Lewis Sumner Vice President Hatch Project Support Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. 40 Inverness Parkway Post Office Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Tel 205.992.7279 Fax 205.992.0341



April 30, 2001

Docket No. 50-366

HL-6075

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

> Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant - Unit 2 Licensee Event Report Poor Work Practice Results in Trip of Emergency 600-Volt Bus "2C" and Unplanned System Actuations

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv), Southern Nuclear Operating Company is submitting the enclosed Licensee Event Report (LER) concerning a poor work practice which resulted in a trip of emergency 600-volt bus "2C" and unplanned system actuations.

Respectfully submitted,

Summer

H. L. Sumner, Jr.

OCV/eb

Enclosure: LER 50-366/2001-001

cc: <u>Southern Nuclear Operating Company</u> Mr. P. H. Wells, Nuclear Plant General Manager SNC Document Management (R-Type A02.001)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. Mr. L. N. Olshan, Project Manager - Hatch

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II Mr. L. A. Reyes, Regional Administrator Mr. J. T. Munday, Senior Resident Inspector - Hatch

Institute of Nuclear Power Operations LEREvents@inpo.org AitkenSY@Inpo.org

14,22

NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY CON					OMMIS	SION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06/30/2001 Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request: 50 hrs. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the													
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)						licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden														
(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)						estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 E6), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to bjs1(@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202 (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or														
		41									Sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3)									
FACILITY NAME (1) Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant - Unit 2						05000-366 1 OF 6					•••									
TITLE (4) Poor Work Practice Results in Trip of Emergency 600-Volt B						Volt B	Bus "2C" and Unplanned System Actuations													
	T DAT				RNUMBER		Ť	and the second second	RT DA		OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)									
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	Γ,	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION		MONTH DAY YEAR		FACILITY NAME			DOCKET NUMBER(S) 05000321							
					NUMBER	NUMBER			• •		Plant Hatch Unit 1			DOCKET NUMBER(S)						
03	08	2001		2001	001	00		04		2001				05000						
OPERA MODE		1	Т	HIS REF 20.2201		BMITTE		20.2203		THE REC		EME	50.73(a)		CFR §: (Check	one	or mor			
POWER	• •		╢──┤		• •		_					_			5)					
	LEVEL (10) 100		┢	20.2201(d) 20.2203(a)(1)			20.2203(a)(4) 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)		-	x		(a)(2)(iii) (a)(2)(iv)(A)		50.73(a)(2)(x) 73.71(a)(4)						
			20.2203(a)(2)(i)				50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)				50.73(a)	(2)(v)(/	v)(A)		73.71(a)(5)					
				20-2203(a)(2)(ii)				50.36(c)(2)						73(a)(2)(v)(B)			OTHER			
		1. S.	20-2203(a)(2)(iii)			50.46(a)(3)(ii)						0.73(a)(2)(v)(C)			Specify in Abstract below					
		1979-y 1		20.2203(a)(2)(iv)			50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)				50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)			or in NRC Form 366A						
				20.2203(a)(2)(v)			50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)				50.73(a)(2)(vii)									
			 	20.2203(a)(2)(vi)			50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)										
				20.2203	(a)(3)(i)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)									
NAME					·		U	ICENSEE	CONTAC	T FOR TH	IS LEI	R (12)			IONE NUMBER (Incl		rea Code)			
															(912) 367-7851					
Ste	ven I	3. Tip	_		ar Safety		_									<i>,</i>	67-785	51		
				COMPL	ETE ONE LI	NE FOR	EAC	CH CON	PONE	NT FAIL	URE	DE	SCRIBE	<u>D IN</u>	THIS REPORT	(13)				
CAUSE	AUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURE		IER RE	REPORTABLE TO EPIX				c	CAUSE	E SYS	тем	COMPONENT	MAN	UFACTUR	R	REPOR TO E				
																		_		
				1																
					SUPPLEMENTA	L REPORT	EXPE	CTED (14	•		EXPECTED MONT			гн	DAY	YEAR				
YES (if ye	es, con	nplete E	XPE	CTED S	UBMISSION	DATE)			X		SUBMISSION DATE (15)									
	STRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-space typewritten lines) (16)																			

On 03/08/2001 at 0306 EST, Unit 2 was in the Run mode at a power level of 2763 CMWT (100 percent rated thermal power). At that time, the supply breaker to Unit 2 emergency 600-volt bus "2C" opened on a false over-current signal. As a result, Reactor Protection System (RPS) bus "2A" lost power. Logic systems powered by the RPS bus include the RPS trip logic, the Main Control Room Environmental Control System (MCRECS) initiation logic, Primary Containment Isolation System (PCIS) trip logic, and Steam Packing Exhauster trip logic. All affected systems responded per design on the loss of power, producing a half scram signal, several PCIS valve isolations, MCRECS pressurization mode initiation, and other actuations. In addition, the "A" subsystems of the Core Spray, Residual Heat Removal (RHR) containment spray, RHR suppression pool spray, and RHR service water systems were rendered inoperable. By 0540 EST, personnel had re-energized 600-volt bus "2C" and returned affected safety-related systems to their normal lineups.

