Indiana Michigan Power Company Cook Nuclear Plant One Cook Place Bridgman, MI 49106 616-465-5901

> AEP INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER

February 01, 2001

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

> Operating Licenses DPR-58 and DPR-74 Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316

Document Control Manager:

In accordance with the criteria established by 10 CFR 50.73 entitled <u>Licensee Event Report</u> <u>System</u>, the following report is being submitted:

LER 315/1998-047-01 "Reactor Coolant Pump Silicon Nitride Seals"

This revision replaces LER 315/1998-047.

There were no commitments associated with this submittal.

Should you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact Mr. Scot Greenlee, Director Design Engineering/Regulatory Affairs, at 616/697-5728.

Sincerely,

Joséph E. Pollock Plant Manager

/drb Attachment

- c: J. E. Dyer, Region III
 - D. Hahn
 - B. A. McIntyre
 - T. P. Noonan
 - A. C. Bakken III
 - R. P. Powers
 - R. Whale

NRC Resident Inspector Records Center, INPO



FACILITY NAME (1) TITLE (4) EVENT DATE AONTH DAY 11 17 OPERATING MODE (9) POWER LEVEL (10)	َ (See dic Don	e revers gits/chai ald C. C YEAR 1998 THIS RI 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2	e for r racter Cook I LER SE	Required s for ear Nuclear Re QUENTIA NUMBER 047 IS SUBI (1)	eacto	nt Unit 1 or Coolant REVISION NUMBER 01	t Pump Si	licon Nit	INFORMATIO INCORPORA FORWARD C RECORDS COMMISSION PROJECT (3 20503 DOCH 05 Cride Sea TE (7)	IN COLL TED IN SOMMET MANAG V, WASH V, V V, V V, V V, V V, V V, V V, V V,	EN PER RESPON LECTION REQUEST TO THE LICENSIN VTS REGARDING E BEMENT BRANCH HINGTON, DC 2055 34), OFFICE OF MU UMBER (2))-315 OTHER ACILITY NAME DC COOK P FACILITY NAME	50.0 HRS. REP. IG PROCESS A URDEN ESTIMA (1-9 F33), 5-0001, AND TO NNAGEMENT AN FACILITIE	PAG 1 C S INVC 2 C	ESSONS ES	LEARNED ARE O INDUSTRY, RMATION AND REGULATORY K REDUCTION HINGTON, DC
TITLE (4) EVENT DATE MONTH DAY 11 17 OPERATING MODE (9) POWER LEVEL (10) NAME	Don E (5) · YEAR 1998 5 0	Ald C. C YEAR 1998 THIS RI 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2	Cook I LER SE PORT 201 (b 2203(a) 2203(a) 2203(a)	Nuclear Re QUENTI NUMBER 047 IS SUBI	Plar eacto R (6)	nt Unit 1 or Coolant REVISION NUMBER 01	MONTH	PORT DA	05 ride Sea TE (7) YEAR	5000 Ils	O-315 OTHER ACILITY NAME DC Cook P		1 c S INVC 2 0	оf 4 ОLVЕ ОСКЕТ 0500(NUMBER)-316
TITLE (4) EVENT DATE MONTH DAY 11 17 OPERATING MODE (9) POWER LEVEL (10) NAME	E (5) · YEAR 1998 5 0	YEAR 1998 THIS RI 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2	LER SE PORT 201 (b 203(a) 2203(a) 2203(a)	Re QUENTI NUMBER 047 1S SUBI	eacto R (6) AL	or Coolant REVISION NUMBER 01	MONTH	PORT DA	05 ride Sea TE (7) YEAR	5000 Ils	O-315 OTHER ACILITY NAME DC Cook P		1 c S INVC 2 0	оf 4 ОLVЕ ОСКЕТ 0500(NUMBER)-316
EVENT DATE AONTH DAY 11 17 OPERATING MODE (9) POWER LEVEL (10)	E (5) · YEAR 1998 5 0	YEAR 1998 THIS RI 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2	LER SE PORT 201 (b 203(a) 2203(a) 2203(a)	Re QUENTI NUMBER 047 1S SUBI	eacto R (6) AL	or Coolant REVISION NUMBER 01	MONTH	PORT DA	ride Sea	IIS F	OTHER ACILITY NAME DC Cook P		2 0	ОLVE ЮСКЕТ)500(NUMBER)-316
EVENT DATE AONTH DAY 11 17 OPERATING MODE (9) POWER LEVEL (10)	YEAR 1998 5 0	1998 THIS RI 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2	SE PORT 201 (b 203(a) 2203(a) 2203(a)	QUENTI NUMBER 047 IS SUB	R (6) AL	REVISION NUMBER 01	MONTH	PORT DA	TE (7) YEAR	F	ACILITY NAME		2 0	оскет)5000	NUMBER)-316
AONTH DAY 11 17 OPERATING MODE (9) POWER LEVEL (10)	YEAR 1998 5 0	1998 THIS RI 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2	SE PORT 201 (b 203(a) 2203(a) 2203(a)	QUENTIA NUMBER 047 IS SUBI) (1)	AL 	NUMBER 01	MONTH	' DAY	YEAR	[ACILITY NAME		2 0	оскет)5000	NUMBER)-316
