

APPENDIX A

<b>FORM TI-1 CASE RESOLUTION</b>		
Case Status	<input type="checkbox"/> Open	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Closed
RCODE: <u>30771</u> Region: <u>2</u>		
OLD - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number:  <b>Chesapeake Corporation Route 2 &amp; Route 17 Fredericksburg, VA 22401</b>		
NEW - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number:  <b>Chesapeake Corporation P. O. Box 2350 1021 E. Cary Street Richmond, VA 23218-2350</b>  <b>J. P. Causey, Senior Vice President, Secretary &amp; General Counsel (804) 697 - 1166</b>		
Agreement State Licensee? (Double Check)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Moved to an Agreement State?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Describe Follow-up: (Telephone, Internet, Chamber of Commerce, Other)  <b>Through telephone discussions with J. P. Causey, Sr. V.P., Chesapeake Corporation, Richmond, Virginia, the inspector determined that the wood treating plant had been sold in 1995 to Universal Forest Products, Inc., Grand Rapids, Virginia. After discussions with Scott Conklin, Director, Wood Preservation and Regulatory Affairs, the inspector determined that the plant was in that same year sold to Culpeper Wood Treating Company, Culpeper, Virginia. During discussions with Mike Rogers, the inspector determined that the licensee was not aware of possessing Model Nos. 8620 and LCA gauging devices, Serial Nos. 539 and 539, respectively. The inspector spoke with numerous individuals associated with activities at the former facility and those individuals (to include Jake Munson, Sr. Wood Technologist) did not recall the use of such devices.</b>		

APPENDIX B

Describe Communication with Registration Vendor:

From those discussions with the representatives described above, the inspector determined that one of the devices in question (Model No. LCA, S/N 539) was in the possession of Timber Products, HCR-4, Box 49, Old Highway 60 E, Birchtree, MO 65438. The inspector verified this information through discussions with Gary Volk, Service Representative, ASOMA Instruments, Inc. The inspector then contacted a representative of Timber Products (Linda Richards, Administrative Executive, telephone number - 573-292-3185) and confirmed that they were in possession of the device. Ms. Richards informed the inspector that the device was being leased from Archwood Protection, 3941 Bonsal Road, Conley, Georgia 30288. The inspector confirmed this by speaking with Laurie Zeisel, Analytical Chemist at the Conley, GA facility (telephone number - 404-362-3970).

Vendor Follow-up Action:

**None taken.**

Final Action Taken:

**None, based on the information received from the vendor, the inspector concluded that one of the devices identified by the contractor as being not accounted was the same one identified by the inspector as being in the possession of Timber Products, Birchtree, Missouri. However, the other two devices, Model Nos. 8620 and LCA, Serial Nos. 539 and 539, respectively, could not be located through the extensive efforts made by the inspector to contact the former employees as well as through the manufacturer. As a result, the inspector proposed that the case involving the two devices that could not be located be closed since the inspector exhausted all known resources to locate them.**

Cause of Bad Address/Lesson Learned:

**None identified.**

APPENDIX B

FORM TI-2 DEVICE INFORMATION

RCODE: <u>35656</u>							
ID	Model Number <sup>1</sup> (separate line for each gauge)	Serial #	Isotope	Activity	Sold Date	Vendor	Status (to be filled in by the inspector) A= accounted for <sup>2</sup> U= unaccounted for <sup>3</sup>
1	LCA	539	Cm-244	30 mCi	2/88	ASOMA Instruments, Inc. d/b/a Spectro	A
2	8620	539	Cm-244	30 mCi	2/88	ASOMA Instruments, Inc. d/b/a Spectro	U
3	LCA	539	Cm-244	30 mCi	2/88	ASOMA Instruments, Inc. d/b/a Spectro	U
For additional GLD's received by the licensee identified during the inspection:							
ID	Model Number	Serial #	Isotope	Activity	Sold Date	Vendor	How obtained
1							
2							

<sup>1</sup>Include only registerable gauges identified by Headquarters as open cases.

