

1988

September 12, 1988

Docket No. 50-219

DISTRIBUTION

Mr. E. E. Fitzpatrick
Vice President and Director
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
Post Office Box 388
Forked River, New Jersey 08731

Docket File
NRC & Local PDRs
PDI-4 Rdg.
S. Varga
B. Boger
S. Norris
A. Dromerick
OGC
D. Hagan

E. Jordan
B. Grimes
T. Barnhart(4)
Wanda Jones
E. Butcher
ACRS(10)
GPA/PA
ARM/LFMB
Gray File

Dear Mr. Fitzpatrick:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT (TAC NO. 49412-SEP TOPIC XV-16)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 126 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, in response to your application dated October 23, 1986 and supplemented April 5, 1988.

The amendment revises the requirements on the maximum radioiodine concentration allowed in the reactor coolant in Sections 3.6 and 4.6, Radioactive effluents, in the Appendix A Technical Specifications (TS). The changes (1) add a new definition to Section 1.0, Definitions, and to the Table of Contents, (2) reduce the maximum allowed concentration of radioiodine in the reactor coolant in Section 3.6, (3) add reporting requirements to Section 3.6, (4) add sampling and analysis requirements for iodine following changes in thermal power or offgas level to Section 3.6, and (5) restrict the reactor modes, where a radioiodine sample is required to be taken, to the Run, Startup and Shutdown Modes in Section 4.6.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The notice of issuance will be included in the Commission's bi-weekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

original signed by

Alexander W. Dromerick, Project Manager
Project Directorate I-4
Division of Reactor Projects I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 126 to DPR-16
- 2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

Handwritten: A. Norris
PM: PDI-4
\$Norris
08/31/88

Handwritten: PM: PDI-4
ADromerick:bd
08/31/88

Handwritten: D: PDI-4
JStolz
08/31/88

Handwritten: OGC
APW
08/31/88

Handwritten: OFol
/

8809200018 880912
PDR ADOCK 05000219
P PNU

Mr. E. E. Fitzpatrick
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Oyster Creek Nuclear
Generating Station

cc:

Ernest L. Blake, Jr.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20037

Resident Inspector
c/o U.S. NRC
Post Office Box 445
Forked River, New Jersey 08731

J.B. Liberman, Esquire
Bishop, Liberman, Cook, et al.
1155 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036

Commissioner
New Jersey Department of Energy
101 Commerce Street
Newark, New Jersey 07102

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Mr. David M. Scott, Chief
Bureau of Nuclear Engineering
Department of Environmental Protection
CN 411
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

BWR Licensing Manager
GPU Nuclear Corporation
1 Upper Pond Road
Parsippany, New Jersey 07054

Deputy Attorney General
State of New Jersey
Department of Law and Public Safety
36 West State Street - CN 112
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Mayor
Lacey Township
818 West Lacey Road
Forked River, New Jersey 08731

Licensing Manager
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
Mail Stop: Site Emergency Bldg.
P. O. Box 388
Forked River, New Jersey 08731



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION

AND

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-219

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 126
License No. DPR-16

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by GPU Nuclear Corporation, et al., (the licensee), dated October 23, 1986 as supplemented April 5, 1988, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

8809200020 880912
PDR ADOCK 05000219
P PNU


2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No.126 , are hereby incorporated in the license. GPU Nuclear Corporation shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of issuance, to be implemented within 60 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


for John F. Stolz, Director

Project Directorate I-4
Division of Reactor Projects I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: September 12, 1988

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO.126

PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-16

DOCKET NO. 50-219

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages as indicated. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

<u>Remove</u>	<u>Insert</u>
Page i	Page i
Page 1.0-7	Page 1.0-7
Page 3.6-1	Page 3.6-1a
-	Page 3.6-1b
Page 3.6-7	Page 3.6-7a
-	Page 3.6-7b
Page 4.6.1	Page 4.6.1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1 Definitions	Page
1.1 Operable	1.0-1
1.2 Operating	1.0-1
1.3 Power Operation	1.0-1
1.4 Startup Mode	1.0-1
1.5 Run Mode	1.0-1
1.6 Shutdown Condition	1.0-1
1.7 Cold Shutdown	1.0-2
1.8 Place in Shutdown Condition	1.0-2
1.9 Place in Cold Shutdown Condition	1.0-2
1.10 Place in Isolated Condition	1.0-2
1.11 Refuel Mode	1.0-2
1.12 Refueling Outage	1.0-2
1.13 Primary Containment Integrity	1.0-2
1.14 Secondary Containment Integrity	1.0-2
1.15 Deleted	1.0-3
1.16 Rated Flux	1.0-3
1.17 Reactor Thermal Power-To-Water	1.0-3
1.18 Protective Instrumentation Logic Definitions	1.0-3
1.19 Instrumentation Surveillance Definitions	1.0-4
1.20 FDSAR	1.0-4
1.21 Core Alteration	1.0-4
1.22 Minimum Critical Power Ratio	1.0-4
1.23 Staggered Test Basis	1.0-4
1.24 Surveillance Requirements	1.0-5
1.25 Fire Suppression Water System	1.0-5
1.26 Fraction of Limiting Power Density (FLPD)	1.0-5
1.27 Maximum Fraction of Limiting Power Density (MFLPD)	1.0-5
1.28 Fraction of Rated Power (FRP)	1.0-5
1.29 Top of Active Fuel (TAF)	1.0-5
1.30 Reportable Event	1.0-5
1.31 Identified Leakage	1.0-6
1.32 Unidentified Leakage	1.0-6
1.33 Process Control Plan	1.0-6
1.34 Augmented Offgas System (AOG)	1.0-6
1.35 Member of the Public	1.0-6
1.36 Offsite Dose Calculation Manual	1.0-6
1.37 Purge	1.0-6
1.38 Exclusion Area	1.0-6
1.39 Dose Equivalent I-131	1.0-7
Section 2 Safety Limits and Limiting Safety System Settings	
2.1 Safety Limit - Fuel Cladding Integrity	2.1-1
2.2 Safety Limit - Reactor Coolant System Pressure	2.2-1
2.3 Limiting Safety System Settings	2.2-3
Section 3 Limiting Conditions for Operation	
3.0 Limiting Conditions for Operation (General)	3.0-1
3.1 Protective Instrumentation	3.1-1

1.39 DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131

DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 shall be that concentration of I-131 microcuries per gram which alone would produce the same thyroid dose as the quantity and isotopic mixture of I-131, I-132, I-133, I-134, and I-135 actually present. The thyroid dose conversion factors used for this calculation shall be those listed in Table E-7 of Regulatory Guide 1.109, "Calculation of Annual Doses to Man from Routine Releases of Reactor Effluences for the Purpose of Evaluating Compliance with 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix I".

3.6 Radioactive Effluents

Applicability: Applies to the radioactive effluents of the facility.

Objective: To assure that radioactive material is not released to the environment in an uncontrolled manner and to assure that the radioactive concentrations of any material released is kept as low as is reasonably achievable and, in any event, within the limits of 10 CFR part 20.106 and 40 CFR Part 190.10(a).

Specification

3.6.A. Reactor Coolant Radioactivity

The specific activity of the primary coolant except during REFUEL MODE shall be limited to: Less than or equal to 0.2 microcuries per gram DOSE EQUIVALENT (D.E.) I-131.

Limiting Condition for Operation

1. Whenever an isotopic analysis shows reactor coolant activity exceeds 0.2 uCi/gram DOSE EQUIVALENT (D.E.) I-131, operation may continue for up to 48 hours. Additional analyses shall be done at least once per 4 hours until the specific activity of the primary coolant is restored to within its limit.
2. If the reactor coolant activity is greater than 0.2 microcuries per gram DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 for more than 48 hours during one continuous time interval or greater than 4.0 microcuries per gram D.E. I-131, be in at least SHUTDOWN CONDITION within 12 hours.
3. Annual Reporting Requirement

