

6/4/99

~ 33 people

G. Bagchi,

M. Cheak

T. Essig

J. Sosnosider

G. Hubbard

T R. Barrett

T G. Kelly

T V. Ordaz

T D. Jackson

S. Collins (for first 45 minutes)

T Stu Richards

T = sat at table

Stu: Technical working group only been working on it for 2 months  
Technical working group will be done March 2000.

Diana: We might go back and ask RES to take another look at  
CSI-82 -- impact on operating reactors.

Sam: Can have an impact on operating reactors. Will look at it.

TA: Should fuel pool fires be part of the design basis.

Stu: Decommissioned reactors have less ways to get water into a reactor.

R Barrett: For op. reactor, reactor risk bounds span fuel  
pool risk

CA

TA: Ad hoc on site emergency plans to recover.

G Kelly: We included probability to recover.

TA: It would be useful to bring out the <sup>major</sup> differences between the op. rx. and the decommissioned rx.

R Barrett: We will hear from stakeholders (industry) on Monday as to what their plans are on spent fuel pools.

TA: Time to take action <sup>increase</sup> and offsite consequences decrease over time.

G Kelly: Simplified answer to complicated problem. Don't plan to go into too much details at the public mtg.

TA: (Jim (gray hair)) How long to boil 22ft of water?

G Kelly: Days.

Stu: We are seeking industry feedback from industry.

TA: Not licensed operators. Have certified fuel handlers.

G Kelly: Certified fuel handlers were licensed operators who did not want to move. They had no severe accident mitigation procedures.

TR (Jin): Do's want a PR disaster on Monday.

Sen: Zhan is only recommending request in process.

Yonma: Zhan has been shut down for 2 years + 3 months.  
Zhan is attempting to demonstrate below 565°C.