

MAY 30 1979

Docket No. 50-219

Mr. I. R. Finfrock, Jr.  
Vice President - Generation  
Jersey Central Power & Light Company  
Madison Avenue at Punch Bowl Road  
Morristown, New Jersey 07960

Dear Mr. Finfrock:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. **35** to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. This amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application dated May 24, 1979, as supported by your letters dated April 30, May 15 and 17, 1979.

The amendment allows operation with one recirculation loop out of service using the more restrictive Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate (MAPLHGR) limits (originally authorized by Amendment No. 30, dated March 14, 1978), with values extended to encompass higher exposure fuel.

Copies of our related Safety Evaluation and the Notice of Issuance are also enclosed.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by:  
Dennis L. Ziemann

Dennis L. Ziemann, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #2  
Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. **35** to DPR-16
2. Safety Evaluation
3. Notice of Issuance

*Condr  
CCP*

cc w/enclosures:  
See next page

7907100009

OFFICE >						
SURNAME >						
DATE >						

Docket No. 50-219

Mr. I. R. Finfrock, Jr.  
Vice President - Generation  
Jersey Central Power & Light Company  
Madison Avenue at Punch Bowl Road  
Morristown, New Jersey 07960

Dear Mr. Finfrock:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. This amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your submittal dated April 30, 1979, as supplemented May 15 and 17, 1979, and our telephone discussion of May 23, 1979. *by letter dated*

The amendment allows operating with one recirculation loop out of service using the more restrictive Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate (MAPLHGR) limits (originally authorized by Amendment No. 30, dated March 14, 1978) with values extended to encompass higher exposure fuel. *operation*

Copies of our related Safety Evaluation and the Notice of Issuance are also enclosed.

Sincerely,

Dennis L. Ziemann, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #2  
Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. to DPR-16
2. Safety Evaluation
3. Notice of Issuance

cc w/enclosures:  
See next page

OFFICE >						
SURNAME >						
DATE >						

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket BJones(4)  
 NRC-PDR BScharf(15)  
 Local PDR ACRS(16)  
 ORB#2 RDG OPA(CMiles)  
 NRR RDG RDiggs  
 VStello HRDenton  
 DEisenhut DDavis  
 BGrimes JRBuchanan  
 RVollmer TERA  
 TCarter  
 WRussell  
 HSmith  
 SNowicki  
 OELD  
 OI&E(5)

Docket No. 50-219

Mr. I. R. Finfrock, Jr.  
 Vice President - Generation  
 Jersey Central Power & Light Company  
 Madison Avenue at Punch Bowl Road  
 Morristown, New Jersey 07960

Dear Mr. Finfrock:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. This amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your submittal dated April 30, 1979, as supplemented May 15 and 17, 1979, and our telephone discussion of May 23, 1979.

The amendment allows operating with one recirculation loop out of service using the more restrictive Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate (MAPLHGR) limits (originally authorized by Amendment No. 30, dated March 14, 1978) with values extended to encompass higher exposing fuel.

Copies of our related Safety Evaluation and the Notice of Issuance are also enclosed.

Sincerely,

Dennis L. Ziemann, Chief  
 Operating Reactors Branch #2  
 Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. to DPR-16
2. Safety Evaluation
3. Notice of Issuance

cc w/enclosures:  
 See next page

*DLZ*

OFFICE >	DOR:ORB#2	DOR:ORB#2	OELD	DOR:ORB#2	DOR:AB/S&P	DOR:DIR
SURNAME >	HSmith-sah	SNowicki	<i>C Woodhead</i>	DLZiemann	Russell	DEisenhut
DATE >	5/24/79	5/24/79	5/30/79	5/24/79	5/30/79	5/30/79

Re: MAPLHGR Core 5 (extended for higher exposure fuel) Date: 5/30/79

William O. Miller, Chief License Fee Management Branch, ADM

Amended Form Date: \_\_\_\_\_

FACILITY AMENDMENT CLASSIFICATION - DOCKET NO(S). 50-219

Licensee: Jersey Central

Plant Name and Unit(s): Oyster Creek

License No(s): DPR-16 Mail Control No: \_\_\_\_\_

Request Dated: 5/24/79 \* Fee Remitted: Yes [X] No \_\_\_\_\_

Assigned TAC No: \_\_\_\_\_

Licensee's Fee Classification: Class I \_\_\_\_\_, II \_\_\_\_\_, III [X], IV \_\_\_\_\_, V \_\_\_\_\_, VI \_\_\_\_\_, None \_\_\_\_\_

Amendment No. 35 Date of Issuance Preliminary/Final 5-30-79

[X] 1. This request has been reviewed by DOR/DPM in accordance with Section 170.22 of Part 170 and is properly categorized.

