PUR/SGR operation at the maximum 5.0 MPPH/4.24 MPPH flow ratio, PUR/SGR rescaling will result in a 117.93% Rated Flow span and a corresponding PUR/SGR allowable value  $AV_2'$ .

$$
AV_1' =
$$
 { TS + [ R'/100%Span ] x 122.94 % Rated Flow }  
= 40.0 + 0.0278 x 122.94 = 40.0 + 3.417 = 43.417 % Rated Flow

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV.

59 0

HNP-I/INST-1010

### TABLE 3-11 (Cont'd) STEAM/FEEDWATER FLOW MISMATCH Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

# AV2 ' = {TS + **[** R'/100%Span **I** x 117.93 % Rated Flow **1**   $=$  40.0 + 0.0278 x 117.93 = 40.0 + 3.278 = 43.278 % Rated Flow

The above-computed AV' values are comparable to the current Tech Spec AV of 43.1% full steam flow at RTP; the current AV should be used owing to its slightly smaller value. The current Tech Spec TA = TA' should also be used, as specified above. The above-computed Z' and **S'** values are applicable for PUR/SGR and/or SGR only operation, given the conservative conversion factors/uncertainty components employ ed. A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:



Post-PUR/SGR Note 6: The sensor error (in % Span of Steam Flow) is: 1.1% for steam flow; 1.8% for feedwater flow; and 2.4% for steam pressure.

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV.

 $HNP-I/INST-1010$ 

60 0

# TABLE 3-12A

CONTAINMENT PRESSURE - HIGH-1 & HIGH-2 Sumumary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.

CSA' =  $[$   $(PMA)^{2} + (PEA)^{2} + (SME + SD)^{2} + (STE)^{2} + (SPE)^{2} +$  $(SCA + SMTE)^{2} + (SRA)^{2} + (RMTE + RD)^{2} + (RTE)^{2} + (RCA + RMTE)^{2}]^{1/2}$  $=$   $(0.00)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (0.71 + 1.00)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.00)^2 +$  $(0.50 + 0.71)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50 + 1.00)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50 + 0.50)^2]^{1/2}$ - 2.89 **-=** 2.9 % Span [Reference 2.9.j & Reference 2.8 (WCAP Table 3-12)]

Note that above CSA' computation includes a 1.0% Span sensor drift uncertainty (ver sus the 1.25% Span value assumed in References 2.8 and 2.9.j), based upon subsequent review of As-Found/As-Left drift data and for consistency with the "transmitter allowable drift [TAD]" value defined per current channel scaling calculations.

[Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.18)]  $TS = 3.0$   $psig$ [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.18)]  $SAL' = 5.0$  psig TA' = { ( SAL' **-** TS ) / 55.0 psig Span } x 100 % Span = 3.64 % Span Margin = TA' - CSA" = 0.74 % Span **S'** = **(** (SD) **+** (SCA) **)** <sup>=</sup> **(** (1.00) **+** (0.5) } **- 1.50** % Span  $(A')^{1/2}$  + EA = {  $(PMA)^2$  +  $(PEA)^2$  +  $(SPE)^2$  +  $(STE)^2$  +  $(RTE)^2$  }<sup>1/2</sup> + EA **Z'**  $=$  ${\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0^2 & +0^2 & +0^2 & + (0.50)^2 & + (0.50)^2 & \end{array}}$ <sup>1/2</sup> + 0.00 **-** 0.71 % Span  $\equiv$  $R'$  =  $T'$  is the lesser of: { (1.0) **+** (0.5) 1 **-** 1.50 % Span { RD **+** RCA }  $\equiv$  $T_1$ <sup>1</sup>  $=$  $3.64 - 1.50 - 0.71$ **-** 1.43 % Span  $TA' - S' - Z'$  $=$  $T_2'$  $=$  $= 3.79$  psig AV' = { TS **+** [ R'/100%Span **I** x 55 psig **)** 

The computed AV' is greater than the current Tech Spec AV of 3.6 psig. Therefore, AV' = AV = 3.6 psig will be retained for PUR/SGR operation. A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows: t 3.6 psig. Thererore,<br>A comparison of current



CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 **PAGE** REV. 0

### TABLE 3-12B CONTAINMENT PRESSURE - HIGH-3 Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.

 $CSA' = [ (PMA)^{2} + (PER)^{2} + (SMTE + SD)^{2} + (STE)^{2} + (SPE)^{2} +$  $(SCA + SMTE)^{2} + (SRA)^{2} + (RMTE + RD)^{2} + (RTE)^{2} + (RCA + RMTE)^{2}]^{1/2}$  $=$   $[(0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.71 + 1.00)^{2} + (0.50)^{2} + (0.00)^{2} + (0.00)^{2}]$  $(0.50 + 0.71)^{2} + (0.50)^{2} + (0.50 + 1.00)^{2} + (0.50)^{2} + (0.50 + 0.50)^{2}$ ]<sup>1/2</sup>  $2.89$   $\sim$   $=$  2.9 % Span [Reference 2.9.j & Reference 2.8 (WCAP Table 3-12)] As per Table 3-12A, a 1.0% Span sensor drift uncertainty (versus the 1.25% Span value assumed in References 2.8 and 2.9.j) was used. TS = 10.0 psig [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.18)] SAL' = 12.0 psig [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.18)] TA' = { (SAL' - TS ) / 55.0 psig Span **)** x **100 %** Span = 3.64 **%** Span Margin = TA' -  $CSA'$  =  $0.74$  % Span  $S' = { (SD) + (SCA)} = { (1.00) + (0.5)}$  = 1.50 % Span  $Z' = (A')^{1/2} + EA = { (PMA)^2 + (PER)^2 + (SPE)^2 + (STE)^2 + (RTE)^2 }<sup>1/2</sup> + EA$  $\{ 0^2 + 0^2 + 0^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50)^2 \}^{1/2}$  + 0.00 = 0.71 % Span  $R' = T'$  is the lesser of: **T"** = RD **+** RCA **I** = { (1.0) **+** (0.5) 1 = 1.50 % Span  $T_2'$  = TA' - S' - Z' = 3.64 - 1.50 - 0.71 = 1.43 % Span AV' = { TS **+** [ R'/100%Span ] x 55 psig <sup>I</sup> = 10.79 psig  $\sim$  = 10.8 psig

The above-computed AV' is comparable to that originally specified by FCQL-355 (with its round off to 11.0 psig). Given the slightly larger PUR/SGR SAL' value, the continued use of AV' = AV = **11.0** psig is justified. A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:


CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010  $\overline{62}$ 0

#### TABLE 3-13

#### PRESSURIZER PRESSURE - LOW, SAFETY INJECTION Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.



The above-computed AV' is comparable to the original 1836 psig value specified by FCQL-355 (with current Tech Spec requirements based upon a  $T = 1.8$  %Span and a CSA of 16.1 %Span); however, the computed value was selected for post-PUR/SGR opera tion. A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:



CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 63 0

#### TABLE 3-14

## STEAMLINE DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE - HIGH Sunmmary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein (as modified as noted below), the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function. This trip function must account for sensor and rack components from two different channels, for the physical comparison of the differential pressure between two steam lines; CSA, **S,** and R terms have been modified accordingly to represent these two ['A' and 'B'] channels.



**S',** Z', and R' terms have been computed as follows, using the original FCQL-355 methodology, except for elimination of the Barton 763 thermal nonrepeatability bias (since the transmitters will not see excessive temperature exposures based upon their installation in the Reactor Auxiliary Building).

Individual transmitters A & B were evaluated separately (by  $S_A = SD_A + SCA_A = 1.0 +$  $0.5 = 1.5$  %Span and, similarly,  $S_B = SD_B + SCA_B = 1.5$  %Span), consistent with the current Tech Spec total S term of 3.0 %Span. Owing to the slightly larger sensor drift (of 1.5 %Span) assumed in PUR/SGR uncertainty analysis, a corresponding total **S'** term would become 4.0 %Span. Alternately, a SRSS combination of total S error could be computed per the following:



Since this computation justifies a value closer to the original Tech Spec S term, the continued use of *S'* = S = 3.0 **%** Span will apply; for operability evaluation purposes, each transmitter will be limited to a 1.5 %Span error (in keeping with the original Tech Spec values).

$$
Z' = (A')^{1/2} + Biases = ((PMA)^2 + (PEA)^2 + (SPE)^2 + (Total STE)^2 + (RTE)^2)^{1/2} + EA + Bias
$$
  
\n
$$
= ((PMA)^2 + (PEA)^2 + (SPE)^2 + ((STE_A)^2 + (STE_B)^2)^{1/2})^2 + (RTE)^2)^{1/2} + EA + Bias
$$
  
\n
$$
= (0^2 + 0^2 + 0^2 + ((0.5)^2 + (0.5)^2)^{1/2})^2 + (0.50)^2)^{1/2} + (0) + (0)
$$
  
\n
$$
= ((0.71)^2 + (0.50)^2)^{1/2} + (0) + (0) = 0.866 % Span
$$

CALCULATION NO. PAGE 64  $\overline{0}$ 

TABLE 3-14 (Cont'd) STEAMLINE DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE - HIGH Sunmmary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

R' = {  $(RD_A + RCA_A)^2 + (RD_B + RCA_B)^2$  }<sup>1/2</sup> = {  $(1.0 + 0.5)^2 + (1.0 + 0.5)^2$  }<sup>1/2</sup><br>= 2.121 % Span  $=$  { (2.25) + (2.25) }<sup>1/2</sup> AV' = { TS **<sup>+</sup>**[ R'/100%Span ] x 1300 psig } = 127.56 psi

The above-computed AV' is comparable to the current (127.4 psi) Tech Spec value; therefore, AV' = AV = 127.4 psi will be conservatively retained for PUR/SGR operation. Z' has been reduced as noted above by eliminating the previously-assumed transmitter nonrepeatability bias.

A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:



HNP-I/INST-1010

REV.

 $\sim 10$ 

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV.  $HNP-I/INST-1010$ 65  $\Omega$ 

#### TABLE 3-15

### NEGATIVE STEAMLINE PRESSURE RATE - HIGH Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function. All sensor related uncertainty components have been set to zero, owing to the use of a rate (derivative) function to eliminate steady-state measurement errors. Therefore, this trip function must account only for rack components to accomplish the rate of change measurement.



TS = **100** psi [Reference 2.2 and Reference 2.3)

This function is not credited in the Safety Analysis [per Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.18) and Reference 2.3 (FCQL-355)]; therefore, an SAL value has not been assigned to this function. Since the current Tech Spec TA value of 2.3 % Span exists, TA' will also be set at 2.3 % Span. A margin of 0.43 **%** Span [2.30 **-** 1.87] exists between the current Tech Spec trip setpoint [TS] and total allowance **[TA].**



The above-computed AV' is slightly less than that originally specified by FCQL-355 (with an actual  $T = 1.75$  %Span); however, the computed value was selected for post-PUR/SGR operation. A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:



CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 66  $\mathbf{O}$ 

## TABLE 3-16 TAVG - LOW, LOW (ESFAS P-12 INTERLOCK)

and the company of the company of

#### Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Post-PUR/SGR values for the CSA" and AV' terms are discussed per the following. Uncertainty components applicable for post-PUR/SGR operation have been developed within Reference 2.9.m [CN-SSO-99-32, Rev. 0], and have been further summarized within Table 3-16 of Reference 2.8.

CSA' =  $3.2\%$  of  $\Delta T$  span [Ref. 2.9.m, Page 22 & Ref. 2.8 (Table 3-16)] This CSA' consists of: the SRSS of random uncertainties, associated with RCS N-R RTDs, R/E conversion within the process racks, and other process rack uncertainties; and the additive PMA biases associated with RCS Hot and Cold Leg streaming allowances, as well as the total R/E non-linearity uncertainty for linear approximation of the RTD [R vs. T] curve.

Owing to the operational flexibility required for startup and shutdown evolutions, the P-12 Permissive value has been retained within the same tolerance as that specified in current Tech Specs. Therefore, for completeness, post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec trip setpoint and allowable value can be summarized as follows:



CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV.

#### TABLE 3-17

#### STEAMLINE PRESSURE - LOW Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein, the following values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.



TS = 601 psig [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.18)]

SAL' = 542.2 psig [Reference 2.9.e, Page 19 ("No **EA** for M&E Analysis")] Note that Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.18) specifies original Reference 2.10.a & 2.10.b uncertainty estimate of 370.9 psig (based upon the 370.5-psig SAL specified in FCQL-355). The 370.9-psig value assumes an environmental allowance (if pressure transmitters are located in steam tunnel). These transmitters are located outside the MS Tunnel [in the Reactor Auxiliary Building Elev. 261'], and will not be exposed to harsh environmental conditions for a Main Steam Line Break or Feedwater Line Break.

TA' = *{* ( TS **-** SAL' ) / 1300 psig Span *I* x **100 %** Span **-** 4.52 % Span Margin = TA **-** CSA" **-** 1.31 **%** Span **S'** { (SD) **+** (SCA) } - *{* (1.50) **+** (0.50) **<sup>1</sup>** 2.00 % Span  $Z' = (A')^{1/2} + EA$  $({\text{PMA}})^2 + ({\text{PEA}})^2 + ({\text{SPE}})^2 + ({\text{STE}})^2 + ({\text{RTE}})^2)^{1/2} + {\text{EA}}$  $=$  $\{ 0^2 + 0^2 + 0^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50)^2 \}^{1/2}$  + (0.0) = 0.71 % Span  $R' = T'$  is the lesser of: **-** *{* (1.0) **+** (0.5) 1  $T_1$  $=$   $-$ **(** RD **+** RCA **I**  = 1.50 **%** Span  $= 4.52 - 2.00 - 0.71$  $T_2$ '  $=$  $TA' - S' - Z'$ = 1.81 **%** Span AV' = *{* TS **-** [ R'/100%Span **I** x 1300 psig **I** = 581.5 psig

The above-computed AV' is somewhat less than that originally specified by FCQL-355 (owing to  $T = 1.8$  %Span). Given the above-noted elimination of the harsh environment **[KA]** uncertainty, the above-computed values for CSA', TA', and Z' are also correspondingly reduced.

A comparison of current and post-PUR/SGR values are summarized as follows:

67  $\mathbf{0}$ 

HNP-I/INST-1010

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE REV.  $\overline{\circ}$ 

**Continued** 

المستحد

68

## TABLE  $3-17$  (Cont'd) STEAMLINE PRESSURE - LOW Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms



CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 69 0

#### TABLE 3-18A

## SG WATER LEVEL - HIGH-HIGH, BARTON 764 XMTRS

Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein (as modified below), the follow ing values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function.

 $CSA' = [ (SMTE + SD)^2 + (STE)^2 + (SPE)^2 + (SCA + SMTE)^2 + (SRA)^2 +$  $(RMTE + RD)^2 + (RTE)^2 + (RCA + RMTE)^2]^{1/2} + EA_{RefLeg} + EA_{HELB}$  $PMA_{RefLogTemp}$  +  $PMA_{Pressure}$  +  $PMA_{Subcool}$  +  $PMA_{FluidVelocity}$  +  $PMA_{MidPlateDP}$  $=$  [  $(0.50 + 1.50)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.63)^2 + (0.50 + 0.50)^2 + (0.50)^2 +$  $(0.08 + 1.00)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50 + 0.08)^2]^{1/2} + (0.00) + (0.00) +$ (1.20) **+** (1.50) **+** (0.00) **+** (4.40) **+** (0.00)

- 9.87 % Span [Reference 2.9.a and Reference 2.8 (WCAP Table 3-18a)] As noted per Table 3-10A, the above CSA' computation includes: a 1.5% Span sensor drift uncertainty (versus the 2.0% Span value originally assumed in References 2.8 and 2.9.a); and conservatively chosen Biases which reflect worst-case values.

TS' **=** 78.0 % level [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.18)]

SAL' **=** 100.0 % level [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.18)]

TA' **=** ( SAL' - TS' ) / 100 **%** level **)** x **100 %** Span **<sup>=</sup>**22.0 % Span

Margin **=** TA' - CSA' - 12.13 % Span

**S' r =** (SD) **+** (SCA) I <sup>=</sup>{ (1.50) **+** (0.50) **) <sup>=</sup>**2.00 % Span

- $=$   $(A')^{1/2}$  + Biases **Z'**
	- $=$   $\{$   $(SPE)^2 + (STE)^2 + (RTE)^2$ <sup>1/2</sup> +  $ER_{RefLeg} + EA_{HELB} + PMR_{RefLogTemp}$  +  $PMA<sub>pressure</sub> + PMA<sub>subcool</sub> + PMA<sub>FluidVelocity</sub> + PMA<sub>MidPlaceDP</sub>$  ${\{(0.63)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50)^2\}}^{1/2} + (0.00) + (0.00) + (1.20) + (0.00)$  $(1.50) + (0.00) + (4.40) + (0.00)$  = 8.05 % Span
- NOTE: Since a slightly larger Z' value of 9.63 % Span was computed per Table 3-18B since a siightly larger 2 value of 5.05 % Span was compact for the contract the Tobar transmitters used for this same application), this nerein (for the Tobar transmitters used for this same appricusion,, the<br>larger value should be used for all Barton and Tobar transmitters (as i larger value should be used for all barton and fobar transmitters (ab it determinations).

R' **=** T' is the lesser of:  $T_1'$  = {RD + RCA } = {(1.0) + (0.5) }  $T_2'$  =  $TA' - S' - Z'$  $= 22.00 - 2.00 - 9.63$ AV' **= ( TS' +** [ R'/100%Span ] x **100** % Level **) <sup>=</sup>**79.5 % Level **<sup>=</sup>**1.50 % Span **<sup>=</sup>**10.37 % Span

Since the above-noted trip setpoint corresponds to a requirement for the Model  $\Delta 75$ replacement steam generators [RSGs], the current Tech Spec values (associated with the Model D-4 SGs) are not directly comparable. The summary which follows (for current and post-PUR/SGR values) has been provided for completeness only:

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE REV. 70  $\overline{\circ}$ 

. . . . . . . . **. . . .** . . . . . . .

## TABLE 3-18A (Cont'd) SG WATER LEVEL - HIGH-HIGH, BARTON 764 XMTRS Sunmary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms



CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 71 REV. 0

#### TABLE **3-18B**

## SG WATER LEVEL - HIGH-HIGH, TOBAR 32DP1 XMTRS

Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Based upon the equations shown per Table 1-2 herein (as modified below), the follow ing values were computed for post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec terms for this trip function (including the additional uncertainty term for the Tobar Model 32DP1 sensor bias).

