

December 21, 2001

MEMORANDUM TO: Jack R. Strosnider, Jr., Director
Division of Engineering
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: William H. Bateman, Chief */ra/*
Materials and Chemical Engineering Branch
Division of Engineering

SUBJECT: AUTHORIZATION FOR PRESENTATION OF TECHNICAL PAPER

Syed Ali and Ted Sullivan have authored a paper titled, "Regulatory Perspectives on Risk-Informed Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Piping," which will be presented at the 2001 ASME Pressure Vessel and Piping Conference. Syed Ali will attend the meeting July 22-26, 2001, in Atlanta, GA, and will make the presentation. This memorandum is to request your concurrence for presentation of the subject paper which is attached together with a copy of NRC Form 390.

We believe this paper is covered by Paragraph 033a of NRC Chapter 3205, "Technical Speeches, Papers, and Technical Articles," and NRR Office Letter No. 700, Revision 1. This paper does not involve new or unresolved policy issues. We believe that the NRC staff participation in the subject meeting will be extremely useful in promoting the exchange of information among the participants concerning the use of ASME code cases.

Attached is the memorandum approving the abstract. Expenses for the trip are estimated to be approximately \$1300.00

Approval: R. H. Wessman for: 1/18/01
Jack R. Strosnider, Jr.

Attachments: As stated

MEMORANDUM TO: Jack R. Strosnider, Jr., Director
Division of Engineering
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: William H. Bateman, Chief
Materials and Chemical Engineering Branch
Division of Engineering

SUBJECT: AUTHORIZATION FOR PRESENTATION OF TECHNICAL PAPER

Syed Ali and Ted Sullivan have authored a paper titled, "Regulatory Perspectives on Risk-Informed Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Piping," which will be presented at the 2001 ASME Pressure Vessel and Piping Conference. Syed Ali will attend the meeting July 22-26, 2001, in Atlanta, GA, and will make the presentation. This memorandum is to request your concurrence for presentation of the subject paper which is attached together with a copy of NRC Form 390.

We believe this paper is covered by Paragraph 033a of NRC Chapter 3205, "Technical Speeches, Papers, and Technical Articles," and NRR Office Letter No. 700, Revision 1. This paper does not involve new or unresolved policy issues. We believe that the NRC staff participation in the subject meeting will be extremely useful in promoting the exchange of information among the participants concerning the use of ASME code cases.

Attached is the memorandum approving the abstract. Expenses for the trip are estimated to be approximately \$1300.00

Approval: _____
Jack R. Strosnider, Jr.

Attachments: As stated
DISTRIBUTION: EMCB RF

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\PVP paper approval.wpd

INDICATE IN BOX: "C"=COPY W/O ATTACHMENT/ENCLOSURE, "E"=COPY W/ATT/ENCL, "N"=NO COPY

OFFICE	EMCB:DE		EMCB:DE		EMCB:DE		DD:DE		D:DE	
NAME	SAli:saa		EJSullivan:ejs		WHBateman:whb		RHWessman:rhw		JStrosnider:jrs	
DATE	12 / 19 /00		12/20/00		12 / 21 /01		01 /18 /01		1 / 18 /01	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Implementation of ASME Section XI, Appendix VIII, and 10 CFR 50.55a

Division of Reactor Safety, Regulatory Commission

Michael C. Modes

Abstract

The Code of Federal Regulations Title 10

Introduction

In 1996 a sampling of individuals

Submitted to the American Society of Mechanical Engineers for publication in the Proceedings of the Springonference of P.P. .ecause changes may be made prior to publication, the preprint is made a..ailable .ith the understanding that it .ill not be cited or reproduced .ithout the permission of the author.

regularly and frequently, and the NRC will not be able to keep up with the workload. The NRC will not be able to keep up with the workload. The NRC will not be able to keep up with the workload.

Accelerated Implementation

The rule describes

faithful to the system. The NRC will not be able to keep up with the workload. The NRC will not be able to keep up with the workload. The NRC will not be able to keep up with the workload.

Requalification

When proposing the rule the NRC

Use of Notches

It is well known

45 Degree Flaws

The qualification specimens for

Single-Sided Access

Studies have shown that

has been in place since 1971. It is not a rule, as intended, essentially

Conclusion

This rule took over 8 years to