AONTH DAY 11 17 OPERATING MODE (9) POWER LEVEL (10)	YEAR 1998 5 0	1998 THIS RI 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2	SE PORT 201 (b 203(a) 2203(a) 2203(a)	QUENTIA NUMBER 047 IS SUBI) (1)	AL 	NUMBER 01	MONTH	' DAY	YEAR	[ACILITY NAME		2 0	оскет)5000	NUMBER)-316
11 17 OPERATING MODE (9) POWER LEVEL (10)	1998 5 0	1998 THIS RI 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2	PORT 201 (b 203(a) 2203(a) 2203(a)	NUMBER 047 IS SUBI) (1)		NUMBER 01						lant Unit			
OPERATING MODE (9) POWER LEVEL (10)	5 0	THIS RI 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2	PORT 201 (b 203(a) 2203(a) 2203(a)	(1)			02	01	0004	F	ACILITY NAME		י ו	OCKET	NUMBER
MODE (9) POWER LEVEL (10)	0	20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2	201 (b 203(a) 203(a) 2203(a)) (1)	MITTE	D PURSU			2001				DUCKETNUMBE		
POWER LEVEL (10)	0	20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2	2203(a) 2203(a) 2203(a)	(1)			ANT TO TH	E REQUI	REMENTS	OF 1	10 CFR §: (Cl	neck one or			
LEVEL (10)		20.2 20.2 20.2	2203(a) 2203(a)				20.2203(a)(2				50.73(a)(2)(i				(2)(viii)
NAME		20.2 20.2	203(a)	(2)(i)			20.2203(a)(3)(i)			Х	50.73(a)(2)(i).73(a)	(2)(x)
NAME		20.2					20.2203(a)(3				50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(iv)		73.71 OTHEI		
NAME					· · ·		20.2203(a)(4 50.36(c)(1)	9			50.73(a)(2)(1	a' 1		cify in Abstract below	
			2203(a)				50.36(c)(1)				50.73(a)(2)(v	<u>.</u>	C Form		
				<u> </u>	LIC		DNTACT FC	R THIS L	.ER (12)						····
C										TELE	PHONE NUMBER	R (Include Area	Code)		
C	1	D. R. BI	ronick	i, Regu	lator	y Affairs/0	DE				616	/ 465-590)1, x1	668	
	OMPLE	TE ON	ELIN	E FOR	EAC	H COMP	ONENT F	AILUR	E DESC	RIB	ED IN THIS	S REPOR	<u> </u>	3)	
CAUSE SYSTE	ISE SYSTEM COMPONENT		MANUFACTURER			EPORTABLE TO EPIX	<u></u>	USE	SYSTEM	<u></u> cc	MPONENT	MANUFACTURE		REP	ORTABLE TO EPIX
								-	·					·	
	SUPPI	EMENTA			PECT	ED (14)	39.4** (***)		EXPE	CT	-n	MONTH	DA	AY	YEAR
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)						X NC	<u>, </u>	SUBM	ISSI	ON					
(If Yes, co Abstract (Limit to									DAT	E (1	5)				
This LER is re On September loss of seal in the number of was previous (essentially in rates. The his combined with steam. Seal flow must be outside the do information an off problem we vendor subset	er 11, 19 njection one seal sly believ ndefinite igher ter th the re perform preclud lesign ba and the a was iden	997, dur (LOSI), leak-off yed to b pump mperatu duced le ance ca ed. Thi asis of t associat tified. C	ing an the re- temp e with opera- res of eak-o annot s LEF he pla ed de ook N	n invest eactor co erature in the ca ation wi f the rea ff flow t be prec t is sub ant. The sign ch luclear	igati cola belo desig thou actor hrou licteo mitte e roo ange Plan	on of com nt pump (ow 235 de n capabil t seal inje coolant t gh the nu d with two d in acco t cause o for imple t (CNP) o	Properties of the segrees Fa lity of the ction over aking the mber one ophase floor dance with this even ementation contacted	coling w rmal bal hrenheit RCP the the full place of seal co bw in the seal co bw in the th 10 C nt'was p n of the the ven	ater flow rrier heat t (F). Bas ermal bar range of f normal uld have e downst FR 50.73 personne silicon ni dor to he	rate t exc sed o rrier f ver reac trear 3(a)(1 fail itride	es, it was d changer ma on the ven heat exchandor-recom ctor coolani ulted in the m piping, a (2)(ii)(B), fo iing to adec e pump sea valuate the	letermine ay not be dor desig anger to p mended t pump se water tr nd theref or a condi quately re als. Wher e impact of	able permi seal l eal inj ansfo fore, t tition tl eview the l on op	to ma the F it sus leak- jectio prming wo-p hat w vo-p hat w vo-p hat w vo-p	aintain RCPs, it tained off flow n g to hase vas dor eal leal on. The

•

The analysis documents that the use of RCP number one seals having low leak-off rates does not result in a significant increase in risk.

