

June 15, 2001

Mr. A. Randolph Blough
Director - Division of Reactor Projects, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

Dear Mr. Blough:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Mr. Kenneth E. Brockman
Director - Division of Reactor Projects, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Harris Tower, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Dear Mr. Brockman:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Ms. Mary A. Ferdig
Principal, Ferdig, Inc.
Organization Research and Development
2419 South 102nd Street
Omaha, NE 68124

Dear Ms. Ferdig:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Mr. Steve D. Floyd
Senior Director - Regulatory Reform
Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I Street N.W., Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Dear Mr. Floyd:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Mr. David F. Garchow
Vice President - Operations
PSEG Nuclear LLC
P.O. Box 236, (MS-X04)
Hancock's Bridge, NJ 08038

Dear Mr. Garchow:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Mr. Richard D. Hill
General Manager - Support - Farley Project
Southern Nuclear Operating Company
P.O. Box 1295
Birmingham, AL 35201-1295

Dear Mr. Hill:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Mr. Rod M. Krich
Director of Licensing MW ROG
Exelon
Suite 500, 1400 Opus Place
Downers Grove, IL 60515

Dear Mr. Krich:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Mr. Robert A. Laurie
Commissioner - California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, MS-31
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Dear Mr. Laurie:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Mr. James H. Moorman, III
Senior Resident Inspector - Palo Verde Site
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Harris Tower, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Dear Mr. Moorman:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Mr. Steven A. Reynolds
Deputy Director - Division of Reactor Projects, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle, IL 60532-4351

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Mr. A. Edward Scherer
Manager, Nuclear Oversight and Regulatory Affairs
Southern California Edison Company
P.O. Box 128, MS D4C
San Clemente, CA 92674

Dear Mr. Scherer:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Mr. James L. Setser
Chief - Program Coordination Branch
Environmental Protection Division
Department of Natural Resources
205 Butler Street, Suite 1152 East Tower
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Dear Mr. Setser:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Mr. Raymond G. Shadis
New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution
P.O. Box 76
Edgecomb, Maine 04556

Dear Mr. Shadis:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

June 15, 2001

Mr. James M. Trapp
Senior Reactor Analyst
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

Dear Mr. Trapp:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
Executive Director
for Operations

Mr. A. Randolph Blough
 Director - Division of Reactor Projects, Region I
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 475 Allendale Road
 King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

June 15, 2001

Dear Mr. Blough:

We are writing to thank you for taking part in the activities of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Process Initial Implementation Evaluation Panel (IIEP). As a panel member, you provided valuable input representing your specific interests and your experiences with the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). Your diligent work along with that of the other panel members helped achieve the consensus view that the ROP is a notable improvement over the NRC's previous licensee performance assessment program and that it should be continued. The NRC will evaluate the various issues and recommendations provided by the panel, and make the refinements and improvements necessary to further achieve the agency's performance goals.

Your frank and open discussions provided for effective communications between the various members of the panel and the organizations that they represented. Through these types of interactions with our stakeholders, we will continue to improve the NRC's regulatory programs to ensure our common goal of protection of public health and safety.

The work of the IIEP required a significant amount of your personal time, including attending multiple meetings at the NRC's Headquarters and Region II. We thank you for your personal investment in this effort and the support provided by your organization.

This exact same letter was sent to the following PPEP panel members:

- Mr. A. Randolph Blough
- Mr. Kenneth E. Brockman
- Ms. Mary A. Ferdig
- Mr. Steve D. Floyd
- Mr. David F. Garchow
- Mr. Richard D. Hill
- Mr. Rod M. Krich
- Mr. Robert A. Laurie
- Mr. James H. Moorman, III
- Mr. Steven A. Reynolds
- Mr. A. Edward Scherer
- Mr. James L. Setser
- Mr. Raymond G. Shadis
- Mr. James M. Trapp

Sincerely,
 /RA/

Samuel J. Collins, Director
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

/RA/

William D. Travers
 Executive Director
 for Operations

Distribution: HMiller, JDyer, EMerschhoff
 ADAMS: ML011380410
 Template: NRR-106

| | | | | |
|-------------|------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| OFC | NRR/ADIP | Region II | D:NRR | EDO |
| NAME | JMonninger | LPlisco via Phone | SCollins | WTravers |
| DATE | 05/16/01 | 05/18/01 | 06/13/01 | 06/15/01 |

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY