

**From:** Lawrence Burkhart  
**To:** Brian Sepelak  
**Date:** 5/15/01 7:01AM  
**Subject:** RAI - RELIEF REQUEST - LESS THAN 100% VOLUMETRIC COVERAGE

RAI in response to your 2/6/01 request. Please let me know when we can discuss.

**Mail Envelope Properties**

(3B010C99.537 : 6 : 21370)

**Subject:** RAI - RELIEF REQUEST - LESS THAN 100% VOLUMETRIC COVERAGE  
**Creation Date:** 5/15/01 7:01AM  
**From:** Lawrence Burkhart

**Created By:** LJB@nrc.gov

**Recipients**

firstenergycorp.com  
sepelakb (Brian Sepelak)

**Post Office**

firstenergycorp.com

**Route**

internet

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
beavervalley_rai_MB1132-3.wpd		3473 05/11/01 11:59AM
MESSAGE	525	05/15/01 07:01AM

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

Beaver Valley Unit 1 Tac Nos. MB1132 and Mb1133

1. List the obtained coverage for each specific weld and any significant indications. For welds not examined for the third interval yet, submit relief after the examinations have been performed.
2. What is the reason for the examination coverage change? Please provide the reasoning and documentation for the current credited examination coverage being lower than previous examinations. The submittal stated that the limitations are documented in the most recent examination reports. Please provide documentation on the limitations and explain the current methods used to calculate coverage. Discuss and compare the changes in limitations and coverage calculations from previous intervals.
3. What assurance is provided that a significant flaw would be detected with the limited UT coverage?