

9/12/79

Docket Nos. 50-277
and 50-278

Mr. Edward G. Bauer, Jr.
Vice President and General Counsel
Philadelphia Electric Company
2301 Market Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket	DBrinkman
NRC PDR	ACRS (16)
Local PDR	CMiles
ORB#3 Rdg	RDiggs
NRR Rdg	HDenton
DEisenhut	JRBuchanan
BGrimes	TERA
RVollmer	File
WGammill	Xtra Copies
LShao	
JMiller	
JCarter	
PKreutzer	
DVerrelli	
OELD	
OI&E (5)	
BJones (8)	
BScharf (10)	

Dear Mr. Bauer:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendments Nos. 57 and 57 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56 for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Units Nos. 2 and 3. The amendments revise the Technical Specifications in response to your request dated May 23, 1978.

These amendments revise the Appendix B Technical Specifications by deleting the protection limits and associated monitoring requirements for suspended solids and pH because comparable limits and monitoring requirements are imposed by the NPDES permit.

Since the amendment applies only to environmental matters, it does not involve significant new safety information of a type not considered by a previous Commission safety review of the facility. It does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident, does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, and therefore does not involve a significant hazards consideration. We have also concluded that there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by this action.

CP2
KB

~~7909290369~~

OFFICE >							
SURNAME >							
DATE >							

Mr. Edward G. Bauer

- 2 -

Copies of the related Environmental Impact Appraisal and Notice of Issuance and Negative Declaration are also enclosed.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Ippolito, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #3
Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 57 to DPR-44
2. Amendment No. 57 to DPR-56
3. Environmental Impact Appraisal
4. Notice and Negative Declaration

cc w/enclosures:
see next page

*SEE PREVIOUS YELLOW FOR CONCURRENCES

OFFICE	ORB#3	ORB#3	OELD	ORB#3	AD/ORP/DOR
SURNAME	PKreutzer:acr	*DVerrelli	*MCutchin	*Tippolito	*WGammill
DATE	9/7/79	9/7/79	9/11/79	9/7/79	9/10/79

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket DBrinkman
 NRC PDR ACRS (16)
 Local PDR CMiles
 ORB#3 Rdg RDiggs
 NRR Rdg HDenton
 DEisenhut JRBuchanan
 BGrimes TERA
 RVollmer File
 WGammill Xtra Copies
 LShao
 JMiller
 JCarter
 PKreutzer
 DVerrelli
 OELD
 OI&E (5)
 BJones (8)
 BScharf (10)

Docket Nos. 50-277
 and 50-278

Mr. Edward G. Bauer, Jr.
 Vice President and General Counsel
 Philadelphia Electric Company
 2301 Market Street
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Dear Mr. Bauer:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendments Nos. and to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56 for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Units Nos. 2 and 3. The amendments revise the Technical Specifications in response to your request dated May 23, 1978.

These amendments revise the Appendix B Technical Specifications by deleting the protection limits and associated monitoring requirements for suspended solids and pH.

Since the amendment applies only to environmental matters, it does not involve significant new safety information of a type not considered by a previous Commission safety review of the facility. It does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident, does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, and therefore does not involve a significant hazards consideration. We have also concluded that there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by this action.

Copies of the related Environmental Impact Appraisal and Notice of Issuance and Negative Declaration are also enclosed.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Ippolito, Chief
 Operating Reactors Branch #3
 Division of Operating Reactors

PKreutzer
 No copy of objection to amendment or changes noted are made.

