



Operated by Nuclear Management Company, LLC

May 11, 2001 NG-01-0660

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Mail Station 0-P1-17 Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject:

Duane Arnold Energy Center

Docket No: 50-331

Op. License No: DPR-49

Response to Request for Additional Information (RAI) to Technical

Specification Change Request TSCR-042 – Extended Power Uprate. (TAC

MB0543)

Reference:

NG-00-1900, "Technical Specification Change Request (TSCR-042):

'Extended Power Uprate'," dated November 16, 2000.

File:

A-117, SPF-189

Dear Sir(s):

On May 3, 2001, a conference call was held with the NRC Staff regarding the referenced amendment request to increase the authorized license power level of the Duane Arnold Energy Center. In order to complete their review, the Staff has requested additional information to our application. The proposed Request for Additional Information (RAI) had been provided to us electronically on April 27, 2001 to facilitate discussions. As a result of this conference call, we agreed to provide this information in multiple submittals to allow the Staff and their contractor to begin their audit calculations as soon as possible. Consequently, Attachment 1 to this letter contains the complete RAI and our first set of Responses. Please note that we have reformatted the original data request into a tabular format more conducive to the segmented manner in which it is being prepared. The remaining items have been annotated as "LATER." We anticipate providing the remaining Responses within the next two weeks.

Please note that the response in Attachment 1 contains information that the General Electric Company (GE) considers to be proprietary in nature and subsequently, pursuant to 10 CFR 9.17(a)(4), 2.790(a)(4) and 2.790(d)(1), requests that such information be withheld from public disclosure. The portion of the text containing the proprietary information is identified with vertical sidebars in the right margin. An affidavit supporting this request is provided as Attachment 2 to this letter. Attachment 3 is the redacted version of Attachment 1, with the GE proprietary material removed, suitable for public disclosure.

No new commitments are being made in this letter.

Please contact this office should you require additional information regarding this matter.

This letter is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NUCLEAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC

By Jay Van Middlesworth
DAEC Site Vice-President

State of Iowa (County) of Linn

Signed and sworn to before me on this _______

day of M

. 2001

 \subset

Notary Public in and for the State of Iowa

Commission Expires

Attachments:

- DAEC Responses to NRC Containment Systems Branch Request for Additional Information Regarding Proposed Amendment for Power Uprate
- 2) General Electric Affidavit of Proprietary Information
- 3) Redacted Version of DAEC Response to NRC Containment Systems Branch Request for Additional Information Regarding Proposed Amendment for Power Uprate

cc:

- T. Browning
- R. Anderson (NMC) (w/o Attachments 1 & 2)
- B. Mozafari/Darl Hood (NRC-NRR)
- J. Dyer (Region III)
- D. McGhee (State of Iowa) (w/o Attachments 1 & 2)

NRC Resident Office

Docu

Attachment 2 to

NG-01-0660

General Electric Affidavit of Proprietary Information

General Electric Company

AFFIDAVIT

- I, George B. Stramback, being duly sworn, depose and state as follows:
- (1) I am Project Manager, Regulatory Services, General Electric Company ("GE") and have been delegated the function of reviewing the information described in paragraph (2) which is sought to be withheld, and have been authorized to apply for its withholding.
- (2) The information sought to be withheld is contained in the enclosure to letter GEDA -AEP-552, Response to NRC RAI Regarding the Containment Analysis, (GE Company Proprietary), dated May 9, 2001. The proprietary information is delineated by bars marked in the margin adjacent to the specific material in the Enclosure 1 to Letter GEDA-AEP-552 Response to NRC RAI Regarding the Containment Analyses.
- (3) In making this application for withholding of proprietary information of which it is the owner, GE relies upon the exemption from disclosure set forth in the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 USC Sec. 552(b)(4), and the Trade Secrets Act, 18 USC Sec. 1905, and NRC regulations 10 CFR 9.17(a)(4), 2.790(a)(4), and 2.790(d)(1) for "trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential" (Exemption 4). The material for which exemption from disclosure is here sought is all "confidential commercial information", and some portions also qualify under the narrower definition of "trade secret", within the meanings assigned to those terms for purposes of FOIA Exemption 4 in, respectively, Critical Mass Energy Project v. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 975F2d871 (DC Cir. 1992), and Public Citizen Health Research Group v. FDA, 704F2d1280 (DC Cir. 1983).
- (4) Some examples of categories of information which fit into the definition of proprietary information are:
 - a. Information that discloses a process, method, or apparatus, including supporting data and analyses, where prevention of its use by General Electric's competitors without license from General Electric constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies;
 - b. Information which, if used by a competitor, would reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing of a similar product;

