

Operated by Nuclear Management Company, LLC

NRC 2001-034

May 18, 2001

Document Control Desk
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Station P1-137
Washington, D.C. 20555

10 CFR 50.73

Ladies/Gentlemen:

DOCKETS 50-266 AND 50-301
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 266/2001-002-00
USE OF THE STEAM GENERATOR BLOWDOWN ISOLATION
INTERLOCK DEFEAT SWITCH COULD RESULT IN LOSS OF SAFETY FUNCTION
POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report 266/2001-002-00 for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2. The subject condition was determined to be reportable under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v) as; "Any event or condition that could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to: (B) Remove residual heat." Paragraph 50.73(a)(2)(vi) states that events covered in Paragraph (a)(2)(v) may include discovery of design, analysis, and/or procedural inadequacies. This LER discusses the results of an engineering evaluation which determined that under specific conditions the use of the steam generator blowdown isolation interlock defeat switch could result in the inability of the auxiliary feed water system to provide adequate steam generator inventory control to assure removal of decay heat following a loss of normal feedwater or loss of offsite power event.

Corrective actions have been completed which preclude the potential loss of safety function discussed in this event.

Please contact us if you require additional information concerning this report.

Sincerely.

Plant Manager

Enclosure

cc: NRC Resident Inspector

PSCW

NRC Regional Administrator

INPO Support Services

NRC FORM 366

(1-2001)

FACILITY NAME (1)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 6-30-2001

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 E6), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to bjs1@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202 (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection. and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection

PAGE (3)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)

DOCKET NUMBER (2)

05000266

1 of 5

POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT UNIT 1

TITLE (4)

Use of the Steam Generator Blowdown Isolation Interlock Defeat Switch Could Result in Loss of Safety Function

EVENT	DATE (5)		LER NUMBER (6)		REPORT DATE (7)		OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)					
MO	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO	МО	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER	
									Po	oint Beach Unit 2	05000301	
04	17	2001	2001	002	00	05	18	2001	FA	CILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER	
											05000	
OPERATING 6 MODE (9)			THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR ': (Check all that app					FR ': (Check all that apply) (11)				
·	•		20.2	2201(b)		20.220	3(a)(3)	(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)	50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)	
POWER LEVEL (10)		0.00	20.2	20.2201(d)		20.2203(a)(4)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)	50.73(a)(2)(x)		
`	•		20.2	2203(a)(1)		50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) .		50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)	73.71(a)(4)	
			20.2	2203(a)(2)(i)		50.36(c)(1)(ii)	(A)		50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)	73.71(a)(5)	
			20.2	2203(a)(2)(ii)		50.36(c)(2)		X	50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)	OTHER Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A	
			20.2	2203(a)(2)(iii)	\neg	50.46(a)(3)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)		
11/1/11/11				2203(a)(2)(iv)			a)(2)(i)(50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)		
				2203(a)(2)(v)			a)(2)(i)(50.73(a)(2)(vii)	The state of the s	
				2203(a)(2)(vi)			a)(2)(i)(50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)		
.40			20.2	2203(a)(3)(i)			a)(2)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)	**************************************	
4	LICENCEE CONTACT FOR THIS LED (12)											

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME Charles Wm. Krause TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (920) 755-6809

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13) REPORTABLE TO EPIX CAUSE REPORTABLE TO EPIX CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANU-SYSTEM FACTURER EXPECTED MONTH DAY YEAR **SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)** SUBMISSION **DATE (15)** (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE). X NO

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

This event discusses the results of an engineering evaluation which determined that under specific conditions the use of the steam generator blowdown isolation interlock defeat switch could result in the inability of the auxiliary feed water system to provide adequate steam generator (SG) inventory control to assure removal of decay heat following a loss of external AC event. This condition is reportable under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v) as; "Any event or condition that could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to: (B) Remove residual heat." Paragraph 50.73(a)(2)(vi) states that events covered in Paragraph (a)(2)(v) may include discovery of design, analysis, and/or procedural inadequacies. A plant modification has been completed to initiate blowdown SG isolation on an auxiliary feedwater system initiation signal instead of a AFW pump start signal. Based on the low probability for this event and the availability of operator actions which would have served to mitigate the consequences of this event, the safety impact of this condition was of very low significance.

