From: LABSYS/MARKK Subject: Review and correction of recent ODF reports. To: MARKK X-To: Perry, Michael, Paul, Markk Date: 1 Apr 99 18:02:13

Now that Mike has the ODF back up and running as its supposed to be, is it time to review the recent ODF work and make corrections if necessary? If so, who will do what?

I'm aware of two jobs done when the 90 degree rotation error probably occurred: AlliedSignal/ Rich Bellows work to document a titanium forging sample texture (54-8406) and GE/ McKinney's work on a zircalloy tube (5-8434). There may be others which could be found by database query.

It would seem that the GE jobs would have the highest priority. The recent GE job submitted by Calcatera includes paperwork describing a legal requirement (10-CFR Part 21) make GE/ McKinney aware of any necessary corrections to reports sent to GE. We need to correct the reports as necessary anyway. The Bellows job must also be corrected. Rich told me that he was just documenting the texture and did not have an immediate use of the data. I have not checked and don't know of any other reports that need to be corrected, but there probably are some others. I think Mike has straightened things out with US Steel.

Please let me know what should be done in Lab II or by me. We are getting a fair amount of texture work in. It seems we could check the older data analysis fairly quickly using the software that Mike has set up.

THIS DOCUMENT IDENTIFIES

From: LABSYS/PERRY Subject: US Steel questions about texture. To: MARKK X-To: MarkK Date: 4 Mar 99 14:27:34

Only comment I can make is that everything was done the same way. So I would think that if there is a 90 deg. rotation in D--then all the others are also wrong.

Perry.

----- Forwarded Message Follows - - - - - -

From:	LABSYS/MARKK	
Subject:	US Steel questions about texture.	
To:	PERRY	
X-To:	Michael, Perry	
Date:	4 Mar 99 13:19:50	

Eric Helinski of US Steel had some questions about the ODF analysis. While he's happy with the work, he believes that, at some point in the ODF analysis, something may have been rotated 90 degrees. He's just learning popLA, but he consulted with a professor at Carnegie Mellon ("he's fluent in texture", but he did not name him) who thinks that the results from D make more sense if something got rotated 90. Eric reproduced our results until he got to the ODF, where he thought that the 90 rotation occurred. Paul wants to meet with Mike and me about it tomorrow, before someone calls him back. I had told Eric that Mike will call him next week, if possible. I have the folder for the job, 999- 8183. Just wanted to let you know.

THIS DOCUMENT DENTIFIES

From: LABSYS/PAUL Subject: Revision of ODF related procedures To: MARKK X-To: Michael, MarkK, Perry Date: 26 Mar 99 08:40:30

Michael, please give me a copy of the pages of the Kern's number and general ODF procedures so I can make the modifications to the text necessary to avoid confusion in the future regarding the rotation of RD to 12:00.

I think we should all then have a meeting, early next week, to go over the solution to the problem.

Thanks.

# HIS DUCULATIONTIFIES

From: LABSYS/MARKK Subject: Review and correction of recent ODF reports. To: MARKK X-To: Perry, Michael, Paul, Markk Date: 1 Apr 99 18:02:13

Now that Mike has the ODF back up and running as its supposed to be, is it time to review the recent ODF work and make corrections if necessary? If so, who will do what?

I'm aware of two jobs done when the 90 degree rotation error probably occurred: AlliedSignal/ Rich Bellows work to document a titanium forging sample texture (54-8406) and GE/ McKinney's work on a zircalloy tube (5-8434). There may be others which could be found by database guery.

It would seem that the GE jobs would have the highest priority. The recent GE job submitted by Calcatera includes paperwork describing a legal requirement (10-CFR Part 21) make GE/ McKinney aware of any necessary corrections to reports sent to GE. We need to correct the reports as necessary anyway. The Bellows job must also be corrected. Rich told me that he was just documenting the texture and did not have an immediate use of the data. I have not checked and don't know of any other reports that need to be corrected, but there probably are some others. I think Mike has straightened things out with US Steel.

Please let me know what should be done in Lab II or by me. We are getting a fair amount of texture work in. It seems we could check the older data analysis fairly quickly using the software that Mike has set up.

### AN ALLEGER

LABSYS/MARKK

HIS DUCCESS ......................

AN ALLEGER

From:

Subject: Lab II Procedure Conformance Review. MARKK To: John, Chris, Beth, Markk X-To: 7 Apr 99 09:29:23 Date:

As we discussed in this morning's meeting, to correct any deviations from written methods like the ones found for the pole figure and austenite procedures, Lab II analysts will:

1) Check every activity done vs. method in the Lab II procedures manual, Quality Manual 3 (the blue book copy).

Follow the written procedure, if possible. 21

3) If following the written procedure is impossi \_\_ due to changes in equipment or resources since the procedure was written, note the problem and initial in red ink on the Uncontrolled Method Development copy of the procedure. John will put the Uncontrolled Method Development binder together. If a copy of a procedure being used that day is not in the binder, copy the current (blue book) version, mark "Uncontrolled Method Development Copy" conspicuously on the copy, and place it in the binder. The problems will be noted on the QA log.