CSA' =  $[(SMTE + SD)^{2} + (STE)^{2} + (SPE)^{2} + (SCA + SMTE)^{2} + (SRA)^{2} + (SFA)^{2}]$  $(RMTE + RD)^2 + (RTE)^2 + (RCA + RMTE)^2]^{1/2} + EA_{RefLog} + EA_{HELB} +$ PMA<sub>RefLegTemp + PMA<sub>Pressure</sub> + PMA<sub>subcool</sub> + PMA<sub>FluidVelocity</sub> + PMA<sub>MidPlateDP</sub> + PMA<sub>sensor</sub></sub>  $=$  [  $(0.50 + 1.50)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.63)^2 + (0.50 + 0.50)^2 + (0.50)^2 + ...$  $(0.08 + 1.00)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50 + 0.08)^2]^{1/2} + (0.00) + (0.00) +$ (1.20) **+** (1.50) **+** (0.00) **+** (4.40) **+** (0.00) **+** (1.58)

- 11.45 **%** Span [Reference 2.4.d (SGR updated) and Reference 2.9.a] As noted per Table 3-10A, the above CSA' computation includes: a 1.5% Span sensor drift uncertainty (versus the 2.0% Span value originally assumed in References 2.8 and 2.9.a); and conservatively chosen Biases which reflect worst-case values.

**TS'** *=* 78.0 % level [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.18)) SAL' *=* 100.0 % level [Reference 2.13.a (UFAPPD, Table 2.18)] **TAI** *=* { (SAL'- TS' ) /i0 **%** level } x **<sup>100</sup>%** Span *=* 22.0 **%** Span Margin *=* TA' - CSA" - 10.55 **%** Span **S'** - (SD) **+** (SCA) 1 *=* { (1.50) **+** (0.50) **1** *=* 2.00 % Span  $Z' = (A')^{1/2}$  + Biases  $({\rm SPE})^2 + ({\rm STE})^2 + ({\rm RTE})^2$ <sup>1/2</sup> +  ${\rm EA}_{\rm Ref.}$  +  ${\rm EA}_{\rm HELS}$  +  ${\rm PMA}_{\rm Ref.}$ <sub>reflegTemp</sub> +  $=$  $\texttt{PMA}_{\texttt{Fressure}}$  +  $\texttt{PMA}_{\texttt{Subcool}}$  +  $\texttt{PMA}_{\texttt{FluidVelocity}}$  +  $\texttt{PMA}_{\texttt{MidPlateDP}}$  +  $\texttt{PMA}_{\texttt{Sensor}}$  ${\binom {6.63)^2 + (0.50)^2 + (0.50)^2}^{1/2} + (0.00) + (0.00) + (1.20) + (0.00)}$  $=$ (1.50) **+** (0.00) **+** (4.40) **+** (0.00) **+** (1.58) *=* 9.63 **%** Span R' **=** T' is the lesser of:

T" *=* { RD **+** RCA ) *=* f (1.0) **+** (0.5) 1 *=* 1.50 % Span  $T_2'$  =  $TA' - S' - Z'$  = 22.00 - 2.00 - 9.63 = 10.37 % Span AV' *=* { **TS' +** [ R'/100%Span ] x **100** % Level **1** *=* 79.5 % Level

Since the above-noted trip setpoint corresponds to a requirement for the Model  $\Delta 75$ replacement steam generators [RSGs], the current Tech Spec values (associated with the Model D-4 SGs) are not directly comparable.

The sunmary which follows (for current and post-PUR/SGR values) has been provided for completeness only, and is consistent with the values computed in Table 3-18A:

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV.

## TABLE 3-18B (Cont'd) SG WATER LEVEL - HIGH-HIGH, TOBAR 32DPI XMTRS Summary of CSA and Five-Colunn Tech Spec Terms

---------



HNP-I/INST-i010

72  $\overline{0}$ 

- 7000<br>- Albert Harry

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 73 0

#### TABLE 3-19

#### REACTOR COOLANT PUMP UNDERVOLTAGE - LOW Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Reference 2.4.i **[HNP** Electrical Calculation E2-0010] documents the basis for current Tech Spec Trip Setpoint (TS) and Allowable Value (AV) of **>** 5148 volts and **>** <sup>4920</sup> volts, respectively.

Reference 2.9.k [CN-SSO-99-17, Rev. **1]** evaluated the uncertainties for this function, and confirmed that positive margin exists with the resultant CSA" of 10.29% of span. The Reference 2.9.k evaluation was based upon current MST-E0074 surveillance testing and acceptance criterion. (Note that this CSA' value was unchanged from the original CSA per Reference 2.3 [FCQL-355].) Furthermore, it was noted that this trip function is not credited within current SPC accident safety analyses.

Since no PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for this function, no changes to the current "five-column" Tech Spec values have been made herein.



#### CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 74 0

#### TABLE 3-20

## REACTOR COOLANT PUMP UNDERFREQUENCY - LOW

#### Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Reference 2.4.j [HNP Electrical Calculation E2-0011] documents the basis for current Tech Spec Trip Setpoint (TS) and Allowable Value (AV) of **>** 57.5 Hz and **>** 57.3 Hz, respectively.

Reference 2.9.k [CN-SSO-99-17, Rev. **1]** evaluated the uncertainties for this function, and confirmed that positive margin exists with the resultant CSA' of **1.81%**  of span. The Reference 2.9.k evaluation was based upon current MST-E0073 surveillance testing and acceptance criterion.

Since no PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for this function, no changes to the current "five-colunn" Tech Spec values have been made herein.



CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 75 REV. **0** 

#### TABLE 3-21

## LOW FLUID OIL PRESSURE, TURBINE TRIP Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Refer to Reference 2.4.g [Calculation HNP-I/INST-1055], Pages 5 through 9 for the basis for current Tech Spec Trip Setpoint (TS) and Allowable Value (AV) of  $\geq 1000$ psig and > 950 psig, respectively. Since no PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for this function, no changes to these current Tech Spec have been made herein.

Reference 2.4.g evaluated the acceptability of a 50-psig tolerance below the nominal setpoint as representative of the greater of either: a statistically calculated 44.78 psig [as-found/as-left] drift allowance; or a **+** 28 psig MST 'allowable range' (e.g., calibration accuracy setting). (Data Sheet (2 [of 41) from MST-10260 [typical] reflects current calibration practices and as-found acceptance criterion.)

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 76 REV. 0

#### TABLE 3-22

#### TURBINE THROTTLE VALVE CLOSURE, TURBINE TRIP Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Refer to Reference 2.4.f [Calculation HNP-I/INST-1054] for the basis for current Tech Spec Trip Setpoint (TS) and Allowable Value (AV) of **<sup>&</sup>gt;1%** open and **>** 1% open, respectively. Since no PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for this function, no changes to these current Tech Spec have been made herein.

Reference 2.4.f evaluated the acceptability of the current **MST** implementation, in relation to the original Tech Spec TS and AV. (Data Sheets (2 and 3 [of **51)** from MST-10263 [typical] reflect current calibration practices and as-found acceptance criterion.) These practices/criterion can be summarized as follows, given the physical configuration and practicality of surveillance measurements:

- The current  $v_2$  1% open" TS is actually calibrated as 4.76% open [ (0.75-inches / 15.76-inches) x 100% ], owing to the 0.75-inch setpoint measurement over a total stroke of the 15.76-inch valve actuator stem.
- This allows for variations between 'as-found' and 'as-left' settings (historically found to be within **+** 0.45-inches or **+** 2.86% open) and additional margin [beyond the analytical limit (allowable value)].
- A + 0.25-inch allowable range [i.e., from 0.75 to 1.00 inches] is maintained for the calibration/surveillance process. This allowable range corresponds to a +1.59% open tolerance [ (0.25 **/** 15.76)x100%].

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010  $\mathbf{0}$ 

## 77

#### TABLE 3-23

#### RWST LEVEL - LOW-LOW Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Reference 2.4.h [Calculation EQS-2] provides the RWST Low-Low Level setpoint requirement, to start switchover from RWST supply to the containment sump. This switchover setpoint is defined as 23.4% level by the current Tech Spec Trip Setpoint (TS). Reference 2.4.h also notes an historical 2.41% of span instrument error (as originally provided by Westinghouse Project Letter CQL8673, using the same methodology as contained in Reference 2.3 [FCQL-355]), which is enveloped by the 3.0% of span allowance provided by the current Tech Spec Allowable Value (AV) of 20.4% level.

Reference 2.4.c [Calculation HNP-I/INST-1030] provides a computation of EOP indication accounting for the total of all channel uncertainty components (i.e., from the level transmitter through the process racks and MCB indicator).

For consistency with other uncertainty computations performed for post-PUR/SGR operation, CSA' has been computed herein using the Table 1-2 equation/terms. This result is also reconciled in relation to existing plant documentation. LT-990 & LT 992 are Barton Model 752 transmitters, and LT-991 & LT-993 are Rosemount Model 1153DP transmitters; therefore two different sets of uncertainties have been shown for the installed transmitters, with a reference/explanation for values chosen herein:



Note **1:** INST-1030, Section 5.5.1 states that: increasing density affects level with negative uncertainty (i.e., a resultant higher level), and decreasing density effects level

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 **PAGE** REV. **0** 

## TABLE 3-23 (Cont'd) RWST LEVEL - LOW-LOW Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

with positive uncertainty (i.e., a resultant lower level). INST-1030 Positive and Negative<br>Uncertainties of -1.21% and +0.34% were calculated. Consistent with the conservative with positive uncertainty (1.e., a resultant fower fovery) surface with the conservative<br>Uncertainties of -1.21% and +0.34% were calculated. So random uncertainty component for Uncertainties of -1.21% and +0.34% wele cardinated.<br>Assumption made within INST-1030, +1.21 was selected as a random uncertainty component for<br>Language and the cardinate in the selected and will result in an additional CSA" computation herein, since the assumed higher level will result in an additional measurement uncertainty with respect to the decreasing Low-Low level setpoint. This density effect is treated as a random uncertainty in INST-1030, because of the unknown direction of the change in temperature and/or concentration (and resultant density change).

Note 2: Sensor MTEin and MTEout uncertainty components specified in INST-1030 are shown as a corresponding SRSS value.

Note 3: Based upon comparison of "as-left" and subsequent 'as-found" MST calibration data and the MST allowable transmitter drift, this value has been reduced to a realistic value of 1.25% of span for the purposes of this CSA' computation (in lieu of that assumed by INST-1030, Section 5.1A.2).



For subsequent discussions, the larger uncertainty of 3.606% span will be further evaluated for its effects to the subject ESFAS trip function.

 $(3.606\% \text{ span}/100\%) \times (416.3 \text{ inches WC}$  [Xmtr Span, per INST-1030, Sect.4.9]) x  $(1-\text{Ft}/12-\text{In})$ = 1.251-Ft of trip channel uncertainty, based upon CSA'. This is slightly larger than the 1.04-Ft measurement error assumed in Calculation EQS-2 (based upon the originally calculated Westinghouse instrument error value of 2.41% Span).

Since Calculation EQS-2 further calculated a 1.74-Ft (or equivalent 20,000 gallons) margin above the required switchover requirement with the current trip setpoint value, the small reduction  $[1.04 - 1.251 \text{ Ft} = -0.211 \text{ Ft} \text{ or } -2.532 \text{ inches}]$  in this available margin will be negligible relative to the TS and AV.

Since no PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for this function, no changes to the current Tech Spec TS and AV appear warranted, based upon the above discussion.

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 79 REV. 0

## TABLE 3-24

 $\sim$ 

6.9 KV E-BUS UNDERVOLTAGE - PRIMARY, LOOP Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Reference 2.4.1 **[HNP** Electrical Calculation 0054-JRG] documents the basis for current Tech Spec Trip Setpoint (TS) of **>** 4830 volts (with a **<** 1.0 second time delay) and an Allowable Value (AV) of  $\geq 4692$  volts (with a  $\leq 1.5$  second time delay). Furthermore, Reference 2.4.1 evaluated the acceptability for current calibration practices and as-found acceptance criterion as contained within MST-E0075.

Since no PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for this function, no changes to these current Tech Spec have been made herein.

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010<br>PAGE 80 **PAGE** REV. 0

#### TABLE 3-25

#### 6.9 KV E-BUS UNDERVOLTAGE - SECONDARY, LOOP Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Reference 2.4.k [HNP Electrical Calculation E2-0005.09] documents the basis for current Tech Spec Trip Setpoint (TS) of  $>$  6420 volts (with a  $<$  16.0 second time delay with Safety Injection, or with a **<** 54.0 second time delay without Safety Injection) and an Allowable Value (AV) of  $> 6392$  volts (with a < 18.0 second time delay with Safety Injection, or with a  $\leq 60.0$  second time delay without Safety Injection). Furthermore, Reference 2.4.k evaluated the acceptability for current calibration practices and as-found acceptance criterion as contained within MST E0045.