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. to DPR-44
2. Amendment No. to DPR-56

OFFICE →	3. Environmental Impact Appraisal	ORB#3	OELD	ORB#3	AD/OPR/DOR
SURNAME →	4. Notice and Negative Declaration	DVerrelli:acr	CUTCHIN	Ippolito	WGammill
CC w/enclosures: see next page		9/1/79	9/11/79	9/7/79	9/10/79

Mr. Edward G. Bauer, Jr.
Philadelphia Electric Company

- 2 -

cc:

Eugene J. Bradley
Philadelphia Electric Company
Assistant General Counsel
2301 Market Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Troy B. Conner, Jr.
1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20006

Raymond L. Hovis, Esquire
35 South Duke Street
York, Pennsylvania 17401

Warren K. Rich, Esquire
Assistant Attorney General
Department of Natural Resources
Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Philadelphia Electric Company
ATTN: Mr. W. T. Ullrich
Peach Bottom Atomic
Power Station
Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Mr. R. A. Heiss, Coordinator
Pennsylvania State Clearinghouse
Governor's Office of State Planning
and Development
P. O. Box 1323
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Albert R. Steel, Chairman
Board of Supervisors
Peach Bottom Township
R. D. #1
Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Edward Greenman
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station
P. O. Box 399
Delta, Pennsylvania 17314

Director, Technical Assessment
Division
Office of Radiation Programs
(AW-459)

US EPA
Crystal Mall #2
Arlington, Virginia 20460

Region III Office
ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR
Curtis Building (Sixth Floor)
6th and Walnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

M. J. Cooney, Superintendent
Generation Division - Nuclear
Philadelphia Electric Company
2301 Market Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Government Publications Section
State Library of Pennsylvania
Education Building
Commonwealth and Walnut Streets
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17126



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY
PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY
DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-277

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 57
License No. DPR-44

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Philadelphia Electric Company, et al. (the licensee) dated May 23, 1978, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-44 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 57, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

7909290372

7909290372

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


Thomas A. Ippolito, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #3
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: September 12, 1979

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 57

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-44

DOCKET NO. 50-277

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "B" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

Remove

i
7
8

Insert

i
7
8

PBAPS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
1.0 <u>Definitions, Abbreviations and Symbols</u>	1
1.1 Definitions	1
1.2 Abbreviations	2
1.3 Symbols	3
2.0 <u>Protection Limits</u>	5
2.1 Thermal	5
2.2 Chemical	5c
2.2.1 Biocides	5c
2.2.2 (deleted)	
2.2.3 (deleted)	
2.2.4 Plant Chemical Usage	8
2.3 Noise	10
3.0 <u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	11
3.1	11
3.2	12
3.2.1	13
3.2.4	13
3.3	14
4.0 <u>Report Levels</u>	11
4.1 Impingement of Fishes	11
4.2 Unusual Environmental Events	12
4.3 Radiological	13
5.0 <u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	14
5.1	14
5.2	14
5.3	14
6.0 <u>Environmental Surveillance and Special Study Programs</u>	14
6.1 Aquatic	14
a. General Ecological Survey Program	14
b. Impingement of Organisms	34
c. Entrainment of Planktonic Organisms	34
d. Thermal Tolerances of Organisms	35
6.2 Chemical	39
a. Biocides	39
b. Heavy Metals	39
6.3 Physical	40
a. Thermal Plume Mapping	40
b. Erosion	41
6.4 Radiological Study Program	41
7.0 <u>Administrative Controls</u>	48
7.1 Organization, Review and Audit	48
7.1.1 Organization	48
7.1.2 Review and Audit	48

PROTECTION LIMITS (Continued)

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

Bases (Continued)

Bases (Continued)

maximum total chlorine residual of
0.1 mg/liter at the end of the
discharge canal.

PROTECTION LIMITS (Continued)MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (Continued)2.2.4 Plant Chemical UsageObjective

To limit the usage of chemicals that are released or which may be released to Conowingo Pond.

Specification

The maximum use of chemicals at PBAPS shall not exceed twice the values given in the column titled "Estimated Usage (lbs/year)" in Table 2.2.4-1. Chemicals other than those listed in Table 2.2.4-1 shall not normally be discharged to Conowingo Pond.

Bases

The limiting of the quantities of chemicals which are released or may be released to Conowingo Pond will avoid adverse environmental impacts on the Conowingo Pond.

