

6/26/00 Comments from Nathan Sina, RES

**Comments: "Draft Final Technical Study of Spent Fuel Pool Accident Risk at Decommissioning Nuclear Power Plants," Received February 2, 2000.**

Most of my previous comments have been adequately addressed. Remaining comments:

Major

1. Executive Summary, Page 3, last para, 1<sup>st</sup> sentence. This sentence should be deleted. It seems to provide the message we discussed at the last meeting, namely that the study can be used as-is (or almost, following minor tweaking) as a model for licensee PRAs supporting exemption requests. The study is a good one, but there are issues which could be important in site-specific studies. (Section 5 of Appendix 2a, in fact, provides a good discussion of some of these issues.) Further, the sentence is not needed.
2. The discussion on uncertainties immediately following Table 3.1 should reference the discussion in Section 5 of Appendix 2a. (Both discussions should also be checked to make sure they're consistent.)

Minor

1. Page 34, top para. Change "creditable" to "credible". Should search to see if there are other instances.
2. Page A2a-15. Figure 4-1 needs to be cleaned up; my copy shows overlapping branches.

E/12