Since no PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for this function, no changes to these current Tech Spec have been made herein.

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 81 REV. 0

#### TABLE 3-26

### RTS P-6 INTERLOCK Sunmary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Table 3-3 computations herein summarize uncertainties associated with the RTS trip function for the NIS Intermediate Range channels. In addition, an RTS P-6 Interlock is included as Tech Spec Table 2.2-1, Item 19.a. Its current (and post-PUR/SGR) Tech Spec Trip Setpoint [TS] is > 1.0 x 10<sup>-10</sup> amp.

As noted in Table 3-3 herein, no PUR/SGR hardware changes are proposed for the Intermediate Range channels; channels will be scaled commensurate for the increased RTP (consistent with the detectors' increased output).

The detector output span will continue to vary from 1 x **10-11** to 1 **x 10-3** amp (corresponding to 0 to 120% RTP), with the following NIS IR rack transfer function:  $Voltage = 1.25 [log_{10}(Input Current) + 11]$  or Input Current =  $10^{(0.8001tage -11)}$ .

Setpoints are conservatively established (at relatively lower settings) during the start-up evolutions, commensurate with other known operating parameters.

Furthermore, current maintenance surveillance/calibration practices and acceptance criterion have proven acceptable to satisfy the current Tech Spec requirements.

Therefore, the post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec Allowable Value [AV'] should remain unchanged from the original HNP Tech Spec requirements, at  $\geq 6.0$  x  $10^{-11}$  amp. justification precludes the need for methodology, terminology, and values specified on Page 28 of Ref. 2.9.h. (Note that R' should approach the [current] AV, when drift is included with the rack calibration tolerance [RCA', as defined on Page 26 of Ref. 2.9.h].)

In conclusion, no changes to the current "five-column" Tech Spec term values (associated with this permissive function) have been made herein.

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 82 REV. 0

#### TABLE 3-27

#### RTS P-7, P-10, AND P-13 INTERLOCKS Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Computations within Tables 3-1A, 3-1B, 3-2A, and 3-2B herein summarize various channel uncertainties associated with the RTS trip functions for the NIS Power Range channels. In addition, RTS Interlocks P-7, P-10, and P-13 (included as Tech Spec Table 2.2-1, Items  $19.b(1)$ ,  $19.b(2)$ ,  $19.d$ , and  $19.e$ ) assure that plant start-up/ shutdown evolutions are controlled commensurate with permissible power level indica tions (from either the NIS Power Range or the First Stage Turbine Impulse Chamber Pressure channels). These interlocks currently monitor plant operations around a nominal trip setpoint of 10% of RTP; a post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec Trip Setpoint [TS] based upon 10% RTP remains applicable. (These RTS Interlocks functionally perform either Blocks or Permissives associated with subsequent automatic protection/control actions. For example, the RTS P-7 Block (with inputs from either P-10 NIS or P-13 turbine impulse pressure) is based upon a  $\leq$  10% RTP condition; the RTS P-10 Permissive (also generated from NIS channels) is based upon a **>** 10% RTP condition.)

As noted in Table 3-1A through 3-2B for the NIS Power Range channels, <u>no</u> PUR/SGR<br>hardware changes are proposed for these channels; channels will be scaled hardware changes are proposed for these channels; commensurate for the increased RTP (consistent with the detectors' increased output).

Although not discussed within a specific computation within this calculation, Turbine Impulse Chamber Pressure channels will also not undergo PUR/SGR-related hardware changes (except for scaling completed for slightly higher uprated RSG and turbine impulse pressures); turbine impulse chamber pressure P-13 input (to P-7) should be equivalent [for **TS'** and AV'] to the RTS input(s) received from NIS channels, since the subject RTS Interlocks for turbine impulse chamber pressure and NIS channels have the same functional requirements.

NIS setpoints are conservatively established (at relatively lower settings) for protection/control purposes during the start-up evolutions, commensurate with other known operating parameters. Similarly, Turbine Impulse Chamber Pressure channels are initially scaled for conservatively expected power levels, and then re normalized (if required) for that fuel cycle's operation. Plant power ascension procedural controls assure that manual operator actions are based on the most conservative indication of reactor power (e.g., AT, NIS, RCS flow, calorimetric) or turbine load (e.g., impulse chamber pressure, MWe output).

Furthermore, current maintenance surveillance/calibration practices and acceptance criterion have proven acceptable to satisfy the current Tech Spec requirements.

Note that R' was maintained per Table 3-1A herein, at 1.75% span [or 2.1% RTP]. Therefore, the post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec Allowable Value [AV'] should remain unchanged from the original HNP Tech Spec requirements, at the nominal 10.0 **+** 2.1% of RTP (with its inequality based upon the specific [Block or Permissive] trip function<br>requirement, in a direction commensurate with its corresponding TS). This requirement, in a direction commensurate with its corresponding TS). justification precludes the need for methodology, terminology, and values specified on Pages 32-33 of Ref. 2.9.g (given that "five-column" AV [and AV'] include rack drift in addition to the rack calibration tolerance [RCA', as defined on Page 20 of Ref. 2.9.g].)

In conclusion, no changes to the current "five-column" Tech Spec term values (associated with this permissive function) have been made herein.

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE 83 REV. **0** 

#### TABLE 3-28

#### RTS P-8 INTERLOCK Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

The RTS Interlock P-8 (included as Tech Spec Table 2.2-1, item 19.c) assures that plant start-up/shutdown evolutions are controlled commensurate with permissible power level indication from the NIS Power Range channels. This interlock currently monitors plant operations around a nominal trip setpoint [TS] of  $\leq$  49% of RTP, with an Allowable Value [AV] of < 51.1% of RTP.

Utilizing the same justifications as that provided within Table 3-27 herein (which support the maintenance of R' at 1.75% span [or 2.1% RTP]), a post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec Trip Setpoint [TS'] of **<** 49% RTP, and an Allowable Value [AV'] **<** 51.1% of RTP, remain applicable. This rationale precludes the need for methodology, terminology, and values specified on Page 31 of Ref. 2.9.g (given that "five-column" AV [and AV'] include rack drift in addition to the rack calibration tolerance [RCA', as defined on Page 20 of Ref. 2.9.gJ.)

In conclusion, no changes to the current "five-column" Tech Spec term values (asso ciated with this permissive function) have been made herein.

CALCULATION NO. PAGE REV. HNP-I/INST-1010 84  $\mathbf{0}$ 

#### TABLE 3-29

## ESFAS P-1I / Not P-Il INTERLOCK

## Summary of CSA and Five-Column Tech Spec Terms

Tables 3-7A, 3-7B, and 3-13 herein summarize uncertainties associated with RTS/ESFAS trip functions associated with Pressurizer Pressure channels. In addition, ESFAS P-Il and Not P-li Interlocks are included within Tech Spec Table 3.3-4, Item 10.a. The P-lI interlock currently monitors plant operations around a nominal trip setpoint [TS] of  $\geq$  2000 psig, with an Allowable Value [AV] of  $\geq$  1986 psig. The Not P-1I interlock currently monitors plant operations around a nominal trip setpoint [TS] of  $\leq$  2000 psig, with an Allowable Value [AV] of  $\leq$  2014 psig.

Utilizing the same R' value of 1.50% of span (or 12.0 psig) determined from the above-noted Table 3-7A, 3-7B, and 3-13 summaries: a post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec Trip Setpoint ITS'] for the P-Il Interlock of **>** 2000 psig, and an Allowable Value [AV'] > 1988 psig, would apply; and a post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec Trip Setpoint ITS'] for the Not P-11 Interlock of < 2000 psig, and an Allowable Value [AV'] < 2012 psig, would<br>apply. This R' term includes rack drift in addition to the rack calibration This R' term includes rack drift in addition to the rack calibration tolerance, consistent with other Pressurizer Pressure computations documented herein.



Therefore, for completeness, post-PUR/SGR Tech Spec trip setpoint and allowable value can be summarized as follows:





## TABLE 2.2-1

## REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION TRIP SETPOINTS



"RTP = RATED THERMAL POWER



 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

"RTP = RATED THERMAL POWER

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ 

**SHEAI** ξ

> $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ ن

> > $\frac{5}{2}$



 $\mathbf{r}^{\top}$ 

 $\Delta$ 

**t.-**



**D**  $\equiv$ 

**0**

 $1 - 2$ 





 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z})$  . The set of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z})$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ 



فسر



## TABLE 3.3-4

 $\sim$  1  $^{\circ}$  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

 $\mathbb{Z}^{d \times d}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

# ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES ACTUATION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION TRIP SETPOINTS





 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$  $\ddot{\cdot}$  $\mathbf{I}$ 

SHEARON HARRIS - UNIT I

 $3/4$  3-29

 $\star$ 

**A** 

 $\lambda$ 





and the control of the control of

 $\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ \mathcal{L}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{1}} \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\sim$ 





 $\epsilon$ 

TABLE 3.3-4 (Continued)

 $\sim$   $-$ 

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,dx$ 

 $\bar{z}$  $\sim$  1.

## ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES ACTUATION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION TRIP SETPOINTS

 $\bullet$ 






#### TABLE 3.3-4 (Continued)

 $\sim 10$ 

#### TABLE NOTATIONS

- Time constants utilized in the lead-lag controller for Steam Line Pressure-Low are  $\tau_1 \geq 50$  seconds and  $\tau_2 \leq 5$  seconds.  $\mathbf{I}$ CHANNEL CALIBRATION shall ensure that these time constants are adjusted to these values.
- The time constant utilized in the rate-lag controller for Steam Line Pressure-Negative Rate--High is **>** 50 seconds. CHANNEL CALIBRATION shall ensure that this time constant is adjusted to this value.
- $"$  The indicated values are the effective, cumulative, rate-compensated pressure drops as seen by the comparator.