NRC FORM 366 (6-1998)

.

. . NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER(2)		PAGE (3)					
Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000-315	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER			REVISION NUMBER	2 of 4	
		1998		047	1	01	2011	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form (366A) (17)

Conditions Prior to Event

(6-1998)

Unit 1 was in mode 5, cold shutdown Unit 2 was in mode 5, cold shutdown

Description of Event

On September 11, 1997, during an investigation of component cooling water (EIIS:CC) flow rates, it was determined that during a loss of seal injection (LOSI), the reactor coolant pump (RCP) (EIIS:P) thermal barrier heat exchanger (EIIS:HX) may not be able to maintain the number one seal (EIIS:SEAL) leak-off temperature below 235 degrees Fahrenheit (F). It was originally calculated in the design of the RCPs that a pump could operate indefinitely without seal injection flow.

The RCPs in use at CNP are vertical shaft pumps employing a controlled leakage seal assembly to restrict reactor coolant system (RCS) (EIIS:AB) leakage (seal leak-off) along the pump shaft. The controlled leakage seal is called the number one seal. Seal leak-off cools and lubricates the number one seal. Insufficient seal leak-off, therefore, results in less than adequate cooling and lubrication to the number one seal.

During normal operation, cooled and filtered high pressure charging water (seal injection) is admitted to an annular region in the pump casing around the pump shaft. At the top of this annular region is the number one seal. At the bottom of the annular region, above the pump impellor, is a thermal barrier heat exchanger (TBHX) and labyrinth seal. Seal injection water pressurizes the annular region to slightly above RCS pressure. A portion of the seal injection water goes through the pump's lower radial bearing, up the pump shaft to the number one seal, leaks across the faces of the seal and is directed back to the charging system through the seal leak-off return line. The leak-off effectively cools and lubricates the radial bearing and the number one seal. The rest of the seal injection water goes down the pump shaft, through the TBHX and into the RCS through the labyrinth seal.

During a LOSI, the annular region cannot be maintained at a higher pressure than the RCS. As a result, hot, unfiltered RCS water (primary coolant) flows into the annular region through the labyrinth seal and is then cooled by component cooling water (CCW) as it passes through the TBHX. The cooled primary coolant then flows up the pump shaft, cooling and lubricating the radial bearing and number one seal in the same manner as previously described for seal injection water.

In the late 1980's, CNP, with the support of the pump vendor, started replacing the number one seal packages on all RCPs from an aluminum oxide design to a silicon nitride version. During plant operation, the aluminum oxide seal material would wear, resulting in gradually increasing seal leak-off flowrates. Conversely, after installation of the new silicon nitride seals, it was common for seal leak-off flowrates to gradually decrease over several operating cycles.

When a LOSI event is postulated with less than nominal flowrates through the silicon nitride seals, calculations show that seal leak-off temperatures would exceed 235 degrees F. With less than adequate seal leak-off flow, the reactor coolant flowing upward along the pump shaft has more time to absorb pump heat after it has been cooled by the TBHX. The excessive temperature could cause a two-phase flow condition on the low pressure side of the seal face. Seal performance cannot be predicted with two-phase flow in the downstream piping, and therefore, two-phase flow must be precluded.

Before the installation of the silicon nitride seals, the phenomenon of gradually decreasing RCP seal leak-off flow rates was unknown to the vendor or the other affected power plants. NSAL 99-005 "Reactor Coolant Pump operation during Loss of Seal Injection" was issued after CNP contacted the RCP vendor.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER(2)		PAGE (3)				
Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000-315	YEAR	YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER	3 of 4	
		1998		047	1	01	0.011

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form (366A) (17) ·

The postulated LOSI condition was determined to place the plant outside its design basis. This LER is being submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), for a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant. This LER is revised in its entirety to include information from the completed root cause evaluation.

Cause of Event

NRC FORM 366A

(6-1998)

The root cause of this event was personnel failing to adequately review vendor information and the associated design change documentation before installation of the silicon nitride pump seals.

This issue is a symptom of the larger generic issue of inadequate design and licensing basis control that had been previously identified and confirmed during the Expanded System Readiness Reviews (ESRR). The ESRR was performed during CNP's extended shutdown.

