

NOV 19 1985

Mr. P. B. Fiedler  
Vice President and Director  
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station  
Post Office Box 388  
Forked River, New Jersey 08731

Dear Mr. Fiedler:

SUBJECT: LIMIT OVERTIME

Re: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. **92** to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. This amendment is in response to your application dated July 22, 1985.

This amendment authorizes a change to the Appendix A Technical Specifications (TSs) which is a new requirement pertaining to limiting overtime of station personnel. This change is to Section 6.2, Organization, Administrative Controls, of the TSs.

A Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing related to the requested action was published in the Federal Register on August 28, 1985 (50 FR 34941). No public comments or requests for hearing were received.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A notice of issuance pertaining to this action will appear in the Commission's biweekly notice publication in the Federal Register.

Sincerely,

*John A. Zwolinski for*  
John A. Zwolinski, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #5  
Division of Licensing

8511250162 851119  
PDR ADOCK 05000219  
P PDR

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. **92** to License No. DPR-16
- 2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:  
See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	OELD	NRC PDR	ELJordan
Local PDR	LJHarmon	ACRS (10)	RDiggs (w/TACS)
ORB #5 Reading	TBarnhart (4)	JDonohew	WJones
CJamerson	CMiles	MVirgilio	HThompson
JZwolinski	BGrimes	JPartlow	GHolahan

DL: ORB #5 CJamerson 10/31/85	DL: ORB #5 JDonohew 10/31/85	OELD <i>curran</i> 11/4/85	DL: ORB #5 JZwolinski 11/11/85	DL: ORB #5 DCRutchfield 11/19/85
-------------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

November 19, 1985

Docket No. 50-219  
LS05-85-11-028

Mr. P. B. Fiedler  
Vice President and Director  
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station  
Post Office Box 388  
Forked River, New Jersey 08731

Dear Mr. Fiedler:

SUBJECT: LIMIT OVERTIME

Re: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 92 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. This amendment is in response to your application dated July 22, 1985.

This amendment authorizes a change to the Appendix A Technical Specifications (TSs) which is a new requirement pertaining to limiting overtime of station personnel. This change is to Section 6.2, Organization, Administrative Controls, of the TSs.

A Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing related to the requested action was published in the Federal Register on August 28, 1985 (50 FR 34941). No public comments or requests for hearing were received.

A copy of our related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A notice of issuance pertaining to this action will appear in the Commission's biweekly notice publication in the Federal Register.

Sincerely,

*John A. Zwolinski for*  
John A. Zwolinski, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #5  
Division of Licensing

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 92 to License No. DPR-16
2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:  
See next page

SEOL EX(51)  
/11



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

November 19, 1985

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION  
AND  
JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY  
OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION  
AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 92  
License No. DPR-16

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by GPU Nuclear Corporation and Jersey Central Power and Light Company (the licensees) dated July 22, 1985, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public;  
and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

8511250167 851119  
PDR ADOCK 05000219  
P PDR


2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 92, are hereby incorporated in the license. GPU Nuclear Corporation shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

  
John A. Zwolinski, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #5  
Division of Licensing

Attachment:  
Changes to the Technical  
Specifications

Date of Issuance: November 19, 1985



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION  
SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 92 TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-16  
GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION AND  
JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY  
OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION  
DOCKET NO. 50-219

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated July 22, 1985, GPU Nuclear (the licensee) requested an amendment to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS). This amendment would authorize a change to the Appendix A Technical Specifications (TS) which is a new requirement pertaining to limiting overtime of station personnel. This change is to Section 6.2, Organization, Administrative Controls, of the TSs.

A Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing related to the requested action was published in the Federal Register on August 28, 1985 (50 FR 34941). No public comments or requests for hearing were received.

2.0 DISCUSSION AND EVALUATION

In November 1980, the staff issued NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements," which included all TMI Action Plan items approved by the Commission for implementation at operating nuclear power reactors. NUREG-0737 identified items for which TS were scheduled for implementation by December 1981. The staff provided guidance on the scope of the TS which the staff would find acceptable for some of these items in Generic Letter (GL) No. 83-02, NUREG-0737 Technical Specifications. This GL was issued to all Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) licensees on January 10, 1983. In this GL, the staff requested the licensees to:

1. Review their facility's TS to determine if they are consistent with the guidance provided in the GL, and
2. Submit an application for a license amendment where deviations or absence of TS were found.

One of the items identified in GL 83-02 was Limit Overtime, NUREG-0737 Item I.A.1.3. By letter dated September 22, 1983, GPU Nuclear (the licensee) submitted its response for Oyster Creek to GL 83-02 and NUREG-0737 Item I.A.1.3

and stated that requirements consistent with the Commission's policy statement guidelines on station operating personnel overtime in GL 82-12 dated June 15, 1982, have been incorporated in the station administrative procedures. The licensee also stated that it was inappropriate, as requested by the staff in GL 83-02, 82-12, and 82-02 to incorporate these requirements in the administrative section of the station TS because of the contingencies inherently necessary to cover all possible circumstances involving personnel overtime.

In its letter dated May 30, 1985, the staff evaluated the licensee's justification for not incorporating guidelines on station operating personnel overtime in the TS and concluded that the justification did not meet the staff's interpretation of the Commission's policy in this area. The licensee was requested to propose changes to the administrative section of the TS to require procedures that follow the policy statement guidelines. An acceptable specification was "the amount of overtime worked by plant staff members performing safety-related functions must be limited in accordance with the NRC Policy Statement on working hours (Generic Letter No. 82-12)," or following the model TS in GL 83-02.

By letter dated July 22, 1985, the licensee has proposed a change to the TS pertaining to limiting overtime of station personnel. This change is to Section 6.2, Organization, Administrative Controls, of the TS.

The proposed TS is consistent with the guidance on limiting overtime of station personnel in GL 83-02. Therefore, the staff concludes that the proposed TS is acceptable.

### 3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment relates to changes in administrative procedures and requirements. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner; and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

### 5.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This evaluation was prepared by J. Donohew.

Dated: November 19, 1985

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 92

PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-16

DOCKET NO. 50-219

Revise Appendix A Technical Specifications by removing the pages identified below and inserting the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by the captioned amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

REMOVE

--

INSERT

6-1a

- h. Administrative procedures shall be developed and implemented to limit the working hours of unit staff who perform safety-related functions.

In the event that unforeseen problems require substantial amounts of overtime to be used or during extended periods of shutdown for refueling, major maintenance or major plant modifications, on a temporary basis, the following guidelines shall be followed:

- a. An individual should not be permitted to work more than 16 hours straight, excluding shift turnover time.
- b. An individual should not be permitted to work more than 16 hours in any 24-hour period, nor more than 24 hours in any 48-hour period, nor more than 72 hours in any seven day period, all excluding shift turnover time.
- c. A break of at least eight hours should be allowed between work periods, including shift turnover time.
- d. In a, b, and c above, the time required to complete shift turnover is to be counted as break time and is not to be counted as work time.
- e. Except during extended shutdown periods, the use of overtime should be considered on an individual basis and not for the entire staff on a shift.

Any deviation from the above guidelines shall be authorized by the Department Managers, or higher levels of management, in accordance with established procedures and with documentation of the basis for granting the deviation.