- c. Information which reveals cost or price information, production capacities, budget levels, or commercial strategies of General Electric, its customers, or its suppliers;
- d. Information which reveals aspects of past, present, or future General Electric customer-funded development plans and programs, of potential commercial value to General Electric;
- e. Information which discloses patentable subject matter for which it may be desirable to obtain patent protection.

The information sought to be withheld is considered to be proprietary for the reasons set forth in both paragraphs (4)a. and (4)b., above.

- (5) The information sought to be withheld is being submitted to NRC in confidence. The information is of a sort customarily held in confidence by GE, and is in fact so held. The information sought to be withheld has, to the best of my knowledge and belief, consistently been held in confidence by GE, no public disclosure has been made, and it is not available in public sources. All disclosures to third parties including any required transmittals to NRC, have been made, or must be made, pursuant to regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements which provide for maintenance of the information in confidence. Its initial designation as proprietary information, and the subsequent steps taken to prevent its unauthorized disclosure, are as set forth in paragraphs (6) and (7) following.
- (6) Initial approval of proprietary treatment of a document is made by the manager of the originating component, the person most likely to be acquainted with the value and sensitivity of the information in relation to industry knowledge. Access to such documents within GE is limited on a "need to know" basis.
- (7) The procedure for approval of external release of such a document typically requires review by the staff manager, project manager, principal scientist or other equivalent authority, by the manager of the cognizant marketing function (or his delegate), and by the Legal Operation, for technical content, competitive effect, and determination of the accuracy of the proprietary designation. Disclosures outside GE are limited to regulatory bodies, customers, and potential customers, and their agents, suppliers, and licensees, and others with a legitimate need for the information, and then only in accordance with appropriate regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements.
- (8) The information identified in paragraph (2), above, is classified as proprietary because it contains further details regarding the GE proprietary report NEDC-32980P, Safety Analysis Report for Duane Arnold Energy Center Extended Power Uprate, Class III (GE Proprietary Information), dated November 2000, which contains detailed results of analytical models, methods and processes, including

computer codes, which GE has developed, obtained NRC approval of, and applied to perform evaluations of transient and accident events in the GE Boiling Water Reactor ("BWR").

The development and approval of these system, component, and thermal hydraulic models and computer codes was achieved at a significant cost to GE, on the order of several million dollars.

The development of the evaluation process along with the interpretation and application of the analytical results is derived from the extensive experience database that constitutes a major GE asset.

(9) Public disclosure of the information sought to be withheld is likely to cause substantial harm to GE's competitive position and foreclose or reduce the availability of profit-making opportunities. The information is part of GE's comprehensive BWR safety and technology base, and its commercial value extends beyond the original development cost. The value of the technology base goes beyond the extensive physical database and analytical methodology and includes development of the expertise to determine and apply the appropriate evaluation process. In addition, the technology base includes the value derived from providing analyses done with NRC-approved methods.

The research, development, engineering, analytical and NRC review costs comprise a substantial investment of time and money by GE.

The precise value of the expertise to devise an evaluation process and apply the correct analytical methodology is difficult to quantify, but it clearly is substantial.

GE's competitive advantage will be lost if its competitors are able to use the results of the GE experience to normalize or verify their own process or if they are able to claim an equivalent understanding by demonstrating that they can arrive at the same or similar conclusions.

