• •

# ASSESSMENT OF CONSERVATISM IN THE 1981 WESTINGHOUSE LARGE BREAK LOCA EVALUATION MODEL WITH BASH

# PRESENTATION TO USNRC MARCH 6, 2001

## RICHARD R. SCHOFF WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC (412) 374-5685

PAGE 1 OF 23

#### <u>PURPOSE</u>

TO ASSESS THE CONSERVATISM THAT EXISTS IN THE 1981 WESTINGHOUSE LARGE BREAK LOCA (LBLOCA) EVALUATION MODEL WITH BASH (BASH-EM), IN RESPONSE TO THE REQUEST ISSUED BY THE USNRC

- <u>1.</u> <u>BASH-EM CODE STREAM</u>
- MAIN CODES ARE SATAN-VI, BASH, AND LOCBART
  - SATAN-VI CALCULATES THE RCS BLOWDOWN THERMAL-HYDRAULIC TRANSIENT
  - BASH CALCULATES THE RCS REFILL AND REFLOOD THERMAL-HYDRAULIC TRANSIENTS
  - LOCBART CALCULATES THE HOT ASSEMBLY AND HOT ROD CLADDING HEATUP FOR ALL THREE PHASES OF THE LBLOCA TRANSIENT
- BASH CREATED BY MERGING THE BART-A1 CORE HEAT TRANSFER CODE WITH A VERSION OF THE NOTRUMP SYSTEM THERMAL-HYDRAULIC CODE
- LOCBART CREATED BY MERGING THE BART-A1 CORE HEAT TRANSFER CODE WITH THE LOCTA-IV FUEL ROD CONDUCTION CODE

- 2. LOCBART VALIDATION AGAINST EXPERIMENTS
- LOCBART UPDATED IN 1992 TO CORRECT LOGIC ERROR IN SPACER GRID HEAT TRANSFER MODEL
- CORRECTED CODE BENCHMARKED AGAINST 12 ROD BUNDLE REFLOOD HEAT TRANSFER EXPERIMENTS (WCAP-10484-P-A ADDENDUM 1)
  - FLECHT SEASET (5 TESTS)
  - FLECHT COSINE (4 TESTS)
  - G2 (3 TESTS)
- FOR SIMPLE EGG-CRATE GRIDS (FLECHT), CORRECTED MODEL PREDICTED PCTs NEAR THE MEAN OF THE DATA, WITH A SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE CAPTURE FRACTION
- FOR PRODUCTION-TYPE MIXING VANE GRIDS (G2), MODEL BOUNDED NEARLY ALL OF THE DATA (FIGURES 1-4)
  - HEAT TRANSFER BENEFIT OF MIXING VANES EVIDENT IN TESTS BUT NOT FULLY REALIZED BY THE MODEL



Figure 102. Maximum Clad Temperature Rise (PCT-TO) Versus Elevation for G2 562.





Figure 111. Maximum Clad Temperature Rise (PCT-TO) Versus Elevation for G2 564.











- <u>3.</u> <u>COMPARISON OF BASH-EM AND BELOCA RESULTS</u>
- BASH-EM CALCULATIONS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED FOR 2 PLANTS THAT ARE NOW LICENSED WITH BELOCA:
  - 3 LOOP, DRY CONTAINMENT (PLANT "A")
  - 4 LOOP, ICE CONDENSER (PLANT "B")
- USED STANDARD BASH-EM METHODOLOGY TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, WITH INPUTS SELECTED BASED ON BELOCA VALUES WHERE APPROPRIATE
- ALLOWS REASONABLY DIRECT COMPARISON OF BASH-EM PCT AND BELOCA 50<sup>TH</sup> AND 95<sup>TH</sup> PERCENTILE PCTs

DI GIT DI C	DELOCA		
BASH-EM	BELOCA		
General Information			
3			
17×17			
DRY			
T <sub>HOT</sub>			
Core Power / Peaking Factors / SGTP			
2830.5	2830.5		
2.5	2.5		
1.7	1.7		
20	20		
ECCS Information			
1 Train	1 Train		
27	27		
600	600-680		
965	965-995		
120	90-120		
	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } BASH-EM & \hline ation & \hline ation & \hline ation & \hline ation & \hline 17> & \hline DF & \hline T_H & \hline T_H & \hline actors / SGTP & \hline 2830.5 & \hline 2.5 & \hline 1.7 & \hline 20 & \hline tion & \hline 1 Train & \hline 27 & \hline 600 & \hline 965 & \hline 120 & \hline \end{array}$		

# PLANT "A" INITIAL / BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

# PLANT "B" INITIAL / BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Parameter	BASH-EM	BELOCA	
General Information			
# Loops	4		
Fuel Array	17×17		
Containment Design	ICE		
Upper Head Temperature	T <sub>COLD</sub>		
Core Power / Peaking Factors / SGTP			
Core Power w/Uncertainty (MWt)	3479.2	3479.2	
F <sub>Q</sub>	2.5	2.5	
$F_{\Delta H}$	1.65	1.65	
Maximum SGTP Level (%)	10	10	
ECCS Information			
Single Failure Assumption	1 Train	1 Train	
SI Delay Time w/LOOP (s)	32	32	
Accumulator Pressure (psia)	600	600-705	
Accumulator Water Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> /acc)	1050	1005-1095	
Accumulator Water Temp. (°F)	120	100-130	

.

L



## FIGURE 6



1

. \_ \_ \_

•

.

•

[

÷

]<sup>(a,c)</sup>

- 4. TIMING OF DOWNCOMER (DC) BOILING
- <u>WCOBRA/TRAC PREDICTS EARLIER ONSET OF DC</u> BOILING THAN BASH-EM
  - BASH USES 2-VOLUME FLUID NODE MODEL IN DC (FIGURE 7; WCAP-10266-P-A REVISION 2)
- HOWEVER, THE FACTORS THAT CONTRIBUTE TO EARLIER DC BOILING IN BELOCA ALSO ENHANCE CORE HEAT TRANSFER, PROVIDING SUBSTANTIAL OFFSETTING MARGIN
  - 1. ADDITIONAL CONDENSATION DURING BLOWDOWN LEADS TO EARLIER END OF BYPASS, SHORTER REFILL
    - BENEFIT FOR REALISTIC CALCULATION (ESTIMATED 180°F IN NUREG/IA-0127)
  - 2. NO REQUIREMENT TO SUBTRACT WATER INJECTED PRIOR TO END OF BYPASS
    - BENEFIT FOR REALISTIC CALCULATION, THOUGH REMAINING WATER IS AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURE
  - 3. LOWER CORE STORED ENERGY AT BEGINNING OF REFLOOD LEADS TO REWET OF LARGE REGIONS OF THE CORE, AND CORE/DC LEVEL OSCILLATIONS
    - IMPROVES CORE HEAT TRANSFER, BUT MIXES SATURATED WATER FROM CORE WITH SUBCOOLED WATER IN LOWER PLENUM AND DC

#### FIGURE 7

- i = intact cold leg node (homogeneous)
- jm = lower downcomer node (volume pair)
- jn = upper downcomer node (volume pair)
- k = containment node (homogeneous)



DOWNCOMER



#### PAGE 15 OF 23

• LOCBART CALCULATIONS FOR PLANTS "A" AND "B"

]<sup>(a,c)</sup>

• FIGURE 8 SHOWS [

]<sup>(a,c)</sup>

FOR PLANT "A", DUE IN PART TO CONSERVATIVE MODELING AND APPENDIX K REQUIREMENTS

• FIGURE 9 SHOWS THE DC LIQUID LEVEL DURING REFLOOD FOR PLANT "A"; EXCESSIVE LOSS OF ACCUMULATOR WATER LEADS TO SEVERE DC UNDERFILL EARLY IN REFLOOD -

## FIGURE 8



•





• SENSITIVITY OF BASH TO KEY PARAMETERS

. .

- INCREASING CONTAINMENT PRESSURE DELAYS DC BOILING (FIGURE 10)
- DECREASING INITIAL ACCUMULATOR WATER TEMPERATURE DELAYS DC BOILING (FIGURE 11)
- INCREASING PUMPED INJECTION FLOW AFTER ACCUMULATOR EMPTY TIME DELAYS DC BOILING (FIGURE 12)
  - \* NO DC BOILING PREDICTED TO OCCUR FOR INCREASES OF 80% AND 100% (E.G., MAX SI)

## FIGURE 10



,

.

.



## FIGURE 12



1

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

- VALIDATION OF LOCBART SPACER GRID MODEL DEMONSTRATES CONSERVATISM IN CORE REFLOOD HEAT TRANSFER PREDICTIONS
- [

]<sup>(a,c)</sup>

- FACTORS THAT LEAD TO EARLIER DC BOILING IN <u>W</u>COBRA/TRAC ALSO ENHANCE CORE COOLING, PROVIDING SIGNIFICANT OFFSETTING MARGIN
- BASED ON THE PRECEDING INFORMATION, BASH-EM UPDATES TO EXPLICITLY MODEL DC BOILING ARE NOT WARRANTED