[ ] 2. This request is incorrectly classified and should be properly categorized as Class \_\_\_\_\_. Justification for classification or reclassification: \_\_\_\_\_

[ ] 3. Additional information is required to properly categorize the request: [X] other incoming dated 4/30/79, 5/15 and 5/17/79

[ ] 4. This request is a Class \_\_\_\_\_ type of action and is exempt from fees because it:

- (a) \_\_\_\_\_ was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,
- (b) \_\_\_\_\_ was filed by a Government agency and is not for a power reactor,
- (c) \_\_\_\_\_ is for a Class \_\_\_\_\_ (can only be a I, II, or III) amendment which results from a written Commission request dated \_\_\_\_\_ for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify license or technical specifications, has only minor safety significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the Commission, or
- (d) \_\_\_\_\_ other (state reason therefor): \_\_\_\_\_

HS 5/25/79  
S Nowicki

D.L. ZIEMANN 6-30-79 DATE

\* based on delay  
D R Ziemann

Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management

[ ] The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.

5/24 Attached: incoming LAmend.  
LFMB 6/78 William O. Miller, Chief License Fee Management Branch Date

Mr. I. R. Finfrock, Jr.

- 2 -

May 30, 1979

cc

G. F. Trowbridge, Esquire  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge  
1800 M Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

GPU Service Corporation  
ATTN: Mr. E. G. Wallace  
Licensing Manager  
260 Cherry Hill Road  
Parsippany, New Jersey 07054

Anthony Z. Roisman  
Natural Resources Defense Council  
917 15th Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20005

Steven P. Russo, Esquire  
248 Washington Street  
P. O. Box 1060  
Toms River, New Jersey 08753

Joseph W. Ferraro, Jr., Esquire  
Deputy Attorney General  
State of New Jersey  
Department of Law and Public Safety  
1100 Raymond Boulevard  
Newark, New Jersey 07102

Ocean County Library  
Brick Township Branch  
401 Chambers Bridge Road  
Brick Town, New Jersey 08723

Mayor  
Lacey Township  
P. O. Box 475  
Forked River, New Jersey 08731

Commissioner  
Department of Public Utilities  
State of New Jersey  
101 Commerce Street  
Newark, New Jersey 07102


Gene Fisher  
Bureau Chief  
Bureau of Radiation Protection  
380 Scotts Road  
Trenton, New Jersey 08628

Mark L. First  
Deputy Attorney General  
State of New Jersey  
Department of Law and Public Safety  
Environmental Protection Section  
36 West State Street  
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Joseph T. Carroll, Jr.  
Plant Superintendent  
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating  
Station  
P. O. Box 388  
Forked River, New Jersey 08731

Director, Technical Assessment  
Division  
Office of Radiation Programs  
(AW-459)  
U. S. Environmental Protection  
Agency  
Crystal Mall #2  
Arlington, Virginia 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection  
Agency  
Region II Office  
ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR  
26 Federal Plaza  
New York, New York 10007



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-219

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 35  
License No. DPR-16

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application by Jersey Central Power & Light Company (the licensee) dated May 24, 1979, as supported by letters dated April 30, May 15 and 17, 1979, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

7907100012


2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 3.B of Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 is hereby amended to read as follows:

B. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 35, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Dennis L. Ziemann, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #2  
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:  
Changes to the Technical  
Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 30, 1979

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 35  
PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-16  
DOCKET NO. 50-219

REMOVE

3.10-9


INSERT

3.10-9



FIGURE 3.10-1

MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE AVERAGE PLANAR  
LINEAR HEAT GENERATION RATE



INTERIM MAPLHGR LIMITS  
FOR 4 RECIRCULATION  
PUMP OPERATION IN OYSTER CREEK

Amendment No. 16, 24, 30, 32, 35



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 35 TO LICENSE NO. DPR-16

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

DOCKET NO. 50-219

Introduction

Jersey Central Power & Light Company's (JCP&L and the licensee) application dated May 24, 1979, as supported by letters dated April 30, May 15 and 17, 1979, proposed certain reductions in Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate (MAPLHGR) applicable to continued operations of the Oyster Creek Facility. Since these proposals modify the MAPLHGR limits in the facility Technical Specifications, they require NRC authorization to amend the Technical Specifications. Such modifications allow operation of the plant with one recirculation loop out of service using the more restrictive Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate (MAPLHGR) limits (originally authorized by Amendment No. 30, dated March 14, 1978), with values extended to encompass higher exposure fuel.