The cause of the supply breaker trip was a poor work practice causing personnel calibrating an over-current relay to short two trip contacts in the relay case, generate a false over-current signal, and trip the bus supply breaker. Corrective actions included revising calibration procedures to eliminate the poor work practice.

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATOR	Y COMMISSION				
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION							
FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET LER NUMBER (6)							
X .		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION YEAR NUMBER					
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	2 05000-366	2001 01 00	2 OF 6				

PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

General Electric - Boiling Water Reactor Energy Industry Identification System codes appear in the text as (EIIS Code XX).

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On 03/08/2001 at 0306 EST, Unit 2 was in the Run mode at a power level of 2763 CMWT (100 percent rated thermal power). At that time, the supply breaker to Unit 2 emergency 600-volt bus (EIIS Code EB) "2C" opened on a false over-current signal. An Instrument and Control technician had been preparing to calibrate 600-volt bus "2C" over-current relay 2S32-K837-2 per plant procedure 57CP-CAL-108-2S, "Westinghouse CO Overcurrent Relay." After removing the relay from its case, he inadvertently shorted two contacts in the relay case when he stored a relay connecting plug in the case. This action caused a false over-current signal to be generated, tripping the supply breaker to the 600-volt bus.

As a result of the loss of power to emergency 600-volt bus "2C," Reactor Protection System (RPS, EIIS Code JC) bus "2A" lost power causing some Group 2, Group 5, and outboard small-bore Group 1 Primary Containment Isolation System (PCIS, EIIS Code JM) valves to receive an automatic isolation signal. Those valves open at the time of the event closed per design. Also, the Main Control Room Environmental Control System (MCRECS, EIIS Code VI) entered the pressurization mode; the Primary Containment Hydrogen and Oxygen Analyzers (EIIS Code IK) isolated; and the Reactor Water Cleanup (RWCU, EIIS Code CE) system, the Fission Product Monitoring (FPM, EIIS Code IJ) system, and the operating steam packing exhauster tripped.

The loss of power to emergency 600-volt bus "2C" also rendered inoperable the "A" subsystems of the Core Spray (EIIS Code BM), Residual Heat Removal (RHR) containment spray (EIIS Code BO), RHR suppression pool spray (EIIS Code BO), and RHR service water (EIIS Code BI) systems because of a loss of power to motor-operated valves in the major flow paths of the respective systems. The "A" subsystem of the Unit 1 Low Pressure Coolant Injection system (EIIS Code BO) was rendered inoperable when Motor Control Center (EIIS Code EB) 1R24-S018A lost its normal power supply, that is, Unit 2 emergency 600-volt bus "2C." The "2A" station service DC bus (EIIS Code EJ) also was rendered inoperable upon loss of power to its one standby and two in-service battery chargers. However, the station service batteries (EIIS Code EJ) supplied power to and maintained operable the DC loads powered by the "2A" DC bus until the battery chargers were restored to service approximately 40 minutes after the loss of power to the 600-volt bus.

Loss of power to Standby Gas Treatment (SGT, EIIS Code BH) system logic components resulted in isolation of the secondary containment and actuation of the SGT system trains. Loss of power to the reactor recirculation system (EIIS Code AD) master controller caused its output to fail downscale and, per its design, send a forty-four percent speed signal to the individual reactor recirculation system pump controllers. The "2B" reactor recirculation system pump decreased to forty-four percent speed. However,

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION								
FACILITY NAME (1)	FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)			
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL YEAR	REVISION NUMBER				
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	2 05000-366	2001	01	00	3 OF 6			

power also was lost to the "2A" reactor recirculation system pump controller, causing the pump scoop tube to lock at its pre-event position per design and therefore the "2A" pump did not run back. Runback of the "2B" pump caused power to decrease to approximately 70 percent rated thermal power.

