IDENTIFICATION OF SIGNIFICANT LICENSING ACTION	
icense Not	Docket No:
icensee:	
mendment No:	124955 Mail Control Not
ailout Date:	
ate of Last Inspection:	Date of Next Inspection:
rogran Codet	Inspection Frequency:
ote To: <u>(Appropriate)</u>	Branch Chief)
	ker)
RE: POSSIBLE NEED FOR In onsite inspection of Ine to a significant li	ONSITE INSPECTION PRIOR TO NEXT SCHEDULED INSPECTION the licensee identified above should be considered censing action involving one or more of the criteria ional information is attached.
RE: POSSIBLE NEED FOR In onsite inspection of Ine to a significant li	the licensee identified above should be considered consing action involving one or more of the criteria
E: POSSIBLE NEED FOR of in onsite inspection of iue to a significant li lescribed below. Addit Circle all that apply: 1 - Increased typ 2 - Physical move 3 - Authorization 4 - Increased dis 5 - Significant i	the licensee identified above should be considered censing action involving one or more of the criteria ional information is attached.
E: POSSIBLE NEED FOR an onsite inspection of lue to a significant li described below. Addit Circle all that apply: 1 - Increased typ 2 - Physical move 3 - Authorization 4 - Increased dis 5 - Significant i 6 - Licensee Enfo	the licensee identified above should be considered censing action involving one or more of the criteria ional information is attached. es and uses or temporary job site of satellite facilities posal authorization ncrease in number of authorized users
E: POSSIBLE NEED FOR of in onsite inspection of ine to a significant li- lescribed below. Addit Circle all that apply: 1 - Increased typ 2 - Physical move 3 - Authorization 4 - Increased dis 5 - Significant i 6 - Licensee Enfor Change date of next ins () No	the licensee identified above should be considered censing action involving one or more of the criteria ional information is attached. es and uses or temporary job site of satellite facilities posal authorization ncrease in number of authorized users preement History reviewed as part of action spection? (To be Completed by Branch Chief)_
E: POSSIBLE NEED FOR of in onsite inspection of ine to a significant li lescribed below. Addit Circle all that apply: 1 - Increased typ 2 - Physical move 3 - Authorization 4 - Increased dis 5 - Significant i 6 - Licensee Enfor Change date of next ins () No	the licensee identified above should be considered censing action involving one or more of the criteria ional information is attached. es and uses or temporary job site of satellite facilities posal authorization ncrease in number of authorized users preement History reviewed as part of action spection? (To be Completed by Branch Chief)_

NOTE: THIS FORM HUST BE COMPLETED FOR ALL AMENDMENT/REMEMAL ACTIONS

CHECKLIST FOR DETERMINING WHEN A SIGNIFICANT LICENSING ACTION HAY REQUIRE AN ADDITIONAL ONSITE INSPECTION

1 .

If licensing action has resulted in one of the following, regional management must determine the need for performing an onsite inspection prior to the next scheduled inspection:

1. Does the licensing action result in increased authorization for types and quantities of radioactive material that could result in a significant potential for increased radiation exposure to the public and occupational workers?

(X) No
() Yos (Describe)

NOTE: This can be identified by a change to a higher priority, i.e., from a Priority 2 to a Priority 1 license. Another significant change in this area would be an increase in the authorized quantity from a millicurie amount to a curie amount.

2. Does the licensing action authorize a physical move of a facility or authorize use at a temporary job site(s)?

(X) No () Yes (Describe)

3. Does the licensing action authorize satellite facilities where material will be used or stored?

() Yes (Describe)

4. Does the licensing action increase the types of uses or disposal (incineration) of radioactive materials?

() Yes (Describe)

5. Does the licensing action significantly increase the number of authorized users?

() Yes (Describe)

. 855