<sup>2</sup>A device is accounted for if (1) the device is located at the licensee's facility, (2) it has been verified that the device has been transferred to an authorized recipient or properly disposed, or (3) a reasonable assurance has been provided that the device has been transferred to an authorize recipient or properly disposed.

<sup>3</sup>A device is unaccounted for if the location of the device is unknown and/or the licensee cannot provide a reasonable assurance that the device has been transferred to an authorized recipient for properly disposed.

APPENDIX C

FORM TI-3 GENERAL LICENSEE INSPECTION DOCUMENTATION	
RCODE: <u>35656</u>	
General Licensee Information:	
	Check Box if Current Complete Name, Mailing Address, Contact, and Phone Number is Same as Provided by ORNL. If not, include correct information below:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Chesapeake Corporation</b> P. O. Box 2350 1021 E. Cary Street Richmond, VA 23218-2350
Results of inspection: (check the appropriate boxes)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	The general licensee of record is located at the address of record and
	<input type="checkbox"/> all GLD are accounted for
	<input type="checkbox"/> <u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however GLD are being used under new ownership at the address of record and
	<input type="checkbox"/> all GLD are accounted for
	<input type="checkbox"/> <u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however they are using GLD at another location and
	<input type="checkbox"/> all GLD are accounted for
	<input type="checkbox"/> <u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for;
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Neither the general licensee of record nor the facility operated by the general licensee are located at the address of record and the site has been abandoned or is being used for an alternate purpose.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)
Gauge Information:	
ID	For each gauge for which status is unaccounted for (see last column of Form TI-2), provide any conclusions about location of the gauge:
2	<b>The inspector contacted former employees as well as the manufacturer and was not able to determine the whereabouts of the device. As discussed above, the inspector concluded that all known resources had been exhausted to determine the whereabouts of the device.</b>
3	<b>The inspector contacted former employees as well as the manufacturer and was not able to determine the whereabouts of the device. As discussed above, the inspector concluded that all known resources had been exhausted to determine the whereabouts of the device.</b>



Post-it® Fax Note 7671		Date 9/28	# of pages 1
To WAD: LOU	From J.P. CAUSEY		
Co./Dept.	Co. CHESAPEAKE		
Phone #	Phone # 804 697-1166		
Fax #	Fax #		

J.P. Causey Jr.  
Senior Vice President, Secretary & General Counsel

September 28, 2000

Director of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards  
Attn: GLTS Manager  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Sir:

In response to Mr. Raddatz's inquiry dated September 22, 2000 (copy attached), I confirm, that to the best of my knowledge, Chesapeake Corporation is no longer in possession of the NRC licensed devices listed on the attached spreadsheet.

Although I am not familiar with a telephone conversation on April 17, 2000, I did receive a telephone call from an NRC contractor on August 25, 2000. The contractor I talked with on August 25 provided additional information indicating that the registered devices may have been related to a wood treating business conducted by a Chesapeake Corporation subsidiary, which operated near Fredericksburg, Virginia. Chesapeake's subsidiary sold the assets of the wood treating business, including the assets at the plant near Fredericksburg, in 1993. Documentation related to that sale does not indicate any disposition of the NRC registered devices in connection with that sale.

Based on information received from personnel with more direct knowledge of the Fredericksburg plant, the NRC registered devices may have been related to production of a specific product, fire retardant treated plywood. This would be consistent with the registration dates shown on the attached spreadsheet. Chesapeake discontinued the fire retardant treated plywood portion of its wood treating business in approximately 1990. If the NRC registered devices were related to that business, my assumption is that they were returned to the original vendor or otherwise disposed of at the time we stopped producing fire retardant treated plywood, but I am aware of no documentation we have to confirm that.

Sincerely,

Chesapeake Corporation  
P.O. Box 2350, 1021 E. Cary Street, Richmond, VA 23218-2350  
804/697-1166 Fax 804/697-1192