Immediately upon hearing relays actuating and the supply breaker opening unexpectedly, the Instrument and Control technician contacted Operations personnel in the Main Control Room (EIIS Code NA) to inform them he likely had caused the breaker to open. Given this information, and with no indication of any abnormal condition, Operations personnel re-closed the 600-volt bus supply breaker and re-energized the bus approximately three minutes after the supply breaker opened. Restoration of power to the 600-volt bus restored the "A" subsystems of the Core Spray, RHR containment spray, RHR suppression pool spray, RHR service water, and Unit 1 Low Pressure Coolant Injection systems to an operable status. However, restoration of power also resulted in an unexpected increase in the speed of the "2B" reactor recirculation system pump and a corresponding increase in core thermal power as the pump controller attempted to return pump speed to the pre-event speed demand setting on the master controller. Because this reaction of the pump controller upon restoration of power was not expected, Operations personnel locked manually the pump scoop tube and terminated the pump speed, and core thermal power, increase within one minute. Between the time 600-volt bus power was restored and the pump scoop tube was locked, power increased about 15 percent.

By 0540 EST, personnel completed resetting the affected logic systems, restoring power to affected components, and returning affected safety-related systems to their normal configurations.

CAUSE OF EVENT

The cause of the supply breaker trip was a poor work practice. While performing a routine calibration of an over-current relay per plant procedure 57CP-CAL-108-2S, an Instrument and Control technician shorted two contacts in the over-current relay case, causing a false over-current signal to be generated and the supply breaker to emergency 600-volt bus "2C" to trip. He shorted the two contacts when he placed a connecting plug back in the case for storage after removing it and the relay from the case.

A connecting plug is used to provide electrical continuity between the over-current relay and the corresponding contacts located in the relay case. The plug is not required to perform the bench calibration of the relay. Therefore, placing the connecting plug back in the case for storage while the relay was being calibrated was a standard practice for this and some of the other technicians. This technician had performed the same action without incident during the calibration of the first of three over-current relays associated with emergency 600-volt bus "2C" completed earlier in the shift, and during many prior relay calibrations as well. However, in this event one of the metal strips on the plug simultaneously touched two contacts in the case, creating a circuit between the contacts. This occurred probably from an unusual combination of the two contacts protruding above the floor of the case and the plug being placed into the case at a slight angle. This circuit generated a false over-current signal and tripped the 600-volt bus supply breaker.

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)	U.S	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	L	ER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)			
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL YEAR	REVISION NUMBER				
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	2001	01	00	4 OF 6				

The cause of the "2B" reactor recirculation system pump unexpectedly increasing speed following the restoration of power was an inadequate design. Personnel installed new reactor recirculation system master and pump controllers in October 1992 per Design Change Request 90-163. The design of the new controllers, as embodied in their new programming data sheets, incorrectly required the controllers to be set in the "hot start" mode. The "hot start" mode will automatically increase pump speed to the demand speed setting of the master controller upon restoration of power to that controller. Reactor recirculation pump speed increases occurring without operator intervention are undesirable. Therefore, the design should have required the controllers to be set in the "cold start" mode in which operator intervention is required to increase pump speed following restoration of power to the master controller.

Compounding this error in the controller design was an error in incorporating this design into the simulator. The controllers in the simulator were set in the "cold start" mode so that, upon restoration of controller power, the reactor recirculation system pumps stayed at their runback speeds until manually reset by the operators. Simulator training created an expectation that the actual reactor recirculation system pumps would not increase speed upon restoration of power to the master controller. Furthermore, plant procedures reflected this expectation in that they contained no instructions to prevent automatic pump speed increase upon restoration of power. Therefore, Operations personnel did not take action to lock the "2B" pump scoop tube prior to re-energizing the 600-volt bus, leading to an unexpected increase in pump speed and core thermal power.

REPORTABILITY ANALYSIS AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT

This event is reportable per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv) because unplanned actuations of safety feature systems listed in 10 CFR 50.73 occurred. Specifically, several safety systems actuated as a result of a trip of the supply breaker to emergency 600-volt bus "2C."

The Plant Hatch Class 1E electrical power distribution system is divided into redundant and independent electrical power distribution subsystems. The primary AC distribution system consists of three 4.16 kV engineered safety feature buses (EIIS Code EB) each having an offsite source of power as well as a dedicated onsite emergency diesel generator (EIIS Code EK) source. The secondary plant distribution system includes 600-volt emergency buses "2C" and "2D" and associated load centers and transformers. The Class 1E distribution system is divided into redundant load groups so that loss of any one bus does not prevent the minimum safety functions from being performed.