3.2.4 Plant Chemical UsageObjective

To monitor the usage of chemicals that are released or which may be released to Conowingo Pond.

Specification

The quantity of chemicals used at PBAPS, as listed in Table 2.2.4-1, shall be determined twice a year.

Bases

Monitoring the usage of chemicals at PBAPS will assure compliance with the environmental technical specification.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY
PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY ,
DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-278

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 57
License No. DPR-56

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Philadelphia Electric Company, et al. (the licensee) dated May 23, 1978, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-56 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 57, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

Handwritten signature

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


Thomas A. Ippolito, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #3
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: September 12, 1979

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 57

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-56

DOCKET NO. 50-278

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "B" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

Remove

i
7
8

Insert

i
7
8

PBAPS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
1.0 <u>Definitions, Abbreviations and Symbols</u>	1
1.1 Definitions	1
1.2 Abbreviations	2
1.3 Symbols	3
2.0 <u>Protection Limits</u>	5
2.1 Thermal	5
2.2 Chemical	5c
2.2.1 Biocides	5c
2.2.2 (deleted)	
2.2.3 (deleted)	
2.2.4 Plant Chemical Usage	8
2.3 Noise	10
3.0 <u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	
3.1	10
3.2	
3.2.1	
3.2.4	
3.3	
4.0 <u>Report Levels</u>	11
4.1 Impingement of Fishes	11
4.2 Unusual Environmental Events	12
4.3 Radiological	13
5.0 <u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	
5.1	
5.2	
5.3	
6.0 <u>Environmental Surveillance and Special Study Programs</u>	14
6.1 Aquatic	14
a. General Ecological Survey Program	14
b. Impingement of Organisms	34
c. Entrainment of Planktonic Organisms	34
d. Thermal Tolerances of Organisms	35
6.2 Chemical	39
a. Biocides	39
b. Heavy Metals	39
6.3 Physical	40
a. Thermal Plume Mapping	40
b. Erosion	41
6.4 Radiological Study Program	41
7.0 <u>Administrative Controls</u>	48
7.1 Organization, Review and Audit	48
7.1.1 Organization	48
7.1.2 Review and Audit	48

maximum total chlorine residual of
0.1 mg/liter at the end of the
discharge canal.

Bases (Continued)

Bases (Continued)

PROTECTION LIMITS (Continued) MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

PROTECTION LIMITS (Continued)MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (Continued)2.2.4 Plant Chemical UsageObjective

To limit the usage of chemicals that are released or which may be released to Conowingo Pond.

Specification

The maximum use of chemicals at PBAPS shall not exceed twice the values given in the column titled "Estimated Usage (lbs/year)" in Table 2.2.4-1. Chemicals other than those listed in Table 2.2.4-1 shall not normally be discharged to Conowingo Pond.

Bases

The limiting of the quantities of chemicals which are released or may be released to Conowingo Pond will avoid adverse environmental impacts on the Conowingo Pond.

3.2.4 Plant Chemical UsageObjective

To monitor the usage of chemicals that are released or which may be released to Conowingo Pond.

Specification

The quantity of chemicals used at PBAPS, as listed in Table 2.2.4-1, shall be determined twice a year.

Bases

Monitoring the usage of chemicals at PBAPS will assure compliance with the environmental technical specification.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT APPRAISAL BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUPPORTING AMENDMENTS NOS. 57 AND 57 TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. DPR-44 AND DPR-56

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY
PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY
DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION

DOCKET NOS. 50-277 AND 50-278

Description of Proposed Action

By letter dated May 23, 1978, Philadelphia Electric Company (the licensee) requested an amendment to the Appendix B Environmental Technical Specifications (ETS) for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Units Nos. 2 and 3. The proposed changes would delete the protection limit for suspended solids and rely on the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for control and monitoring of suspended solids. In addition the licensee requested to change the location and frequency of pH monitoring to be consistent with the pH monitoring requirements of the permit.

In our review as described below we have determined that we can delete both suspended solids and pH specifications entirely and rely on the NPDES permit for discharge limits and monitoring of these parameters. The licensee has agreed to these modifications.

This appraisal addresses the environmental effects of deleting the protection limits and monitoring for suspended solids and pH, and relying instead on the NPDES permit conditions.

Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action

Specification 2.2 Suspended Solids requires that suspended solids in the effluents from the settling basin and the sewage plant not be 30 ppm greater than the concentration in the river water. Monitoring of suspended solids as required by Specification 3.2.2 consists of daily turbidity measurements in the settling basin. Once per week, a grab sample is to be taken and analyzed gravimetrically for correlation with the daily measurements.

GUP
79/929/375

The NPDES permit requires that the daily average of suspended solids discharged from the settling basin not exceed 30 mg/l with a daily maximum of 100 mg/l. According to the permit, monitoring frequency is once per month.

The licensee's proposed change would delete from the ETS the protection limit specification related to suspended solids which is also the subject of an effluent limitation contained in the Peach Bottom NPDES permit. The requested elimination of the ETS limit on suspended solids will have no environmental effect on Conowingo Pond, since discharges must still comply with the limitations on suspended solids in the NPDES permit. The staff's analysis indicates that the limitations of the NPDES permit are as stringent as the present ETS limitations. The NPDES limit does not give credit for the suspended solids level of the inlet river water, while the ETS limit allows subtraction of the inlet suspended solids level from the outlet level. Under normal river conditions suspended solids level of the river is high and the NPDES permit is more limiting. The provisions in the NPDES permit for daily or weekly maximums tend to provide relief under this condition but do not fully do so. For example, if the suspended level of the river were 90 ppm (an infrequent but not improbable situation) and the outlet of the sewage treatment plant had an instantaneous spike to 100 ppm, this would be a reportable violation under the NPDES permit but not under the ETS. The staff concludes that elimination of this requirement from the ETS and relying on the NPDES permit limit would result in no environmental impact and is acceptable.

The licensee also requested a modification to the requirements relating to monitoring of suspended solids in the effluent from the settling basin and sewage treatment plant to eliminate the present requirement for daily turbidity measurements of these effluents. The licensee did not request a change in the requirements for weekly sampling and gravimetric analysis of these effluents. However, the staff proposed that monitoring be conducted monthly as specified in the NPDES permit and that separate monitoring requirements in the ETS be deleted. The licensee agreed. The environmental impact of this deletion is discussed below.

In October 1977, the licensee made modifications to the settling basin which involved placing two settling basins in series so that the first basin absorbs hydraulic surges which tend to re-suspend solids and the second basin permits further settling of solids prior to discharge. In addition, an improved system for removal of settled solids from the basin was installed. Since the installation of these improvements, approximately one hundred daily samples have been taken and only one suspended solids deviation has occurred. This single deviation occurred when an operator erroneously placed two raw water service pumps in service in parallel. This resulted in substantial flow rate, exceeding that which would permit proper settling. However, the deviation was mitigated by the new system to such an extent that the suspended solids level in the effluent was less than 10 ppm above allowable limits.

Based upon the foregoing, the staff believes that the daily turbidity sampling has served the purpose of identifying problems with the operation of the settling basin and these problems have been corrected. The staff finds that continuing daily turbidity samples would serve no further useful purpose, would not result in any further environmental benefit, and is not necessary in view of the nature of the discharges from the settling basin and sewage treatment plant and the minimal impact of these discharges on Conowingo Pond. The staff concludes that monthly analyses of these effluents as required by the NPDES permit is adequate to assure that suspended solids levels will not have an adverse impact on Conowingo Pond.

pH

Specification 2.2.3 requires that the pH of effluents discharged from the settling basin and sewage plants be within the range of 6.0 to 9.0 before being discharged into the circulating water system. The pH is measured continuously during discharge using electrometric procedures.