The value of this information to GE would be lost if the information were disclosed to the public. Making such information available to competitors without their having been required to undertake a similar expenditure of resources would unfairly provide competitors with a windfall, and deprive GE of the opportunity to exercise its competitive advantage to seek an adequate return on its large investment in developing these very valuable analytical tools.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	ss:
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA)	33.
George B. Stramback, being duly swo	orn, dep	poses and says:
That he has read the foregoing affida to the best of his knowledge, inf		the matters stated therein are true and correct on, and belief.
Executed at San Jose, California, this	3 4 4 A	day of
		George B. Stramback General Electric Company
Subscribed and sworn before me this	qu.	_day of
VICKY D. SCHROER Commission # 1224251 Notary Public - California Santa Clara County My Comm. Expires Jun 12, 2003	T NEW!	Vielay Dehroer Notary Public, State of California

Redacted Version of DAEC Responses to NRC Containment Systems Branch Request for Additional Information Regarding Proposed Amendment for Power Uprate

1. Provide input to the computer calculation of short-term containment pressure and temperature. Details of the input and method of transmittal to the NRC will be decided mutually in a conference call with the licensee, NRC staff and NRC contractor. Preliminary list is given in Attachment 1 below.

DAEC Response: LATER

2. Provide input to computer calculation of long-term containment pressure and temperature calculations. Details of the input, method of transmittal to the NRC will be decided mutually in a conference call with the licensee, NRC staff and the NRC contractor. Preliminary list is given in Attachment 1below.

DAEC Response:

See Attached Tables -1 and 2 for the initial set of inputs for the long-term DBA-LOCA. The inputs for the other events will be supplied in a subsequent submittal.

- 3. Provide short term and long term results (curves or tables of calculated values as a function of time) of Duane Arnold calculations for:
 - (A)drywell short term pressure and temperature.
 - (B)suppression pool short term temperature
 - (C)wetwell atmosphere short term pressure and temperature
 - (D)suppression pool long term temperature
 - (E)wetwell atmosphere long term pressure and temperature

If the long term calculation results are different from those used for calculating NPSH, provide the suppression pool long term temperature and wetwell atmosphere long term pressure and temperature used for the NPSH calculation.

DAEC Response: LATER

4. Explain why the 31.7 GWD/Short ton is conservative for Duane Arnold decay heat calculations.

DAEC Response:

The exposure used in the decay heat calculations was taken from the power uprate equilibrium fuel cycle, as outlined in PUSAR Section 2.1, and then adjusted to provide

² Information Systems Laboratories, Inc., Rockville, Maryland

extra conservatism to account for any future cycle-to-cycle differences. The core average exposure for the DAEC power uprate equilibrium fuel cycle was 34 GWd/Metric Ton. This value was rounded upward to 35 GWd/Metric Ton, which is equivalent to 31.7 GWd/Short Ton. This value was used to evaluate various decay heat parameters and to estimate the average in-core irradiation time. A direct calculation of the irradiation time using this exposure resulted in a value of 3.31 years. This was rounded upward to 3.5 years for an extra degree of conservatism. Finally, an allowance of two-sigma uncertainty was applied to the decay heat table. All of these individual conservatisms ensure that the overall calculation for the decay heat curve (Table 2, attached) is bounding for the DAEC power uprate conditions.

5. Explain why a higher power level increases subcooling in the vessel downcomer region.

DAEC Response:

This is a characteristic of a constant pressure power uprate with no increase in core flow. The following values were taken from results of the reactor heat balance summarized in PUSAR Section 1.3.1, Table 1-2 and Figure 1-1. Core flow remains at 49 Mlb/hr. Reactor pressure remains at 1040 psia, so the reactor coolant saturation temperature remains at 540 °F. The increase in vessel steam flow from 7.172 Mlb/hr to 8.352 Mlb/hr reduces the return flow to the downcomer (i.e., core flow minus vessel steam flow) from 41.83 Mlb/hr to 40.65 Mlb/hr at 540 °F. There is a corresponding increase in Feedwater flow from 7.221 Mlb/hr at 424 °F to 8.414 Mlb/hr at 431.4 °F. Despite the increase in Feedwater temperature, the bulk average downcomer temperature decreases from 531 °F to 529 °F. Therefore, the downcomer subcooling increases from about 9 °F to 11 °F, at the saturation pressure of 1040 psia in the reactor steam dome. A similar decrease in core inlet enthalpy can be seen in Table 1-2.

6. Describe any changes made in assumptions or physically to the ultimate heat sink and the residual heat removal heat exchanger which affect the long term containment analysis.

DAEC Response:

No changes in the current analysis assumptions for either the Ultimate Heat Sink (PUSAR 6.4.5) or the Residual Heat Removal heat exchanger (PUSAR 3.9.2 and 6.4.1.1.2) were made.

7. Describe the steam line break scenario which requires calculating a 120 day containment response. Describe in more detail the calculation which is done for this scenario or provide the calculation.

DAEC Response: LATER

8. Explain why the EPU peak drywell gas pressure is less than for the current licensing basis (Table 4-1 of NEDC-32980P).

DAEC Response: LATER

9. Explain the reason for redoing the subcompartment analyses assuming the break flow is subcooled liquid (Section 4.1.2.3 of NEDC-32980P).

DAEC Response: LATER

10. Describe or reference the methods and assumptions used to calculate mass and energy release for the short term and long-term pressure and temperature calculations. Verify that the HEM model is being used in a manner consistent with the staff SER on NEDO-21052, September 1975.

DAEC Response: LATER

11. Other than the effects due to the increase in power, verify that no other changes in assumptions in the NPSH calculation have been made in the assumptions or input since the Duane Arnold responses to GL 97-04.

DAEC Response:

As stated in PUSAR Section 4.1.1.1(b), the only assumption that was changed from the NPSH analysis for GL 97-04 was in the decay heat curve, which assumed a finite versus infinite fuel exposure.

Parameter \ Case	Unit	Short-Term DBA-LOCA	Long-Term DBA-LOCA	Long-Term DBA-LOCA for NPSH	Long-Term 0.01 ft ² Steam Line Break
GE Computer Code used			SHEX		
Break Critical Flow Model			HEM		
Containment Volumes					
Drywell (including free volume of vents)	ft ³		130,000		
Wetwell Atmosphere	ft ³		96,670		
Wetwell Liquid	ft ³		58,900		
Initial RPV Water Volume (include liquid in recirc, LPCI, CS, HPCI, RCIC and RHR shutdown piping)	ft ³		7,431		
Recirc Suction Nozzle Inside Diameter	in		19.75		
DBA-LOCA Break Area					
Recirc Suction Nozzle	ft ²		2.127		
Jet Pump Nozzles	ft ²		0.380		
Bottom Head Drain Nozzle	ft ²		0.016		
Total	ft ²		2.523		

Parameter \ Case	Unit	Short-Term DBA-LOCA	Long-Term DBA-LOCA	Long-Term DBA-LOCA for NPSH	Long-Term 0.01 ft ² Steam Line Break
Torus Geometry Description					
Inside Diameter	ft		25.667		
Upper Torus Thickness	ft		0.042		
Lower Torus Thickness (not modeled)	ft		0.045		
Baffles Dimensions, Mass and Location			Not Modeled		
Initial Suppression Pool Depth	ft		7.449*		
* The torus-shaped suppression pool is converted int	_		ac by retaining in	e same poor surr	acc area, same
vent and SRV quencher submergences and preserv	_			e same poor surr	acc area, same
vent and SRV quencher submergences and preserv Suppression Pool Surface Area (assumed constant)	_		7,763	e same poor surr	ace area, same
vent and SRV quencher submergences and preserv	ing the total		_	e same poor surr	acc area, same
vent and SRV quencher submergences and preserv Suppression Pool Surface Area (assumed constant)	ing the total		7,763	e same poor surr	ace area, same
vent and SRV quencher submergences and preserv Suppression Pool Surface Area (assumed constant) Pump Suction Location Initial Drywell Air and Vapor Masses are based	ing the total		7,763	e same poor surr	acc area, same
vent and SRV quencher submergences and preserv Suppression Pool Surface Area (assumed constant) Pump Suction Location Initial Drywell Air and Vapor Masses are based on the following Conditions	ft ²		7,763 Not Modeled	e same poor surr	acc area, same
vent and SRV quencher submergences and preserv Suppression Pool Surface Area (assumed constant) Pump Suction Location Initial Drywell Air and Vapor Masses are based on the following Conditions Pressure	ft ²		7,763 Not Modeled	e same poor surr	ace area, same
vent and SRV quencher submergences and preserv Suppression Pool Surface Area (assumed constant) Pump Suction Location Initial Drywell Air and Vapor Masses are based on the following Conditions Pressure Temperature	ft ² psig psig		7,763 Not Modeled 2.3 135	e same poor surr	ace area, same

Parameter \ Case	Unit	Short-Term DBA-LOCA	Long-Term DBA-LOCA	Long-Term DBA-LOCA for NPSH	Long-Term 0.01 ft ² Steam Line Break
Temperature	°F		95		
Relative Humidity	%		100		
Initial Suppression Pool Water Mass	lbm		3.656E6		
Initial Submergences					
Downcomers (Vents)	ft		3.026		
SRV Discharge Lines / Quenchers	ft		6.125		
Heat Structures Properties					
Drywell and Wetwell Internal metal Structures and Vents (Steel)			Not Modeled		
Density	lbm/ft ³		490		
Specific Heat	Btu/lbm-°F		0.11		
Thermal Conductivity	Btu/hr-ft-°F		26		
Drywell and Wetwell Air Properties					
Constant Volume Specific Heat, C _v	Btu/lbm-°F		0.171		
Ratio of Specific Heats, γ			1.4		
Ideal Gas Constant, R	lb _f -ft/lbm-°F		53.34		

Parameter \ Case	Unit	Short-Term DBA-LOCA	Long-Term DBA-LOCA	Long-Term DBA-LOCA for NPSH	Long-Term 0.01 ft ² Steam Line Break
Suppression to Drywell Vacuum Breakers					
Number			6		
Flow Area (per Vacuum Breaker)	ft ²		1.396		
Differential Pressure Setpoint	psid		0.35		
Loss Coefficient (per Vacuum Breaker)			2.41		
RX Building to Suppression Vacuum Breakers			Not Modeled		
Number	- A · · · · · 17 - 47 - · · ·		NA		
Flow Area (per Vacuum Breaker)	ft ²		NA		
Differential Pressure Setpoint	psid		NA		
Opening Time	sec		NA		
Loss Coefficient (per Vacuum Breaker)			NA		
Drywell Spray Flow Rate	gpm		Not Modeled		
Wetwell Spray Flow Rate	gpm		Not Modeled		
Normalized Decay Heat vs Time			Table 2		
Operating Pumps					
RHR/LPCI Pumps					

Parameter \ Case	Unit	Short-Term DBA-LOCA	Long-Term DBA-LOCA	Long-Term DBA-LOCA for NPSH	Long-Term 0.01 ft ² Steam Line Break
Number of Operating Pumps			2 before 600 sec		
			1 after 600 sec		
Heat Exchanger K-factor (per HX)	Btu/sec-°F		135		
Pump Heat (per Pump)	hp		600		
Core Spray Pumps					
Number of Operating Pumps			1		
Pump Heat (per Pump)	hp		700		
Modeling of Condensation on Containment Walls			Not Modeled		

TABLE – 2

Normalized ANS 5.1 + 2\sigma Shutdown Power Values for DAEC Extended Power Uprate (Sum of Decay Heat, Fuel Relaxation and Metal-Water Reaction Energy)

Time (sec) | Shutdown Power

[[General Electric Proprietary Information Redacted]]