8/10/00

Mtg w/ Tim Collins

Sequences

Seismic - air flow

non-seismic - air flow

Seismic - adiabatic (steam)

non-seismic - adiabatic (steam)

EP Logic

Sequences	Time Needed By Glenn	Freq of Events	Consequences w/ + w/o EP	Averted Consequences	Averted Risk
air flow Seismic					
non-seismic					
- boil off			years after s		
- cask drop					
fire hose seismic					
non-seismic					
- boil off					
- cask drop					

30 days, 1 yr, 2 yr, 5 yr, 10 yr consequences

Check with my mgmt to see whether they will authorize the work.

Insurance Question



Recoveries are not sensitive to time

Tim: Assume large S.T.

Joe: TH Code not running -- no info on cases other than adiabatic.

Report due to G Holahan Aug 31

Jason: Let's do frequency on a lot of time, too.

Tim Collins: OK

Jason: Should we include consequences in Ch. 3?

Tim Collins: Good question.

Technical Study of Spent Fuel Pool Accidents for Decommissioning Plants

Table of Contents

Collins Executive Summary 1

Hubbard 1.0 Introduction 4

Palla 2.0 Risk-Informed Decision Making 5
- 2.1 Principles of Regulatory Guide 1.174 6
  - 2.1.1 Defense-in-Depth 7
  - 2.1.2 Safety Margins 8
  - 2.1.3 Impact of Proposed Changes 8
  - 2.1.4 Implementation and Monitoring Program 8

Kelly 3.0 Risk Assessment of Spent Fuel Pools at Decommissioning Plants 9
- 3.1 Basis and Findings of SFP Risk Assessment 11
- 3.2 Characteristics of SFP Design and Operations for a Decommissioning Plant . 12
- 3.3 Internal Event Scenarios Leading to Fuel Uncovery 18
  - 3.3.1 Loss of Cooling 18
  - 3.3.2 Loss of Coolant Inventory 19
  - 3.3.3 Loss of Off-site Power from Plant-Centered and Grid Related Events 20
  - 3.3.4 Loss of Off-site Power from Severe Weather Events 20
  - 3.3.5 Internal Fire 21
  - 3.3.6 Heavy Load Drops 21
- 3.4 Beyond Design Basis Spent Fuel Pool Accident Scenarios (External Events) . 23
  - 3.4.1 Seismic Events 23
  - 3.4.2 Aircraft Crashes 25
  - 3.4.3 Tornadoes 25
  - 3.4.4 Criticality in Spent Fuel Pool 26

? 3.5 Consequences + Risk Assessment

4.0 Implications of Spent Fuel Pool Risk For Regulatory Requirements 28
- 4.1. Summary of the Technical Results 29
- 4.2 Risk Impact of Specific Design and Operational Characteristics 29
  - 4.2.1. Impact of Proposed Changes 30
  - 4.2.2. Defense-in-Depth 32
  - 4.2.3 Safety Margins 32
  - 4.2.4. Implementation and Monitoring Program 32
- 4.3. Implications for Regulatory Requirements Related to Emergency Preparedness, Security and Insurance 33
  - 4.3.1 Emergency Preparedness 33
  - 4.3.2 Security 35
  - 4.3.3 Insurance 36

5.0 Summary and Conclusions 37

6.0 References 39

7.0 Acronyms 41

Appendices

Joe + RES Appendix 1 Thermal Hydraulics A1-1

Kelly Appendix 2 Assessment of Spent Fuel Pool ~~Risk~~ Acc Freq. at Decommissioning Plants A2-1

Appendix 2a Detailed Assessment of Risk from Decommissioning Plant Spent Fuel Pools A2a-1

Croutam * Appendix 2b Structural Integrity of Spent Fuel Pools Subject to Seismic Loads . . A2b-1

Appendix 2c Structural Integrity of Spent Fuel Pool Structures Subject to Heavy Loads Drops A2c-1

Appendix 2d Structural Integrity of Spent Fuel Pool Structures Subject to Aircraft Crashes A2d-1

Appendix 2e Structural Integrity of Spent Fuel Pool Structures Subject to Tornados A2e-1

U/Ses Appendix 3 Criticality A3-1

Jason Appendix 4 Consequence Assessment from Zirconium Fire A4-1

~~Appendix 5 Enhanced Seismic Checklist and Supporting Stakeholder Documentation~~ . . . A5-1

Appendix 6 November 12, 1999 Nuclear Energy Institute Commitment Letter A6-1

Appendix 7 Stakeholder Interactions A7-1

List of Figures

Figure 3.1 Assumed Spent Fuel Pool Cooling System 13

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Spent Fuel Pool Cooling Risk Analysis Frequency of Fuel Uncovery (per year) . . . 16

4A - [illegible]
4B - Original Consequence Effect by RES
4C - Revised RES Effect including [illegible]

* will include Kennedy report & check list