The NPDES permit requires that the pH from the settling basins be not less than six standard units and not greater than nine standard units. Monitoring is to be conducted once per month by grab sample.

The licensee did not request deletion of the pH protection limit from the ETS. However, the staff finds that as the same limit is contained in the permit and the bases for Specification 2.2.3 and the Final Environmental Statement (FES) does not support any other need to separately limit pH, we can rely on the pH condition of the NPDES permit.

The licensee requested the ETS monitoring requirements for pH to be consistent with the NPDES permit. The NPDES permit limitations on pH reflect the fact that the effluent from the sewage treatment plant and settling basin is conveyed to the Peach Bottom discharge canal where it is mixed with substantial quantities of cooling water prior to discharge to Conowingo Pond. As a result, the point of discharge to Conowingo Pond at the end of the discharge canal is designated as the monitoring point for pH sampling in the NPDES permit. Changing the location of the sampling point for pH in the ETS will have little effect on Conowingo Pond since any deviations in pH that might occur in the effluent from the relatively small flows from the settling basin and sewage treatment plant would be effectively diluted and buffered by the large cooling water flow prior to discharge to Conowingo Pond.

In conjunction with the request to change the pH sampling point, the licensee proposed a change in the frequency of pH monitoring. Specification 3.2.3 requires that pH be monitored either continuously, by monitoring equipment, or daily, using electrometric procedures, while the NPDES permit requires that the pH of the effluent from the discharge canal be monitored on a weekly basis. Due to the impact of the dilution and buffering action which occurs in the discharge canal, it is our view that the weekly monitoring requirement of pH will provide adequate assurance of the acceptability of the pH of the discharges to Conowingo Pond.

Based upon the foregoing, the staff concludes that revising the location and frequency of pH monitoring to conform to the requirements of the Peach Bottom NPDES permit issued by the Environmental Protection Agency and approved by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania will have no environmental effects on Conowingo Pond. Therefore, we recommended that both protective limits and surveillance requirements for pH be deleted from the ETS. These will be controlled by the NPDES permit. The licensee agreed.

- Conclusion and Basis for Negative Declaration

On the basis of the foregoing analysis it is concluded that there will be no significant environmental impact attributable to the proposed action other than has already been predicted and described in the Commission's FES for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station. Having made this conclusion, the Commission has further concluded that no environmental impact statement for the proposed action need be prepared and that a negative declaration to this effect is appropriate.

Dated: September 12, 1979

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONDOCKETS NOS. 50-277 AND 50-278PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY, ET AL.PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION UNITS NOS. 2 AND 3NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSES
AND
NEGATIVE DECLARATION

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendments Nos. 57 and 57 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-44 and DPR-56, issued to Philadelphia Electric Company, Public Service Electric and Gas Company, Delmarva Power and Light Company and Atlantic City Electric Company, which revised the Technical Specifications for operation of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Units Nos. 2 and 3, located in York County, Pennsylvania. The amendments are effective as of the date of issuance.

These amendments revise the Appendix B Technical Specifications by deleting the protection limits and associated monitoring requirements for suspended solids and pH because comparable limits and monitoring requirements are imposed by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments were not required since the amendments do not include a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has prepared an environmental impact appraisal for this action and has concluded that an environmental impact statement for this particular action is not warranted because there will be no significant

7909290379 7009290379

- 2 -

environmental impact attributable to the action other than that which has already been predicted and described in the Commission's Final Environmental Statement for the facility dated April 1973.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated May 23, 1978, (2) Amendments Nos. 57 and 57 to License Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56, and (3) the Commission's related Environmental Impact Appraisal. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. and at the Government Publications Section, State Library of Pennsylvania, Education Building, Commonwealth and Walnut Streets, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17126. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 12th day of September 1979.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Thomas A. Ippolito, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #3
Division of Operating Reactors