Discussion

The licensee informed the NRC staff by letter dated April 30, 1979, that the facility was operating with four (4) of the five (5) reactor recirculation coolant loops in service and that the approved ECCS analysis (Amendment No. 33, dated November 11, 1978) did not consider operation in this mode. Therefore, to comply with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.46, the licensee administratively imposed more restrictive operational limits on the facility during this mode of operation. These limits were previously approved by Amendment No. 15, dated February 24, 1976. An extension of these limits was approved by Amendment No. 30, dated March 14, 1978.

Operation with less than all loops in service was previously reviewed and approved by the NRC in Amendment No. 15. This evaluation was based upon the analysis presented by the licensee's March 25, 1975, submittal which described the ECCS evaluation model for the facility. This model

7907190641

Operation with less than all loops in service was previously reviewed and approved by the NRC in Amendment No. 15. This evaluation was based upon the analysis presented by the licensee's March 25, 1975, submittal which described the ECCS evaluation model for the facility. This model utilized a blowdown analysis by the General Electric Company (GE) and individual heatup analyses by GE and the Exxon Nuclear Company for their respective fuel designs. This analysis provided the technical basis for our acceptance of the proposed Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate (MAPLHGR) curves for all loops and partial loop operational modes. This same basis was used to approve Amendment No. 30 which granted an extension of the Amendment 15 MAPLHGR curve for longer exposure.

Amendment No. 33, dated November 11, 1978, was requested by the licensee in response to a recommendation by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) that the ECCS evaluations be performed using a unified model rather than a combination of Exxon and GE calculations. This amendment provided revised MAPLHGR curves based on the Exxon Nuclear Company Non-Jet pump BWR ECCS evaluation model. The revised curves permitted less restrictive operating limits than the curves of Amendments 15 and 30.

#### Evaluation

As indicated in the licensee's letter of April 30, 1979, a telephone conversation between the licensee and Exxon Nuclear Company representatives revealed that the revised analysis of Amendment 33 had not considered plant operation with less-than-all-loops in service. Therefore, operation with the MAPLHGR curves of Amendment 33, with only four operable pumps, had not been analyzed. The licensee has indicated that only the core configuration has changes and not the major core parameters such as core power, flow distribution and voids; therefore, the previously approved ECCS analysis, which supports less than all loops in service, is still applicable. On this basis, the licensee has administratively imposed the more restrictive MAPLHGR limits of Amendments 15 and 30 to govern operation of the facility.

Flow distribution, with less-than-all-loops in service, inventory losses, backflow through the idle loop, and changes in void size are parameters that must be considered when evaluating the effect of partial loop flow on transients, the potential for new accidents, and accidents previously analyzed.

The results of our review of the material and analyses presented by the licensee in support of Amendment 15 indicated that operation with one recirculation pump out of service did not alter the transient analysis results because major core parameters such as total flow, core voids, and inventory were maintained the same as for operation with all loops in service. Since no changes were made to the facility that affect the above parameters, we conclude that the previously performed transient analysis remains valid and, therefore, acceptable. The addition of fuel assemblies of the same type previously analyzed will not create a significant change in core hydraulics. In addition the previous ECCS evaluation for one loop out of service is fully applicable to the present core configuration.

Section 1.4.3 of our Safety Evaluation for Amendment No. 15 discussed the licensee's analysis of the improper startup of an idle loop transient. The analysis demonstrated that start up of the idle loop was not the most limiting transient for the Oyster Creek facility. Furthermore, technical specification 3.3.F.2 prohibits reactor operation if the idle loop is isolated, thereby assuring that a cold water addition accident is not likely to occur.

By maintaining the discharge valve bypass line open and the recirculation pump suction valve open, thermal equilibrium between the idle loop and the core bulk inventory can be achieved, thus, eliminating the potential for a cold water reactivity addition accident to occur by restarting the idle loop. We conclude that with the present restriction of technical specification 3.3.F.2, idle loop startup and the cold water reactivity addition accident is not a concern.

The licensee stated that the GE blowdown analysis portion of the previously used model is insensitive to fuel design, core configuration, or the number of active flow loops, so with the same core power and flow conditions, no significant difference in blowdown characteristics would be observed for changes in core configuration or the number of flow loops in service. Assurance that the same flow conditions exist is provided by the Limiting Safety System setting for the flow-biased scram curve of technical specification Section 2.3.