4) If there are opportunities to improve the procedure in significant ways, mark and initial those in black ink on the method development copy.

5) If you do a procedure exactly as written, note it on the corresponding method development copy with your initials. If there are questions, lets discuss them and resolve them ASAP. Thanks.

### Lab II Procedure Review Schedule

Participants: John Haas, Chris Barger, Beth Shoemaker, Paul Prevey, Mike Glavicic

1:30 to 2:30 Tuesdays.

į

Please review appropriate training materials prior to sessions.

April 20: Retained austenite measurement

- Austenite msmt 3P1014
- Stds check format: stress and austenite 3P1047

Sample prep by mounting, grinding, polishing

- 3P1053 R-values for steel according to SAE-SP453
- 3P1074 Correction for carbide in 440C steel 3P1075

April 27: Pole figure analysis .....ination

#### JA PROBLEM REPORT

PROJ. 13 7-8482 REPORTED - 109 DATE: 4 BY: M RNO .: 1246 EQUIPMENT: **PROBLEM:** XRF results (Possilly er le? est acrest avea la **RECOMMENDED SOLUTION:** PROJ. REPORTED EQUIPMENT: DATE: 4/9/99 BY: NO.: PROBLEM: 3PID16: 4.2.2 States NOT, مله:41 f. F.d a software set up torun pole for back to the. RECOMMENDED SOLUTION: 60 run in that way & Buck-to-buck estiminates/reduces positioning Error New software 1. 1 back - the back REPORTED PROJ. MK NO.: EQUIPMENT: DATE: 4/8/49 BY: ire that Project Review PROBLEM: Checks Do not 141 and tend oings; tech checks are the work technician 71.0 RECOMMENDED. SOLUTION: PROJ. REPORTED 6 NO.: EQUIPMENT: BY: DATE: 11/4/44 MK PROBLEM: R. H. 3PIDIY states sterminition to be H.1 A101+ 110 **RECOMMENDED SOLUTION:** REPORTED PROJ. E DATE: 4/8/99 BY: MK NO.: EQUIPMENT: PROBLEM: 3P1037 4.3.4 to 4.3.6 crucks Dir. of Rea. some well moral dures shall not be ro millio remled. RECOMMENDED SOLUTION: e1101 REPORTED PROJ. DATE: 4/8/99 BY: NO.: EQUIPMENT: DID75 : Peterminat PROBLEM: 3 / 10/4 : 3 11 Content are My centres MALGUIEMENTS Mees RECOMMENDED SOLUTION: THIS DOCUMENT IDENTIFIES **AN ALLEGER** 

ÖÁFrom LABSYS/KATHLEEN, 9 Apr 99 9:51 (Tidy)ááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááááá LABSYS/KATHLEEN 'From: 'Subject: Fax from Wintek 'To: MARKK 'X-To: MarkK Date: 9 Apr 99 09:51:31 'That was kind of rough this morning. Don't take it personally. 'Paul speaks well of you in private. He knows you work hard and have 'alot on your plate in there, as we all know. Hang in there. '----- Forwarded Message Follows ------\*From: LABSYS/MARKK Fax from Wintek 'Subject: KATHLEEN 'To: 'X-To: Kathleen, Melissa Date: 9 Apr 99 09:49:43 'Chris Soper of wintek are supposed to fax a drawing to me or maybe Doug. 'Please notify me immediately when it arrives. Thanks. âá +/- <F5> <Del> Archive Copy Forward Headers Move Print Reply eXtract áá 4%ì LABSYS/KATHLEEN From: Subject: Fax from Wintek To: MARKK X-To: MarkK Date: 9 Apr 99 09:51:31 That was kind of rough this morning. Don't take it personally. Paul speaks well of you in private. He knows you work hard and have alot on your plate in there, as we all know. Hang in there. ----- Forwarded Message Follows ------From: LABSYS/MARKK

Subject:	Fax from Wintek
To:	KATHLEEN
X-To:	Kathleen, Melissa
Date:	9 Apr 99 09:49:43

Chris Soper of wintek are supposed to fax a drawing to me or maybe Doug. Please notify me immediately when it arrives. Thanks.

## THIS DOCUMENT IDENTIFIES

### THIS DOCUMENT IDENTIFIES

From: LABSYS/PERRY Subject: Texture work: GE Calcatera and Westinghouse Meuller. To: MARKK X-To: MarkK Date: 4 Mar 99 09:49:08 OK

----- Forwarded Message Follows ------

,

From:LABSYS/MARKKSubject:Texture work: GE Calcatera and Westinghouse Meuller.To:PERRYX-To:PerryDate:4 Mar 99 09:30:11

Can you finish the ODF analysis required for 5-8451 (3 samples, GE Calcatera) and 74-8486 (8 samples, Westinghouse Meuller)?

If so, when can the ODF be done? I'll do what I can with them, but by this afternoon, I think I'll be about done.

From: LABSYS/KATHLEEN

Subject: US Steel To: MARKK X-To: MarkK Date: 25 Feb 99 16:46:54

I have placed Job #999-8183 in your in-bin. There is only one job done previously for them for this type of work.