

From: Robert Clark
To: Donna Moeller
Date: 4/17/01 5:42PM
Subject: Calvert Cliffs SG Conference Call Followup

Donna:

The attachment consists of the questions asked by Region 1 during the teleconference held 4/17/01 regarding Unit 2 SG inspection results. Please ask Getachew to forward these questions to the appropriate personnel and have them e-mail me the response given during the teleconference according to their re-collection.

Thank you,
Bob Clark, Calvert Cliffs Project Manager

Mail Envelope Properties (3AE057F3.A4A : 1 : 55882)

Subject: C-3 Phone Call Summary
Creation Date: 4/20/01 11:35AM
From: "Tesfaye, Getachew" <Getachew.Tesfaye@ccnppi.com>

Created By: Getachew.Tesfaye@ccnppi.com

Recipients

nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
RLC2 (Robert Clark)

Post Office
owf4_po.OWFN_DO

Route
nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	170	04/20/01 11:35AM
C32001Summary.doc	23552	
Header	933	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Calvert Cliffs, Unit 2 Steam Generator Inspection Results

1. Was there any primary to secondary leakage in either generator prior to the shutdown for this outage?
2. Did the outcome of the inspection reveal any new degradation mechanisms.
3. Have you compared the results of this outage with those of the previous outage? Were there any obvious or striking differences in indication number, location, length and depth identified during the comparison (are the results similar or, do you see an increase in the frequency or rate of growth of indications).
4. Discuss the new inspection results. In particular, please expand on the meaning of “base scope” for the steam blanket region, “U” bends and “special interest”. Can you characterize the one (1) indication identified by the bobbin coil inspection (first item in the status table we received). Can you briefly characterize the remaining tubes repaired (cracks, wear, wastage, wall thinning, loose part, etc).
5. Do you have pressure test plans at this time? Did you perform any pressure tests in-situ on tubes which may have failed the inspection by a wide margin?
6. What steps did you take during this inspection as a result of the Indian Point Unit 2 tube failure? Tell us about :

Your response to any new degradation mechanisms **or** accelerated activity of previously identified mechanisms.

Your assessment of data quality (noise level acceptability).

7. Are there any additional SG activities remaining in this outage?