The results of specific activity analyses in which the reactor coolant exceeded the limits of Specification 3.6.A shall be reported on an annual basis. The following information shall be included: (1) Reactor power history starting 48 hours prior to the first sample in which the limit was exceeded until after the radioiodine activity is reduced to less than the limit; (2) Results of the last isotopic analysis for radioiodine performed prior to exceeding the limit, results of analysis while

limit was exceeded and results of one analysis after radioiodine activity was reduced to less than the limit. Each result should include date and time of sampling and the radioiodine concentrations; (3) Clean-up system flow history starting 48 hours prior to the first sample in which the limit was exceeded until after the radioiodine activity is reduced to less than the limit; (4) Graph of the I-131 concentration and one other radioiodine isotope concentration in microcuries per gram as a function of time for the duration of the specific activity above the steady-state level; and (5) The time duration when the specific activity of the primary coolant exceeded the radioiodine limit.

4. With the reactor mode switch in Run or Startup position, with:

1. Thermal power changed by more than 15% of rated thermal power in one hour*, or
2. The off-gas level, at the SJAE, increased by more than 10,000 microcuries per second in one hour during steady state operation at release rates less than 75,000 microcuries per second, or
3. The off-gas level, at the SJAE, increased by more than 15% in one hour during steady state operation at release rates greater than 75,000 microcuries per second,

take sample and analyze at least one sample, between 2 and 6 hours following the change in thermal power or off-gas level and at least once per four hours thereafter, until the specific activity of the primary coolant is restored to within limits.

3.6.B Liquid Radwaste Treatment

Applicability: To liquid radwaste batches before discharge as aqueous effluent.

* If there are consecutive thermal power changes by more than 15% per hour, take sample and analyze at least one sample between 2 and 6 hours following the change and at least once per four hours thereafter, until the specific activity of the primary coolant is restored to within limits.

Basis:

3.6.A

10CFR100, as implemented by SRP Section 15.6.4, requires that the radiological consequences of failure of a main steam line outside containment be limited to small fractions of the exposure guidelines of 10CFR100. During Systematic Evaluation Program (SEP) for Oyster Creek, an independent assessment of the radiological consequences of a main steam line failure outside containment (SEP Topic XV-18) was performed by the NRC staff. The assessment determined that if the existing Oyster Creek Technical Specification limit for primary coolant iodine activity (8.0 uCi total iodine per gram) is used, the potential offsite doses would exceed the applicable dose limit. The staff recommended that Oyster Creek maintain the primary coolant radioiodine activity within the General Electric Standard Technical Specification (NUREG-0123) limit (0.2 uCi/gram DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131), which would meet the acceptance criteria.

However, the Staff's analyses for Oyster Creek showed that small-line failures are more limiting than the main steam line failure. 10CFR100, as implemented by SRP Section 15.6.2, requires that the radiological consequences of failure of small lines carrying primary coolant outside containment be limited to small fractions of the exposure guidelines of 10CFR100. During the evaluation of SEP Topic XV-16 "Radiological Consequences of Failure of Small Lines Carrying Primary Coolant Outside Containment" the Staff determined that Oyster Creek does not comply with current acceptance criteria. The Staff recommended that the General Electric Standard Technical Specification (NUREG-0123) limit (0.2 uCi/gram DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131) for reactor coolant radioiodine activity be adopted in order to ensure that the radiological consequences to the environment from a failure of small lines are acceptably low.

The LCO statement permitting power operation to continue for limited time periods with the primary coolant's specific activity greater than 0.2 microcuries per gram DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131, but less than or equal to 4.0 microcuries per gram DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131, accommodates possible iodine spiking phenomenon which may occur following changes in thermal power. The reporting of cumulative operating time with greater than 0.2 microcuries per gram DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 will allow sufficient time for Commission to evaluate the circumstances.

Information obtained on iodine spiking will be used to assess the parameters associated with spiking phenomena. A reduction in frequency of isotopic analysis following power changes may be permissible if justified by the data obtained.

The surveillance requirements provide adequate assurance that excessive specific activity levels in the reactor coolant will be detected in sufficient time to take corrective action.

- 3.6.B This specification implements the requirements of 10 CFR 50.36a related to operation of radioactive waste treatment equipment to keep radioactive material in effluents to unrestricted areas as low as reasonably achievable. Radioactive liquid wastes generated at the OCNCS are controlled on a batch basis with each batch processed by a method appropriate for the quality and concentration of material present. Below 0.001 uCi/ml, it is not cost-beneficial to treat a batch of aqueous waste for the purpose of reducing potential radiation exposure offsite. Hence specification 3.6.B implements 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix I provisions for cost-beneficial treatment of radioactive liquid waste before release in effluent. Each batch of radioactive liquid waste is sampled and analyzed for radioactivity before release to the discharge canal so that an appropriate discharge rate can be determined, accounting for dilution by condenser cooling water and/or canal flow.

4.6 RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENT

Applicability: Applies to monitoring of gaseous and liquid radioactive effluents of the Station during release of effluents via the monitored pathway(s). Each Surveillance Requirement applies whenever the corresponding Specification is applicable unless otherwise stated in an individual Surveillance Requirement. Surveillance Requirements do not have to be performed on inoperable equipment.

Objective To measure radioactive effluents adequately to verify that radioactive effluents are as low as is reasonable achievable and within the limit of 10 CFR Part 20.106.

Specification:

A. Reactor Coolant

Reactor coolant shall be sampled and analyzed at least once every 72 hours for DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 during RUN MODE, STARTUP MODE and SHUTDOWN CONDITION.

B. (See 4.6.I)

C. Radioactive Liquid Storage

1. Liquids contained in the following tanks shall be sampled and analyzed for radioactivity at least once per 7 days when radioactive liquid is being added to the tank:

- a. Waste Surge Tank, HP-T-3;
- b. Condensate Storage Tank.

D. Main Condenser Offgas Treatment

1. Operation of the Offgas System charcoal absorbers shall be verified by verifying the AOG System bypass valve (V-7-31) alignment or alignment indication closed at least once every 12 hours whenever the main condenser air ejector is operating.

E. Main Condenser Offgas Radioactivity

1. The gross radioactivity in fission gases discharged from the main condenser air ejector shall be measured by sampling and analyzing the gases.

- a. at least once per month, and
- b. When the reactor is operating at more than 40 percent of rated power, within 4 hours after an increase in the fission gas release via the air ejector of more than 50 percent, as indicated by the Condenser Air Ejector Offgas Radioactivity Monitor after factoring out increase(s) due to change(s) in the thermal power level.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO.126

TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-16

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION AND
JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

DOCKET NO. 50-219

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated October 23, 1986, and supplemented on April 5, 1988, General Public Utilities (GPU) Nuclear Corporation (the licensee) requested an amendment to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generation Station (Oyster Creek). This amendment request responded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's request in a letter dated October 6, 1986 and was designated Technical Specification Changes Request (TSCR) No. 148 by the licensee. The purpose of the NRC staff's request was to incorporate updated requirements for monitoring fission product radioactivity levels in the reactor coolant system as discussed in NUREG-0822, Oyster Creek Integrated Plant Safety Assessment Report (IPSAR). In the IPSAR the staff concluded that reactor coolant activity at Oyster Creek should be maintained within the same limits imposed on newly licensed boiling water reactors to minimize the offsite radiological consequences of a small line failure which could release coolant to the atmosphere outside of containment. The staff also suggested using recent guidance to all licensees provided in NRC Generic Letter 85-19, dated September 27, 1985, insofar as action statements and reporting requirements. This evaluation documents the staff's review of GPU's TSCR No. 148 and the staff's position regarding a change to the Oyster Creek Technical Specifications (TS).

2.0 EVALUATION

The current Oyster Creek TS contain various limits and reporting requirements for radioactivity in the plant's radioactive fluid treatment and effluent systems. However, the TS requirement for the reactor coolant system, the primary source of radioactive effluents, is far less restrictive than for plants licensed during the past decade. The only limit is a total radioiodine (radioactive isotopes of iodine) activity of 8.0 microcuries per gram ($\mu\text{Ci/gm}$). If this limit were to be reached in the reactor coolant system, the TS requires the reactor to be placed in the cold shutdown condition and NRC regulations require prompt notification to the NRC and a written Licensee Event Report. More recently licensed nuclear power plants have TS which require actions such as more frequent sampling at a

much lower threshold (0.2 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$), require reporting sample results as dose equivalent iodine-131 (D.E. I-131) and provide for certain annual reporting requirements. As a result of the staff's IPSAR evaluation of Oyster Creek (NUREG-0822), the licensee was requested to upgrade the Oyster Creek TS to be consistent with the Standard TS for General Electric boiling water reactor (BWR's) issued in 1978 as NUREG-0123 and with Generic Letter 85-19. The TS upgrade would (a) add a definition for D.E. I-131, (b) reduce the reactor coolant action limit to 0.2 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ based upon D.E. I-131, (c) add a Limiting Condition for Operation to be followed when the action limit is exceeded, (d) add annual reporting requirements when the action limits are exceeded and (e) require gaseous effluent sampling following power level increases and air ejector activity increases. The licensee submitted TS changes for this upgrade on October 23, 1986. After a detailed review of the proposed changes, the staff met with licensee representatives on June 30, 1987 to request revisions to the proposed TS changes. The licensee submitted the requested changes on April 5, 1988.

Reactor coolant activity is monitored primarily by measuring the radioactivity of several isotopes of iodine, which are products of the fission process, and mathematically converting those measurements to a D.E. I-131 value. This value is an indicator of how much leakage exists between the reactor fuel and the reactor coolant system as the result of fuel cladding failures. The historical fuel failure rate for nuclear reactors is typically very low (approx. 0.02%) resulting in D.E. I-131 equilibrium activity levels in the range of 0.0001 to 0.1 microcuries per gram ($\mu\text{Ci/gm}$). The equilibrium value of radioiodine activity is reached only after several days of operation at a given reactor power level. Each power level has a unique value of D.E. I-131. At Oyster Creek, the equilibrium iodine activity is typically about 0.0003 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$. Approximately 25% of the operating reactors have experienced a transient increase in coolant iodine levels called "iodine spiking." These spikes are normally the result of a significant change in plant operating conditions, such as a reactor trip or large changes in power level, and subside after several hours allowing D.E. I-131 activity to return to pre-spike concentrations. The temporary iodine concentrations can be as high as 1000 times the normal equilibrium concentrations. In order to discern between these temporary iodine spikes and gross fuel element failures, the Standard TS require more frequent monitoring of coolant activity (every 4 hours) once D.E. I-131 values reach a predetermined value. This value is 0.2 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ for BWR's and 1.0 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ for PWR's. If the D.E. I-131 levels remain above 0.2 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ in a BWR for more than 48 hours or reach 4.0 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ at any time, major fuel degradation is indicated and the reactor must be shutdown within 12 hours. These limits have been established by the NRC in the Standard TS and are based upon assuring compliance with the offsite exposure guidelines of 10 CFR Part 100. In establishing the D.E. I-131 limits, the NRC assumed that a small loss of coolant accident (or failure of a small reactor coolant line) occurs simultaneous with a very large iodine spike or degraded fuel condition and that radioiodines would account for a small fraction (10%) of the off-site dose. The Standard TS assumptions are therefore very conservative since the existence of high D.E. I-131 and a small break are virtually coincidental (i.e. one would not normally cause the other) and are very unlikely.

Every BWR nuclear power plant licensed to operate since 1977 has the Standard TS requirements for reactor coolant activity. The 22 BWR's licensed before then have a variety of limits pertaining to iodine activity ranging from 0.2 to 25.0 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$. The present Oyster Creek TS limit is 8.0 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ measured as total iodine, not D.E. I-131. For a number of years, the NRC required utilities experiencing high iodine activities, usually due to iodine spiking as discussed above, to report pertinent activities via a special report on a case basis. This requirement was changed by Generic Letter 85-19 to allow annual reporting of iodine activity levels in excess of the TS limit. In a number of plants, iodine spikes are relatively predictable following certain plant transients and NRC notification each time is not necessary from a regulatory standpoint unless release of significant quantities of fission products may be involved. In cases where an increase in D.E. I-131 activity level is unexpected, the licensee is required by 10 CFR 50.73 to submit a Licensee Event Report (LER) to the NRC. In extreme cases involving potential for releasing significant quantities of fission products or if a reactor shutdown is required by the TS, the licensee would also be required to provide immediate notification to the NRC under the provisions of 10 CFR 50.72. It has been the NRC's experience over the past several years that licensees are very aware of the quality and performance of their fuel long before fuel degradation becomes a regulatory concern. A number of utilities have noted fuel degradation and shut down the affected reactor to resolve the problem even though D.E. I-131 had not even approached the TS value which would have required more frequent sampling (but not necessarily require plant shutdown).

The staff's evaluation in conjunction with the IPSAR concluded that the radiological consequences (offsite) of a small primary coolant line external to the containment cannot be assured to be a small fraction of the guidelines in 10 CFR Part 100 unless the limits of the Standard TS for detecting failed fuel are invoked at Oyster Creek. The IPSAR also assessed external containment main steam line failures and determined that the small line break was more limiting as far as offsite dose consequences. With a combination of lower coolant iodine limits and more frequent sampling and reporting requirements, the staff has a much higher confidence level that the likelihood of a small line rupture concurrent with high coolant activity from failed fuel is very small. This evaluation, therefore, compares the licensee's April 5, 1988 submittal with the Standard TS for General Electric BWRs and Generic Letter 85-19.

Definition of Dose Equivalent I-131 - The proposed definition is identical to the Standard TS definition and is, therefore, acceptable.

Reactor Coolant Activity Limits - The proposed limits of 0.2 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ and 4.0 $\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ for D.E. I-131 are identical to the Standard TS limit and are, therefore, acceptable. The Standard TS, in Section 3.4.5.b, also limits to 100/E microcuries per gram where E is a weighted average energy in MeV per disintegration. The licensee's April 5, 1988 submittal stated that current Oyster Creek TS Section 3.6.E.1 limits the release rate of gross activity in noble gases to 0.21E curies per second at the main condenser air ejector. Although the limit, sample point and method of determination are different than the Standard TS, either method will indicate very high levels of non-iodine activity (predominantly from noble gases) which would be indicative of a gross fuel element failure. Because the staff's intent following the IPSAR review was to enhance the ability to monitor for fuel damage, and because such damage would be more detectable in the D.E. I-131 analyses, the staff considers TS Section 3.6.E.1 to be an equivalent level of detection.

Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) - The LCO proposed is equivalent to that in Generic Letter 85-19 for D.E. I-131 activity. As discussed above, provisions for non-iodine activity are already contained elsewhere in the Oyster Creek TS and are different than the Standard TS LCO. However, the staff considers this deviation acceptable as discussed above.

Sampling Frequency - The Standard TS require sampling primary coolant for D.E. I-131 activity at least once per 31 days. The proposed frequency of at least once every 72 hours is more conservative than the Standard TS and is, therefore, acceptable.

Annual Reporting Requirements - The proposed requirements are equivalent to those in Generic Letter 85-19 and are, therefore, acceptable.

In letters dated November 7, 1986 and December 31, 1986 the Bureau of Engineering, Division of Environmental Quality, Department of Environmental Protection, State of New Jersey raised concerns related to TSCR No. 148. In a letter dated July 20, 1987 the staff responded to the State of New Jersey's concerns.

Therefore, the staff concludes that the proposed TS changes submitted by the licensee on April 5, 1988 as TSCR 148, Revision 1 are responsive to the staff's request to upgrade the TS, generally comply with TS approved for recently licensed BWR's and greatly enhance the licensee's required monitoring of reactor coolant activity and fuel damage. The staff finds the proposed changes acceptable. Based on this, we also consider SEP TOPIC V-16 Reactors Coolant System Radioactivity resolved.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves changes to a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes to the surveillance requirements. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration [53 FR 17789] and there has been no public comment on such finding within the period for comment on the finding other than the State of New Jersey's comments as discussed in Section 2.0 above. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement nor environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security nor to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: September 12, 1988

Principal Contributor: Ronald W. Hernan