In this event, one of the two 600-volt emergency buses in the secondary plant distribution system lost power when its supply breaker opened on a false over-current signal. Because the secondary plant distribution system is divided into redundant load groups, the minimum equipment necessary to mitigate the consequences of analyzed transients and accidents remained powered by the second, and unaffected, 600-volt emergency bus. Moreover, emergency 600-volt bus "2C" was re-energized quickly, that is, in approximately three minutes, restoring all but the station service battery chargers to service and returning the major safety systems to an operable status. Per their design, the station service batteries supplied DC

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISS									
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION									
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	L	ER NUMBER (6)]	PAGE (3)				
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL YEAR	REVISION NUMBER					
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant - Unit 2 05000-366 2001 01 00									

power to emergency loads, maintaining them operable, until the chargers were restored to service within 40 minutes of the loss of power to the 600-volt bus. Finally, all logic systems responded per their design upon loss of power, placing the affected systems, such as the Primary Containment Isolation and Standby Gas Treatment systems, into their fail-safe or accident conditions.

The unexpected increase in recirculation pump speed, and the corresponding increase in core flow and thermal power, that occurred when power was restored to the recirculation system master controller is an analyzed operational occurrence (AOO). Specifically, a reactor recirculation system controller failure resulting in increasing recirculation pump speed and core flow is analyzed in section 15.2.5.1 of the Unit 2 Final Safety Analysis Report. The Final Safety Analysis Report AOO analysis, which demonstrates that no fuel or cladding damage will occur as a result of a rapid increase in recirculation pump speed, envelopes this event. That is, the AOO analysis assumes that the speed of both reactor recirculation system pumps is increasing whereas in this event the speed of only one pump increased. Moreover, the AOO analysis assumes recirculation pump speed increases at a much greater rate (twenty-five percent per second) than is allowed by each pump controller's rate limiter (one percent per second) and than occurred in this event. Section 7.7.2.2 of the Unit 2 Final Safety Analysis Report describes the rate limiter, indicating that its purpose is to allow "the control signal to slowly ramp up to the speed setpoint, if a runback is reset, without reducing the setpoint" on the controller. The rate limiter functioned as designed to limit the ramp back to the speed demand setpoint following a restoration of power to, and a resetting of the forty-four percent speed signal from, the recirculation system master controller. This limited the resulting transient, ensuring it was much less severe than the analyzed operational occurrence.

Based on this analysis, it is concluded that this event had no adverse impact on nuclear safety. This analysis is applicable to all power levels.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Plant calibration procedures 57CP-CAL-108-1S, "GE IAC and Westinghouse CO Overcurrent Relay," and 57CP-CAL-108-2S were revised to prohibit the storing or placement of the connecting plug in a relay case while the relay is removed. These revisions were effective 03/12/2001.

The elimination of the practice of storing connecting plugs in the relay cases while the relay is removed also has been communicated verbally to the appropriate Maintenance Department personnel, including electricians and Instrument and Control technicians.

Temporary modifications were implemented on the Unit 1 and Unit 2 reactor recirculation system master controllers to set them to the "cold start" mode. These modifications will become permanent as part of the routine design change process.

NRC Form 366A (1-2001)

NRC FORM 366A		U.S	NUCLEAR RE	GULATOR	Y COMMISSION	
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION						
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	LI	ER NUMBER (6)		PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL YEAR	REVISION NUMBER		
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	05000-366	2001	01	00	6 OF 6	

Unit 1 and Unit 2 controllers of the type used in the reactor recirculation system will be reviewed to ensure that their settings result in the desired behavior upon loss of power and upon restoration of power and that the settings of the controllers in the plant match those of the controllers in the simulator.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- 1. Other Systems Affected: No systems other than those mentioned in this report were affected by this event.
- 2. Failed Components Information: No failed components caused or resulted from this event.
- 3. Commitments: No permanent commitments are created as a result of this report.
- 4. Previous Similar Events: There has been only one previous similar event reported in the last two years in which a trip of a power supply breaker resulted in unplanned safety system actuations. This event, reported in Licensee Event Report 50-366/2000-008, dated 09/27/2000, occurred when an electrician inadvertently bumped a breaker control switch causing the 600-volt supply breaker to the "2A" RPS motor-generator set to open. The motor-generator set coasted down until its own output breakers tripped on under-frequency per design. The trip of the output breakers caused some Group 2, Group 5, and outboard small-bore Group 1 PCIS valves to receive an automatic isolation signal. Those valves open at the time of the event closed per design. Also, the MCRECS entered the pressurization mode; the Primary Containment Hydrogen and Oxygen Analyzers isolated; and the RWCU system, the FPM system, and the operating steam packing exhauster tripped. However, the causes and circumstances of, and the personnel involved in, the two events were different. Therefore, the corrective actions for the previous similar event could not have prevented this event.