

**From:** Edward Throm, *ET*  
**To:** Glenn Kelly, Mark Rubin  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 21, 2000 01:36 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Pubic Comment #8

I don't think this information needs to be added to the report body. There may be a catch-all placeholder already in Section 2.1.4, "Implementation and Monitoring Program," concerning Reg Guide 1.174. The decommission rule should include the continued assessment against those sections of Part 50 which remain intact.

>>> Mark Rubin 6/21/2000 1:18:23 PM >>>  
Ed:

Nice job, a comprehensive response. We might do a little editing as we pull all the responsives together. Does any of this concept need to be incorporated into the report writeup to reflect that any changes to the threat will be assessed by regulatory requirements?

Mark

**CC:** William Huffman

4186