

JAN 03 1986

Docket No. 50-244

MEMORANDUM FOR: Donna Nottingham  
Division of BWR Licensing

FROM: George E. Lear, Director  
PWR Project Directorate #1  
Division of PWR Licensing-A

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR PUBLICATION IN BIWEEKLY FR NOTICE - NOTICE  
OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY  
OPERATING LICENSE AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS  
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING  
(TAC 66034)

Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation, Docket No. 50-244, R. E. Ginna  
Nuclear Power Plant, Wayne County, New York

Date of amendment request: October 22, 1985, and modified on December 23,  
1985

Description of amendment request: The proposed changes to the Ginna  
Technical Specifications (TS) provide new limiting conditions of operation  
(LCO) and surveillance requirements for

1. the 48 inch purge system; and
2. the 8 inch mini-purge system.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: The  
Commission has provided guidance concerning the application of the  
standards for determining whether a no significant hazards consideration  
exists by providing certain examples (April 6, 1983, 48 FR 14870).  
Example (ii) of actions not likely to involve a significant hazards  
consideration involves a change that constitutes an additional limitation,  
restriction or control not presently included in the Technical  
Specification; for example, a more stringent limiting condition of operation  
or surveillance requirement. The current TS allows operation of the 48 inch  
purge system during power operation. The proposed change limits the use of  
the 48 inch purge system to non-power modes and adds LCOs and surveillance  
requirements for a second containment mini-purge system.

8601090532 860103  
PDR ADOCK 05000244  
P PDR

A surveillance requirement for functional testing of the mini-purge system has been added. All of the listed changes are either new T.S. requirements or impose more stringent requirements than currently exist in the T.S. On this basis, the staff proposes to determine that the requested action would not involve a significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: Rochester Public Library, 115 South Avenue, Rochester, New York 14610.

Attorney for licensee: Harry H. Voigt, Esquire, LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby and MacRae, 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W., Suite 1100, Washington, D.C. 20036.

NRC Project Director: George E. Lear.



George E. Lear, Director  
PWR Project Directorate #1  
Division of PWR Licensing-A

~~cc's next page~~

Office: LA/PAD#1  
Surname: PShuttleworth *MSA*  
Date: 1/2/86

PM/PAD#1  
CM *EV* *jm*  
1/3/85

D/PAD#1: PWRL-A  
GLear *lv*  
1/3/8

JAN 03 1966

Distribution Copies:

Docket File 50-244  
NRC PDR  
Local PDR  
PAD#1 r/f  
TNovak, Actg. Dir.  
JScinto w/incoming  
GLear  
JKnight  
GLainas  
DCrutchfield  
PShuttleworth  
CMiller  
OELD  
LHarmon  
OPA  
ACRS (10)  
PAD1 plant-specific file

JAN 03 1986

3  
-4-

Distribution Copies:

Docket File 50-244  
NRC PDR  
Local PDR  
PAD#1 r/f  
TNovak, Actg. Dir.  
JScinto w/incoming  
GLear  
JKnight  
GLainas  
DCrutchfield  
PShuttleworth  
CMiller  
OELD  
LHarmon  
OPA  
ACRS (10)  
PAD1 plant-specific file



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555  
INITIAL

NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION  
AND NOTICING ACTION

Docket No. 50-244

Facility: R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant

Licensee: Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation

Date of application October 22, 1985, and modified on December 23, 1985

Request for: The proposed changes to the Ginna Technical Specifications (TS) provide new limiting conditions of operation (LCO) and surveillance requirements for

1. the 48 inch purge system; and
2. the 8 inch mini-purge system.

(See attached notice or press release for more details.)

Initial Determination

- Proposed determination - amendment request involves no significant hazards considerations (NSHC).
- Final determination - amendment request involves significant hazards considerations (SHC).

Basis for Determination:

- Licensee's NSHC discussion has been reviewed and is accepted. See attached amendment request.
- Basis for this determination is presented in the attached notice.
- Other (state):

B601090541 860103  
PDR ADCK 05000244  
P CF

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

Initial Noticing Action: (Attach appropriate notice or input for biweekly FRN)

1. ( X ) Biweekly FRN. Notice of opportunity for hearing (30 days) and request for comments on proposed NSHC determination - biweekly FRN input is attached (Attachment 8).
2. ( ) Individual FRN (30 days). Same notice matter as above. Time does not allow waiting for next biweekly FRN (Attachments 9a and 9b).
3. ( ) Local media notice FRN. Valid exigent circumstances exist (evaluated below). Local media notice requesting public comments on proposed NSHC determination is attached (Attachment 10).
4. ( ) No notice. A valid emergency situation exists (evaluated below) and there is no time for public notice on proposed NSHC determination (No attachment).
5. ( ) Individual FRN (30 days). Licensee's claim of exigent or emergency circumstances is invalid (evaluated below). Notice of opportunity for hearing (30 days) and request for comments on proposed NSHC determination is attached (Attachments 9a and 9b). Letter of explanation to licensee is also attached.
6. ( ) Individual FRN (30 days). The amendment request involves SHC. Notice of opportunity for prior hearing is attached (Attachment 5). Letter to licensee also attached.
7. ( ) Individual Short FRN. Valid emergency circumstances exist (evaluated below). There is no time for the usual 30-day FRN (Attachment 16).

Evaluation of exigent or emergency circumstances (if applicable):

Approvals:

|  | <u>Date</u>   |
|--|---------------|
| 1. <u>Charles L. Miller</u> <i>Charles L. Miller</i><br>(Project Manager) <i>CYM</i> | <u>1/3/82</u> |
| 2. <u>George E. Lear</u> <i>George Lear</i><br>(Project Director)                    | <u>1/3/82</u> |
| 3. _____<br>(OELD)   | _____         |

Additional approval (for noticing action types 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7)

|   |               |
|---|---------------|
| 4. <u>George E. Lear</u> <i>George Lear</i><br>(Director) | <u>1/3/82</u> |
|---|---------------|

Additional approval (for noticing action types 4 and 5)

|   |       |
|---|-------|
| 5. _____<br>(Director, Division of PWR Licensing-A) | _____ |
|---|-------|

Attachment: As indicated

cc: Original - Docket File  
PH  
LA