Docket No. 50-219

Mr. E. F. Fitzpatrick Vice President and Director Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Post Office Box 388 Forked River, New Jersey 08731

Dear Mr. Fitzpatrick:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT (TAC

NO. 68198) - CYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for your information. This assessment relates to your application dated May 10, 1988, for an amendment to change Sections 3.2, 4.2, and 6.9 of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Technical Specifications to reflect the use of an enriched sodium pentaborate solution in the Standly Liquid Control System (SLCS).

This assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

original signed by John Stolz Alexander W. Dromerick, Project Manager Project Directorate I-4 Division of Reactor Projects I/II

Enclosure: As stated

cc: w/enclosure:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File Gray File BBoger EDromerick

EJordan SVarga NRC & Local PDRs GPA/PA

GPA/PA BGrimes

JStolz SNorris

OGC

JPartlow ACRS (10)

LA:PDI-4 SNOrris

06/28/88

PM:PDI-40 404 omer 1 ck 86/4/188

D:PD 4 JStoly 06/15/88

06/20/88

DF01

Mr. E. E. Fitzpatrick Gyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

cc:

Ernest L. Blake, Jr.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20037

J.B. Liberman, Esquire Rishop, Liberman, Cook, et al. 1155 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

BWR Licensing Manager GPU Nuclear Corporation 1 Upper Pond Road Parsippany, New Jersey 07054

Deputy Attorney General State of New Jersey Department of Law and Public Safety 36 West State Street - CN 112 Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Mayor Lacey Township 218 West Lacey Road Forked River, New Jersey 08731

Licensing Manager Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Mail Stop: Site Emergency Bldg. P. O. Box 388 Forked River, New Jersey 08731 Resident Inspector c/o U.S. NRC Post Office Box 445 Forked River, New Jersey 08731

Commissioner
New Jersey Department of Energy
101 Commerce Street
Newark, New Jersey 07102

Mr. David M. Scott, Chief Bureau of Nuclear Engineering Department of Environmental Protection CN 411 Trenton, New Jersey 08625

## U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION

AND

# OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Provisional Operating License DPR-16 issued to GPU Nuclear Corporation (GPUN, the licensee), for operation of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, located in Ocean County, New Jersey.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

#### Indentification of Proposed Action:

The proposed amendment would revise Technical Specification Sections 3.2.C, 4.2.E, and 6.9.3 to reflect the use of an enriched sodium pentaborate solution in the Standby Liquid Control System (SLCS).

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated May 10, 1988.

#### The Need for the Proposed Action:

The proposed change to the Technical Specifications is required in order for the licensee to comply with ATWS Rule (10 CFR 50.62), and Generic Letter 85-03 "Clarification of Equivalent Control Capacity for Standby Liquid Control Systems," dated January 28, 1985.

#### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed revision to the Technical Specifications. The proposed revision would allow the licensee to use an enriched sodium pentaborate solution in the Standby Liquid Control System. The use of an enriched sodium pentaborate solution would not increase the probability or consequence of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that this action would result in no significant environmental impact.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed changes to the Technical Specifications involve systems located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other evnironmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

The Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment and Opportunity for Hearing in connection with this action was published in the Federal Register on June 3, 1988 (53 FR 20396). No request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following this notice.

### Alternative to the Proposed Action:

It has been determined that there is no measurable impact associated with the proposed amendment; any alternatives to the amendment will have either no environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

#### Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources beyond the scope of resources used during normal operation.

#### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concluded that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the request for amendment dated May 10, 1988. Copies of the request for amendment are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Ocean County Library, Reference Department, 101 Washington Street, Toms River, New Jersey 08753.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of July 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz, Director

Division of Reactor Projects I/II