Analysis of Event

A prolonged failure of seal injection is a low frequency event. Even if this occurs on RCPs with low leakage number one seals, the transient is on the order of one to two hours providing operators time to respond.

The issue of a LOSI occurring in an RCP with a low-leaking number one seal only applies to model 93A, 93A-1 and 100 pumps that have number one seals with an initial leakrate less than 2.5 gpm. Given the operating history of Westinghouse plants, an uncontrolled loss of seal injection event for an extended time has occurred infrequently. While there have been cases of charging pump malfunctions that have temporarily stopped seal injection, one of the redundant charging pumps is usually started and seal injection promptly restored. Also, the postulated scenario would include a gradual rise in bearing temperature and the number one seal leak-off temperature. The operator will have sufficient time to either restore seal injection or secure the pump. Even with continuing temperature increases, the number one seal is expected to function so the leakage from the RCS will be controlled such that the charging system can provide makeup fluid.

If a LOSI event occurs and the seal/bearing temperature rises to the temperature required for two-phase flow in the leak-off line, the possibility of a water hammer event exists due to the potential mixing of steam and water. Westinghouse has not performed a detailed evaluation of the effects of two-phase flow or of a water hammer event in the number one seal leakoff line. However, based on engineering judgement, the postulated two-phase flow for this scenario is low quality steam, containing gases originally dissolved in the reactor coolant. This steam and gas mixture will attenuate the magnitude of water hammer forces. The leak-off line configuration, i.e. pipe bends and diameter changes, also would help to attenuate a water hammer. The seal leak-off line is a seismic class 2 line made of ductile stainless steel and is expected to survive minor water hammer events.

Assuming a line break occurs, the leak-off line would be expected to fracture at the small bore, low pressure piping instead of at the larger bore piping comprising the leak-off line containment penetration. Also, the containment penetration is far removed from the RCPs so that water hammer forces will be attenuated to levels that would not be expected to challenge containment isolation.

Finally, if a number one seal failure occurs in conjunction with piping failure, the event would not impair the performance of CNP's safety systems. This event, if it proceeds to a LOCA due to a number one seal failure, is bounded by CNP's LOCA analysis.

Based on the above, it is concluded that the use of RCP number one seals having low leak-off rates does not result in a significant increase in risk.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (6-1998)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER(2)		PAGE (3)				
Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000-315	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER	4 of 4	
		1998	047		01		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form (366A) (17)

Corrective Actions

No immediate corrective actions were necessary as a result of the identified problems because both units were in cold shutdown and the RCPs were not required to be operable.

Seals identified as having less than adequate flow rates (RCPs 14 and 24) have been replaced. Respectively, these RCPs had leak-off flow recorded as low as .5 gallons per minute (gpm) and 1.3 gpm.

Procedures have been revised to address operator response to a LOSI, such that two-phase flow will not occur following the event.

An amendment request has been submitted to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to align the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) with current design basis information. This request was submitted on September 26, 2000.

The corrective actions to prevent recurrence for the root cause of the design control process generic inadequacies are currently being addressed through the CNP corrective action program. The root cause evaluation identified corrective actions to address management, organizational, and programmatic issues in the Engineering organization.

As part of the Unit 2 Restart effort, system and programmatic assessments were performed during the ESRR to reestablish and document the plant's design and licensing basis. On March 19, 1999, CNP responded to NRC letter "Enforcement Actions 98-150, 98-151, 98-152 and 98-186 Reply to Notice Of Violation October 13, 1998." The letter identified programmatic weaknesses in the control of the CNP design and licensing basis. As a result, an Engineering Leadership Plan was implemented that developed a design basis authority within the Engineering department and a new design control process that encompassed design verification, vendor technical information, and design specifications.

Appropriate procedures have been developed addressing calculations, control of design inputs, and owner's acceptance reviews. Specifically, guidance is provided for review and acceptance of engineering work products prepared by outside contractors under the QA program. These actions will prevent recurrence of these events.

Previous Similar Events

No previous LERs were identified that specifically addressed the modification of the RCP seals. However, there have been previously docketed LERs associated with the inadequate review of design changes and vendor-supplied information. These LERs provided corrective actions that specifically addressed these issues. However, the corrective actions associated with the LERs listed below were implemented after the issues identified in this LER and therefore would not have precluded this event.

LER 50-315/1998-056-01, "Inadequate Control and Processing of Design Information Results in Unanalyzed Hot Leg Recirc Switchover".

LER 50-315/1998-055-01, "Calibration Error Coupled with a Single Failure Could Result in Condition Outside Design Basis for Rod Control System".

March 6, 2001

÷

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Document Control Manager:

This is a replacement document. The original document is missing page 4 of 4. Please contact Pat Eddie, Regulatory Affairs, at 616 465-5901 x2101 if you have any questions.

Thank you, Pat Eddie