

April 2, 2001

Mr. Michael A. Balduzzi  
Vice President, Operations  
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation  
185 Old Ferry Road  
P.O. Box 7002  
Brattleboro, VT 05302-7002

SUBJECT: VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION - ENVIRONMENTAL  
ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT FOR AN  
EXEMPTION FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR PART 50,  
APPENDIX G (TAC NO. MB0763)

Dear Mr. Balduzzi:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for an exemption from the requirements of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, (10 CFR) Part 50, Appendix G for the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station (Vermont Yankee). This action is in response to your letter dated December 19, 2000, that submitted new pressure-temperature (P-T) limits for Vermont Yankee. The new P-T limits were developed using the methodologies in the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code Case N-640, "Alternative Reference Fracture Toughness for Development of P-T Limit Curves for ASME Section XI, Division 1," instead of the methodologies in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G.

An exemption request for Code Case N-588, "Alternative to Reference Flaw Orientation of Appendix G for Circumferential Welds in the Reactor Vessels," was also submitted in a letter dated December 19, 2000; however, it was subsequently withdrawn by a letter dated February 13, 2001.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

Robert M. Pulsifer, Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-271

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

April 2, 2001

Mr. Michael A. Balduzzi  
Vice President, Operations  
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation  
185 Old Ferry Road  
P.O. Box 7002  
Brattleboro, VT 05302-7002

SUBJECT: VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION - ENVIRONMENTAL  
ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT FOR AN  
EXEMPTION FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR PART 50,  
APPENDIX G (TAC NO. MB0763)

Dear Mr. Balduzzi:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for an exemption from the requirements of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, (10 CFR) Part 50, Appendix G for the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station (Vermont Yankee). This action is in response to your letter dated December 19, 2000, that submitted new pressure-temperature (P-T) limits for Vermont Yankee. The new P-T limits were developed using the methodologies in the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code Case N-640, "Alternative Reference Fracture Toughness for Development of P-T Limit Curves for ASME Section XI, Division 1," instead of the methodologies in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G.

An exemption request for Code Case N-588, "Alternative to Reference Flaw Orientation of Appendix G for Circumferential Welds in the Reactor Vessels," was also submitted in a letter dated December 19, 2000; however, it was subsequently withdrawn by a letter dated February 13, 2001.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

Robert M. Pulsifer, Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-271

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC PDI-2 R/F OGC ACRS C. Carpenter  
E. Adensam T. Clark G. Meyer, RI J. Clifford R. Pulsifer

Accession Number: ML010650229

OFFICE	PM:LPD1	LA:LPD1	RGEB	OGC	SC:LPD1
NAME	RPulsifer	MO'Brien for TClark	BZalcman for CCarpenter	RHoefling	JClifford
DATE	3/19/01	03/15/01	3/15/01	3/28/01	3/29/01

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region I  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. David R. Lewis  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge  
2300 N Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20037-1128

Ms. Christine S. Salembier, Commissioner  
Vermont Department of Public Service  
112 State Street  
Montpelier, VT 05620-2601

Mr. Michael H. Dworkin, Chairman  
Public Service Board  
State of Vermont  
112 State Street  
Montpelier, VT 05620-2701

Chairman, Board of Selectmen  
Town of Vernon  
P.O. Box 116  
Vernon, VT 05354-0116

Mr. Richard E. McCullough  
Operating Experience Coordinator  
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station  
P.O. Box 157  
Governor Hunt Road  
Vernon, VT 05354

G. Dana Bisbee, Esq.  
Deputy Attorney General  
33 Capitol Street  
Concord, NH 03301-6937

Chief, Safety Unit  
Office of the Attorney General  
One Ashburton Place, 19th Floor  
Boston, MA 02108

Ms. Deborah B. Katz  
Box 83  
Shelburne Falls, MA 01370

Mr. Raymond N. McCandless  
Vermont Department of Health  
Division of Occupational  
and Radiological Health  
108 Cherry Street  
Burlington, VT 05402

Mr. Gautam Sen  
Licensing Manager  
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power  
Corporation  
185 Old Ferry Road  
P.O. Box 7002  
Brattleboro, VT 05302-7002

Resident Inspector  
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P.O. Box 176  
Vernon, VT 05354

Director, Massachusetts Emergency  
Management Agency  
ATTN: James Muckerheide  
400 Worcester Rd.  
Framingham, MA 01702-5399

Jonathan M. Block, Esq.  
Main Street  
P. O. Box 566  
Putney, VT 05346-0566

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION

DOCKET NO. 50-271

VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF

NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 50, Appendix G for Facility Operating License No. DPR-28, issued to Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation (VYNPC, or the licensee) for operation of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station (Vermont Yankee), located in Windham County, Vermont.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of the Proposed Action:

Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 50, Appendix G, requires that pressure-temperature (P-T) limits be established for reactor pressure vessels (RPVs) during normal operating and hydrostatic or leak rate testing conditions. Specifically, 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, states, "The appropriate requirements on both the pressure-temperature limits and the minimum permissible temperature must be met for all conditions." Appendix G of 10 CFR Part 50 specifies that the requirements for these limits are the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (Code), Section XI, Appendix G Limits.

The proposed action would exempt Vermont Yankee from application of specific requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, and substitute use of ASME Code Case N-640. Code Case N-640 permits the use of an alternate reference fracture toughness ( $K_{IC}$  fracture toughness curve instead of  $K_{Ia}$  fracture toughness curve) for reactor vessel materials in determining the P-T limits. Since the  $K_{IC}$  fracture toughness curve shown in ASME Section XI, Appendix A, Figure A-2200-1 (the  $K_{IC}$  fracture toughness curve) provides greater allowable fracture toughness than the corresponding  $K_{Ia}$  fracture toughness curve of ASME Section XI, Appendix G, Figure G-2210-1 (the  $K_{Ia}$  fracture toughness curve), using Code Case N-640 for establishing the P-T limits would be less conservative than the methodology currently endorsed by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, and an exemption to apply the Code Case would be required by 10 CFR 50.60.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated December 19, 2000.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

ASME Code Case N-640 is needed to revise the method used to determine the reactor coolant system (RCS) P-T limits, since continued use of the present curves unnecessarily restricts the P-T operating window. Since the RCS P-T operating window is defined by the P-T operating and test limit curves developed in accordance with the ASME Section XI, Appendix G procedure, continued operation of Vermont Yankee with these P-T curves without the relief provided by ASME Code Case N-640 would unnecessarily require the RPV to maintain a high temperature during the pressure tests. Consequently, steam leak hazards would continue to be one of the safety concerns for personnel conducting inspections in the primary containment. Implementation of the proposed P-T curves, as allowed by ASME Code Case N-640, does not

significantly reduce the margin of safety and would eliminate steam vapor hazards by allowing inspections in primary containment to be conducted at lower coolant temperature.

In the associated exemption, the staff has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), the underlying purpose of the regulation will continue to be served by the implementation of this Code Case.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the exemption described above would provide an adequate margin of safety against brittle failure of the Vermont Yankee reactor vessel.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological environmental impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impacts. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the “no-action” alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station dated December 1974.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on March 3, 2001, the staff consulted with the Vermont State official, William K. Sherman of the Department of Public Service, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated December 19, 2000. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the

ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of April 2001.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

**/RA/**

Robert Pulsifer, Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation