

From: Nathan Siu *IRIS*
To: George Hubbard
Date: Friday, June 23, 2000 04:57 PM
Subject: Fwd: Re: SFP Report

George -

After reviewing my notes from February on the subject, I'm a little confused. It looks like I had reviewed the February 2 version of the report and decided it was OK except for a few points (not related to the aircraft or tornado/high wind issues). (Note that the essence of Ed's material is in the February 2 version.) I apologize if you didn't get my comments; I'm attaching them now.

Nathan

>>> George Hubbard 06/22 9:17 AM >>>

Attached is the latest version of our input with regard to tornadoes/high winds for the SFP Decommissioning report. Our management is happy with the writeup and we are prepared to finalize it in the report. Please review it and let us know if you have any comments.

Thanks,

George Hubbard
2870

CC: Charles Tinkler, Gareth Parry, Michael Check

0/9

Comments: "Draft Final Technical Study of Spent Fuel Pool Accident Risk at Decommissioning Nuclear Power Plants," Received February 2, 2000.

Most of my previous comments have been adequately addressed. Remaining comments:

Major

1. Executive Summary, Page 3, last para, 1st sentence. This sentence should be deleted. It seems to provide the message we discussed at the last meeting, namely that the study can be used as-is (or almost, following minor tweaking) as a model for licensee PRAs supporting exemption requests. The study is a good one, but there are issues which could be important in site-specific studies. (Section 5 of Appendix 2a, in fact, provides a good discussion of some of these issues.) Further, the sentence is not needed.
2. The discussion on uncertainties immediately following Table 3.1 should reference the discussion in Section 5 of Appendix 2a. (Both discussions should also be checked to make sure they're consistent.)

Minor

1. Page 34, top para. Change "creditable" to "credible". Should search to see if there are other instances.
2. Page A2a-15. Figure 4-1 needs to be cleaned up; my copy shows overlapping branches.