Losses of coolant inventory can affect the analyzed blowdown. However, since no part of the idle loop's inventory is isolated from the remainder of the primary system, the inventory in that loop is available to flow through a postulated break and will not significantly affect the blowdown i.e., core uncover time would not be significantly affected. Although flow conditions remain the same, available inventory remains unchanged, and idle loop startup acceptably resolved, a potential for slightly changing the void content in the core exists. However, since the pump is idle and the loop not isolated, a small amount of reverse flow through the inactive loop will occur. Backflow through the loop diverts flow that would normally be directed through the core, thus, the amount of water and voids originally accounted for during ECCS LOCA calculation may be different. Larger void amounts (smaller water inventory) leads to faster than predicted times for fuel uncover which results in higher than previously calculated peak clad temperature. The licensee has stated that the amount of backflow through one inactive loop represents approximately 0.2 percent of total core flow (300 gpm). To account for the backflow, the measured flow will be reduced by an amount equal to twice the established backflow. Assurance that this amount of backflow is considered when performing core calculations is provided by upgraded procedures 1001.12, "core calculations" and 1001.33, "Daily Checks" (JCP&L ltr. dated 5/15/79). We find this manner of accountability acceptable.

Insofar as the effects of four pump operation upon transient and accident analyses previously reviewed, only those accidents and transients which relate to a recirculation system malfunction need to be reviewed. The licensee addressed three such events for the five pump operation: 1) all recirculation pumps trip, 2) single recirculation pump trip and, 3) pump seizure (locked-rotor). Amendment No. 76 to the FDSAR addressed each of these events showing that the five pump trip was more severe than the single pump trip but approximately equal to a pump seizure. The licensee's analysis of the three transients predict a change in minimum critical power ratio (MCPR) of  $-.01$  for the one-of-five pump stall and assumed  $-.07$  as the difference between one-of-four and one-of-five pump stalls. These changes in MCPR are relatively minor when considering worst case transients. A steady state MCPR of 1.52 has been established such that as a result of the worst case transient (i.e., continuous rod withdrawal error) the resultant change in MCPR will not decrease below the transient limit of 1.34. As can be seen by the licensee's assessment, the resultant MCPR, following a pump seizure event while in the four pump operational mode, would be 1.44 leaving a substantial margin of 0.1 from the transient MCPR limit. On this basis, we find that the locked rotor event while operating with four recirculation pumps is bounded by the previously reviewed transient analysis and is, therefore, acceptable.

By letter dated May 15, 1979, the licensee provided a new set of MAPLHGR curves. These curves extend the previously approved MAPLHGR curves of Amendment No. 30 for higher exposures. JCP&L's application dated May 24, 1979, requested a license amendment to incorporate the extended curves in the Technical Specifications. We have reviewed the newly proposed MAPLHGR limits and have concluded that they are based on calculational methods previously accepted; they do not change MAPLHGR limits previously found acceptable but only extend the previous calculations to higher fuel exposures and, therefore, are acceptable.

#### Environmental Considerations

We have determined that the amendment does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4) that an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Conclusion

We further conclude, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) because the amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered and does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Date: May 30, 1979

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONDOCKET NO. 50-219JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANYNOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL  
OPERATING LICENSE

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 35 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16, issued to Jersey Central Power & Light Company (the licensee), which revised the Technical Specifications for operation of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (the facility) located in Ocean County, New Jersey. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment allows operation with one recirculation loop out of service using the more restrictive Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate (MAPLHGR) limits (originally authorized by Amendment No. 30, dated March 14, 1978) with values extended to encompass higher exposure fuel. In separate actions relating to this facility, the Commission is: (1) Amending License No. DPR-16 to extend the applicability of the minimum water level safety limit to all modes of operation, and add a new safety limit in Section 2.1.F to require that two recirculation loops remain open during all modes of operation except with the reactor vessel head removed; and (2) Authorizing resumption of operation after a May 2, 1979, transient event involving license safety limits.

The application for amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules

7907110480

- 2 -

and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.


The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR §51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated May 24, 1979, and the licensee's submittals dated April 30, May 15 and 17, 1979, (2) Amendment No. 35 to License No. DPR-16, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items and the Commission's separate actions described above are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D. C., and at the Ocean County Library, Brick Township Branch, 401 Chambers Bridge Road, Brick Town, New Jersey 08723. A copy of items (2) and (3) in addition to the separate actions, may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.



Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 30th day of May, 1979.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Dennis L. Ziemann".

Dennis L. Ziemann, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #2  
Division of Operating Reactors