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000266	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
		2001	- 002 -	00	2 of 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Event Description:

On January 12, 2001, a condition report (CR 01-0108) was originated which questioned the existing practice of defeating the steam generator [SG] blowdown [WI] isolation interlock when an electric driven auxiliary feedwater [BA] pump [P] is taken out of service. Specifically, the concern was that preventing blowdown isolation may impact conservation of steam generator inventory (the heat sink) at a time when a source for adding inventory to the steam generators is not available. This condition was referred to system engineering to evaluate the concern.

Steam generator (SG) blowdown is normally interlocked with the auxiliary feed (AF) water system such that the blowdown will be automatically isolated under the following conditions:

- 1. Open or intermediate position of either steam supply valve [SCV] to the turbine [TRB] driven AF pumps.
- 2. Start of either motor [MO] driven AF pump.
- 3. Racking out the breaker [BKR] for either motor driven pump.

The motor driven pumps, which are shared between the two Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) units, are interlocked with SG blowdown for both units. In order to prevent isolating, and thereby interrupting, SG blowdown flow while the AF pumps are taken out of service for maintenance or surveillance, the plant was modified in 1982 to install two SG blowdown interlock defeat key switches [HS]. Prior to that modification, during AF system pump testing, the hand switches for the SG blowdown isolation valves [ISV] were taped in the open position to preclude interruption of SG blowdown during the test.

In addition to the interlock with the AF pumps, SG blowdown is also automatically isolated on a containment isolation signal and in the event of a high radiation signal as sensed on the blowdown discharge. The interlock defeat key switches did not affect these blowdown isolation signals.

On April 17, 2001, the engineering evaluation of this condition was completed. This evaluation (CR 01-1321) documented an analysis which determined that defeating the SG blowdown isolation interlock with AF would prevent the AF system from providing the heat removal equivalent feedwater flow (200 gpm) to each unit necessary for post accident decay heat removal. Although the amount of feedwater provided to the SGs by the AF pumps would be the same, some of that coolant would be diverted through the blowdown connections. This feedwater would be ineffective in the removal of heat energy from the SG "U" tubes since the blowdown connections are just above the tube sheet. In addition the removal of the heat of vaporization as the feedwater flashes to steam in the SG would be lost. Discussions with the NSSS vendor also verified that the Loss of Normal Feedwater (LONF)/ Loss of External AC (LOAC) accident models assumes SG blowdown isolation along with the 200 gpm of AF per unit.

At the time this condition was verified, PBNP Unit 1 was in a refueling shutdown. Unit 2 was operating at full power. Neither unit was operating with the blowdown isolation interlock defeated. This condition was evaluated for reportability under 10 CFR 50.72(b)(3)(v) and 50.73(a)(2)(v). Since the event or condition, that is blowdown interlock defeat, at the time of discovery was not in effect, this condition was determined to be reportable under 50.73(a)(2)(v) as, "Any event or condition that could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to: ...(B) Remove Residual Heat;" As clarified in Paragraph 50.73(a)(2)(vi), events covered under this reporting criteria may include discovery of design or procedural inadequacies. Availability and use of the blowdown interlock defeat key switch is considered to be applicable under that paragraph.

Component and System Description:

The auxiliary feedwater system at PBNP is a shared system. Each unit has its own steam turbine driven AF pump (1P-29 and 2P-29 for Unit 1 and 2 respectively) which can supply up to 400 gpm but is normally throttled at 260 gpm. The motor driven AF pumps are P-38A and P-38B. Each pump can supply up to 200 gpm. They are normally aligned to both units, with P-38A providing feedwater to each unit's "A" SGs and P-38B to each unit's "B" SGs.