 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\mathbf{F}$ 

#### TABLE 4-3 SUMMARY OF RTS/ESFAS "FIVE-COLUMN" TERMS FOR POST-PUR/SGR OPERATION

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010 PAGE **101** 

Table 2.2-1 current "Five-Column" Tech Spec Terms Post-PUR/SGR "Five-Column" Tech Spec Terms INST- Total Sensor Sensor Total Sensor Sensor 1010 Allowance Z Error Trip Setpoint Allowable Value Allowance Z Error Trip Setpoint Allowable Value (TA) (AV) Item functional Item Table  $(T_A)$  Term (S) (TS) (AV) (Table (TA) Term (S) (TS) (NV) (Table (TA) Term (S) (NV) (TS) (AV) Power Range, Neutron Flux 2.a **High Setpoint** 3-1A 7.5 4.56 0.0 \\times 109.0% of RTP 7.5 4.56 7.5 4.56 0.0 \\times 109.0% of RTP  $\frac{111.1\% \text{ of RTP}}{111.1\% \text{ of RTP}}$ 2.b Power Range, Neutron Flux **-** 3-1B 8.3 4.56 0.0 **<** 25% of RTP **<** 27.1% of RTP 8.3 4.56 **0.0 5 25%** of RTP **27.1%** of RTP Low Setpoint0. 25ofTP •2.%fRT  $\leq$  5% of RTP  $\leq$  6.3% of RTP  $\leq$  6.3% of RTP  $\leq$  6.3% of RTP Power Range, Neutron Flux -  $3-2B$  1.6 0.5 0.05 0.0  $\frac{1}{2}$  0.5 0.00  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  o.5 0.00  $\frac{1}{2}$  cm  $\frac{1}{2}$  cm  $\frac{1}{2}$  o.0  $\frac{1}{2}$  o.0  $\frac{1}{2}$  fine constant  $\frac{1}{2}$  o.0  $\frac{1}{2}$  fine constant  $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1.2B}{1.6}$   $\frac{1.5}{1.6}$   $\frac{1.6}{1.6}$   $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\n\hline\n\text{of} > 2 \text{ seconds} & \text{of} > 2 \text{ seconds} \\
\hline\n\text{of} > 2 & \text{seconds} & \text{of} & \$ Pe5% of RTP 6.3% of RTP **<sup>&</sup>lt;**5% of RTP \_6.3% of RTP Power Range, Neutron Flux - 3-2A 1.6 0.5 0.0 with a wi  $\mathbf{A}$ High Negative Rate **3-2A** 1.6 0.5 0.0 **time constant time constant**  $\frac{1}{2}$  0.0  $\frac{1}{2}$  time constant time constant time constant time constant time constant  $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\n\hline\n\text{of} > 2 \text{ seconds} & \text{of} > 2 \text{ seconds} \\
\hline\n\text{of} > 2 \text{ seconds} & \text{of} > 2 \text{ seconds}\n\end{array}$ Intermediate Range, Neutron Flux 3-3 17.0 8.41 0.0 \_ 25% of RTP **<** 30.9% of RTP 17.0 8.41 0.0 **<** 25% of RTP **c** 30.9% of RTP 5 **6** Source Range, Neutron Flux | 3-4 | 17.0 | 10.01 | 0.0 |  $\leq 10^5$  cps  $\leq 1.4$  x 10<sup>3</sup> cps | 17.0 | 10.01 | 0.0 |  $\leq 10^5$  cps  $\leq 1.4$  x 10<sup>3</sup> cps **<sup>7</sup>**Overtemperature AT 3-5 8.7 6.02 Note **5** Note 1 Note 2 **9.0** 7.31 **See** Note 5 **see** Note 1 see Note 2 **<sup>8</sup>**Overpower **AT** 3-6 4.7 1.50 1.9 Note 3 Note 4 4.0 **2.32 1.3 See** Note 3 See Note 4 Pressurizer Pressure - Low, 3-7A 5.0 2.21 1.5 > 1960 psig > 1946 psig 5.0 1.52 1.5 > 1960 psig > 1948 psig<br>Peschar Trin  $\overline{9}$ Reactor Trip **<sup>10</sup>**Pressurizer Pressure - High, **3-7B 7.5 5.01 0.5 2385 psig 1 2399 psig 7.5** 1.52 **1.5** < 2385 psig **1** 2397 **psig** Reactor Trip  $\frac{1}{2}$  ,  $\frac{1$ **Pressurizer Water Level - | 3-8 8.0 | 2.18 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 8.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1. Pressurizer Water Level - <br>
3-8** 8.0 2.18 1.5 42 92% of 93.8% of 8.0 3.42 1.75 1nstrument sp<br>
1.5 1nstrument space of 8.0 3.42 1.75 1nstrument space 1.12 1.75 1nstrument space 1.75 1nstrument s 11  $\overline{90.5\% \text{ of } \frac{1}{2}89.5\% \text{ of } \frac{1}{2}$ 12 Reactor Coolant Flow - Low 3-9 2.9 1.98 0.6 loop full loop full **4.58** 1.98 0.6 loop full loop **full**  indicated flow indicated flow indicated flow indicated flow **SG Water Level, Low-Low 38.5% of**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **38.5% of**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **36.5% of**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **36.5% of**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **25% of**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **2** 13 (FW Line Break) **3-10A** 19.2 14.06 2.97 narrow range narrow range 25.0 **i6.83 2.0** narrow range narrow range instrument span instrument span instrument span instrument span **225% of** 223.5% of *z* 23.5% of 38.5% of **1** > 36.5% of **So** Water Level, Low-Low **25.0** 16.85 2.0  $\frac{13}{10000}$  instrument span instrument spa (Loss of Normal **FW**) 3-10B 19.2 14.06 2.97 narrow range | narrow range<br>instrument span | instrument span

**I\_\_** \_ \_ **<sup>J</sup>**

REV. **0**

### TABLE 4-3 SUMMARY OF REAL ESTATE COLUMN TERMS





Equivalent

I **I j** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ **J** \_ -

Equivalent

Notes: See Tech Spec mark-up **(INST-1010,** Table 4-1)

Table 2.2-1

--

**CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-l0lO** 

Equivalent

- . - \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_-

**PAGE** 102<br>**REV.** 0  $\mathcal{L}$ .

### CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010

# TABLE 4-3 SUMMARY OF RTS/ESFAS "FIVE-COLUMN" TERMS

**PAGE** 103<br>REV. 0 REV.



 $\bullet$ 

**REV. 0** *A* 

CALCULATION NO. **HNP-I/INST-1010**<br>REV. 0, PAGE A1-1

# ATTACHMENT 2 Sheet 1 of 2 Record of Lead Review



**REV.** 0 *f* 

CALCULATION NO.  $\frac{\text{HNP}-\text{I}/\text{INST}-1010}{\text{PAGE}}$ 

## ATTACHMENT 2 Sheet 2 of 2 Record of Lead Review



### FORM EGR-NGGC-0003-2-5

This form is a QA Record when completed and included with a completed design package. Owner's Reviews may be processed as stand alone QA records when Owner's Review is completed.

**CALCULATION NO.** HNP-I/INST-1010 REV. **0** , **PAGE A2-1** 

ATTACHMENT 3 Sheet 1 of 3



FORM EGR-NGGC-0003-3-5

This form is a QA Record when completed and included with a completed design package. Owner's Reviews may be processed as stand alone **OA** records when Owner's Review is completed.

CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010

REV. **0** , **PAGE A2-2** 

٦

ATTACHMENT 3 Sheet 2 of 3

Record of Concurrent Review

## Generic Major Projects Comment Sheet

Document Name/No.: HNP-I/INST-1010, Rev. 0 Project ID: SGR/PUR

 $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ 

Reviewed by: Larry Costello **Construction According Construction** Organization/Discipline: **HESS/I&C** 

Review Package: (Circle one) **30%** 70% **100%** Other

 $\top$ 



**CALCULATION NO. HNP-I/INST-1010** 

REV. **0** , **PAGE A2-3** 

# ATTACHMENT 3 Sheet 3 of 3 Record of Concurrent Review



Attachment 8 Sheet 1 of **I**

### Calculation Indexing Table

Calculation No. HNP-I/INST-1010

Page No.  $A3-1$ 

Revision \_\_\_\_\_\_0



Attachment **8**  Sheet 1 of **I**

## Calculation Indexing Table

Calculation No. HNP-I/INST-1010 Page No.  $\overline{A3-2}$  $Revision$  0



\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ **\_I** \_ \_ \_ \_ \_I \_\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ **I\_\_** 

Attachment 8 Sheet I of **I**

### Calculation Indexing Table

Calculation No. HNP-I/INST-1010 Page No.  $\overline{\mathbf{A3}-3}$ 

 $\overline{\text{Revision} \_\_\_\_0}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}$ 



r

Attachment **8**  Sheet I of **I**

## Calculation Indexing Table

Calculation No. HNP-I/INST-1010 Page No.  $\overline{\mathbf{A3-6}}$ Revision  $\frac{\overline{a}}{\overline{a}}$ 