NRC FORM 366A

(1-2001)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000266	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
		2001	- 002 -	00	3 of 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Each steam generator is provided with bottom blowdown connections for shell side chemical and solids concentration control. The piping from the two connections join to form a 2" blowdown line which is routed from each steam generator through individual containment penetrations and air-operated isolation valves, heat exchangers, and manual flow control valves to the steam generator blowdown tank. The blowdown rate, to maintain the shell side chemistry conditions as required, is determined based on the results of analyses of steam and water samples taken from the steam generators.

Evaluation:

Two situations were considered for this evaluation. Situation One assumes 1P-29 out of service and in a LCO with only the Unit 1 SG blowdown isolation defeated. The second situation assumes P-38A out of service and in a LCO with the Unit 1 and Unit 2 SG blowdown isolation defeated. Since under both situations one AF pump is already in an LCO, an additional single equipment failure was not applied to this evaluation.

As discussed in the FSAR, a Loss of External Electrical Load or the Loss of External AC Power to the Station Auxiliaries can affect both units simultaneously. Therefore, this evaluation assumed the initiating accident or event would affect both units. For dual unit events, the flow from each motor driven pump is assumed to be equally divided between the units, 100 gpm to each unit from each motor driven AF pump. Although SG blowdown does remove some decay heat during an accident, for the purpose of this evaluation the heat removed by blowdown is assumed to be negligible and AF is still required to provide 200 gpm to each unit to meet the decay heat removal criteria.

Situation 1:

1P-29 is inoperable and the blowdown isolation interlock is defeated for Unit 1 only. (An example would be defeating the Unit 1 blowdown isolation for the performance of a surveillance test.)

AF Flow Available

<u>U-1</u>	<u>U-2</u>	
P-38A 100 gpm	P-38A	100 gpm
P-38B 100 gpm	P-38B	100 gpm
1P-29 0 gpm	2P-29	260 gpm
200 gpm		460 gpm

Since 200 gpm is required for each unit to remove decay heat for accident scenarios, Unit 1 does not have the sufficient AF flow to compensate for any SG inventory losses due to SG blowdown. Unit 2 SG blowdown will isolate since the interlock was not required to be defeated for either motor driven AF pump.

Situation 2:

P-38A is inoperable and the blowdown isolation interlock is defeated for U-1 and U-2. (An example would be racking out the breaker for maintenance on P-38A and defeating the U-1 and U-2 blowdown isolation.)

NRC FORM 366A

(1-2001)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000266		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
	03000200	2001	- 002 -	00	4 of 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

AF Flow Available

<u>U-1</u>	<u>U-2</u>	
P-38A 0 gpm	P-38A	0 gpm
P-38B 100 gpm	P-38B	100 gpm
1P-29 260 gpm	2P-29	260 gpm
360 gpm	\	360 gpm

Again, 200 gpm is required for each unit to remove decay heat for these accident scenarios. Therefore, both units can have up to 160 gpm of blowdown flow or 80 gpm per generator. However, the maximum blowdown rate per generator is 100 gpm at 500 °F (equivalent to 40 klbm/hr). Although PBNP SG blowdown is normally adjusted to 40 gpm per SG or less, except during a unit startup; it is possible that blowdown could be greater than 80 gpm per SG. Under those conditions it is possible that the AF flow available would not be adequate to remove the decay heat and still compensate for the blowdown loss. The conclusion of the engineering evaluation was that, based on the existing design of the blowdown interlock defeat circuit, future operation with the SG blowdown isolation interlock defeated was not recommended.

Cause:

The following information was found in a safety evaluation dated October 30, 1982, for PBNP modification requests M-730 and M-731. This modification installed the key switches on control panels 1C03 and 2C03 adjacent to the blowdown control valve switches. These switches bypassed the AF pump start interlock with blowdown isolation to prevent automatic closure of the valves when starting either motor driven AF pump or opening the steam supply to either units turbine driven AF pump. These switches were installed to preclude the need for the prior practice of taping the SG blowdown isolation valve control switches in the open position when conducting surveillance tests of the AF pumps. This was done so that SG blowdown would not be interrupted during testing. These switches were recognized as an improvement to safety because the key switches only defeated the pump start interlocks and did not override the other automatic closure signals to the blowdown isolation valves from containment isolation or high radiation signals. A key switch was selected to ensure strict administrative control over the use of the interlock defeat function. While this practice of reliance upon an administrative control to defeat this interlock was determined to be acceptable at that time, this practice does not reflect the conservative decision making we now strive for. Accordingly, we have discontinued this practice and, as discussed in the Corrective Actions, will be investigating other circuit modifications that achieve the same result.

Corrective Actions:

As a result of this event, the following corrective actions have been completed:

- 1. Upon the discovery of this condition, the interlock defeat key switches on both units were initially caution tagged to prohibit their use. This tagging was later changed to temporary information tags to indicate that a dedicated operator is required anytime the SG blowdown isolation interlock is defeated and the unit's temperature is greater than 350 °F. Following the modification discussed below, these tags were no longer necessary and have been removed.
- 2. A design change and modification (MR 01-052) has been approved and installed for the motor driven AF pumps which will initiate SG blowdown isolation on the following automatic AF initiation signals: low low SG level, Safety Injection, or AMSAC. Starting of a motor driven AF pump will not initiate blowdown isolation. The opening of the steam supply valve to the turbine driven AF pump will still initiate SG blowdown isolation. A SG blowdown isolation defeat switch will still be available to permit testing of the turbine driven pump without isolating that unit's blowdown.

(1-2001)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000266 YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
		2001	- 002 -	00	5 of 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Safety Assessment:

Although the use of the SG blowdown isolation interlock defeat has been now recognized as a condition that has the potential to result in a loss of the safety function of decay heat removal, the specific conditions under which this loss of safety function would occur and the availability of operator initiated corrective action results in a low safety significance for this condition. A key controlled switch was used to further restrict inadvertent use of this feature. The evaluation and analysis discussed in this LER took no credit for operator actions subsequent to the event. However, if the auxiliary feedwater flow following the loss of AC power or normal feedwater was inadequate because the defeat switch was in use and blowdown was not immediately isolated, we consider it very likely that the operators would have recognized the gradual loss of steam generator inventory and would have taken action to increase SG level in the affected units by increasing flow from the steam driven AF pump, if available, or by repositioning the blowdown interlock defeat switches to restore the automatic blowdown isolation function.

A probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) study was completed to estimate the change in core damage frequency (CDF) as a result of failing to isolate blowdown from a steam generator following a plant trip initiator that does not also initiate an automatic containment isolation. No operator action for blowdown isolation was credited in this assessment. It is assumed that the pressurizer would go solid following a loss of normal feedwater if insufficient auxiliary feedwater flow is delivered to a SG. This condition could lead to a stuck open pressurizer safety valve which has consequences roughly equivalent to a medium break size loss of coolant accident. The result of the PRA study is an estimated increase in instantaneous core damage frequency (CDF) of 2.06E-05/yr when the defeat switch is in use. To reach the "low to moderate safety significance" threshold core damage probability (CDP) the blowdown isolation defeat switch would need to be in use for a total of 17.7 days or 425 hours. This is also the length of time each year that the switch would need to be in use to cause the average CDF to increase by 1.0E-06/yr. It is estimated that the SG blowdown isolation interlock defeat switch had typically been in use for any one unit for less than half that time. Accordingly, we believe that the impact on the health and safety of the public and plant staff as a result of this event was of very low significance.

Although the safety impact of this potential event was minimal, this condition met the reporting criteria of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v) and therefore will be recorded under the NRC Performance indicators for both units as a Safety System Functional Failure.

Similar Occurrences:

A review of recent LERs (past three years) identified no similar events involving the potential loss of safety function for the auxiliary feedwater or SG blowdown systems.