


MEETING WITH AMERGEN

LICENSING ISSUES

DECEMBER 20, 2000


<u>NAME</u>	<u>TITLE, ORGANIZATION</u>
Helen N. Pastis	NRC/NRR/DLPM/LPDI-1
George B. Rombolo III	LICENSING TMI/OC, AMERGEN
Richard Pinney	NUCLEAR ENGINEER/NJ DEP
E. G. Adensam	NRC/NRR/DLPM.LPDI
Marsha Gamberoni	NRR/DLPM/PDI-1
Timothy G. Colburn	NRR/DLPM/PDI-1
James A. Hutton	LICENSING MID-ATLANTIC REGIONAL OPERATING GROUP
Jon B. Hopkins	DLPM/PD3-2

Roles of AmerGen/AmerGen Vermont Resources as Related to GENCO



LICENSING ACTION MILESTONES

TO MEET ONE YEAR AGE GOAL





NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Meeting Date: December 20, 2000 Meeting Title: Oyster Creek Licensing Issues

The NRC recognizes the public's interest in the proper regulation of nuclear activities and is committed to understanding and including public input into our decisions. The NRC seeks to elicit public involvement early in the regulatory process so that safety concerns that may affect a community can be resolved in a timely and practical manner. This process is considered vital to assuring the public that the NRC is making sound, balanced decisions about nuclear safety. If you would like more information about NRC, please visit our web site at www.nrc.gov.

1. Why did you attend this meeting?
 - a. I am a local resident
 - b. I work for an interested organization
 - c. I am concerned about environmental issues
 - d. I am concerned about economic issues
 - e. Other _____
2. Were you familiar with the meeting topic prior to coming today?
 - a. Very
 - b. Somewhat
 - c. Not at all
3. How did you find out about this meeting?
 - a. NRC mailing list
 - b. Newspaper
 - c. Radio/TV
 - d. Internet
 - e. Other requested
4. Have you attended an NRC meeting before?
 - a. Never
 - b. 1 or 2 times
 - c. 3 to 5 times
 - d. More than 5 times
5. Was sufficient notice given in advance of the meeting?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
6. How well do you feel you understand the NRC's role with regard to the issues discussed today?
 - a. Very well
 - b. Somewhat
 - c. Not at all
7. Were you able to find all of the supporting information you wanted prior to the meeting?
 - a. Yes
 - b. I did not try to find any information
 - c. No
8. Was the purpose of the meeting made clear in the preliminary information you received?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
9. In your opinion, were people's questions answered clearly, completely and candidly?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
10. Was the written material useful in understanding the topic?
 - a. Very
 - b. Somewhat
 - c. Not at all
11. Were NRC's presentations and material presented in clear, understandable language?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
12. In your opinion, did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
13. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?
 - a. Greatly
 - b. Somewhat
 - c. Not at all
14. How well did NRC staff respond to your concerns at this meeting?
 - a. My concerns were directly addressed
 - b. I was provided an alternate source of information to address my concerns
 - c. I did not raise my concerns at this meeting
 - d. I raised my concerns but am not satisfied with the response
15. Was adequate time allotted for discussion with NRC staff on the topic of today's meeting?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
16. How satisfied are you overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?
 - a. Very
 - b. Somewhat
 - c. Not at all
17. Were the next steps in this process clearly explained, including how you can continue to be involved?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No

If you would like someone to contact you, please provide your name and phone number or email.

Name JAMES A. HUTTON Telephone 610-765-5520 E-Mail jim.hutton@exeloncorp.co

NRC is striving to improve its communications with the public and would appreciate any additional comments you may have on today's meeting:

Area with horizontal dashed lines for writing comments.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001




NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

HELEN N. PASTIS
MAIL STOP O-8-C-2
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20277-2904





NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Meeting Date: December 20, 2000 Meeting Title: Oyster Creek Licensing Issues

The NRC recognizes the public's interest in the proper regulation of nuclear activities and is committed to understanding and including public input into our decisions. The NRC seeks to elicit public involvement early in the regulatory process so that safety concerns that may affect a community can be resolved in a timely and practical manner. This process is considered vital to assuring the public that the NRC is making sound, balanced decisions about nuclear safety. If you would like more information about NRC, please visit our web site at www.nrc.gov.

1. Why did you attend this meeting?

- a. I am a local resident
- b. I work for an interested organization
- c. I am concerned about environmental issues
- d. I am concerned about economic issues
- e. Other

2. Were you familiar with the meeting topic prior to coming today?

- a. Very
- b. Somewhat
- c. Not at all

3. How did you find out about this meeting?

- a. NRC mailing list
- b. Newspaper
- c. Radio/TV
- d. Internet
- e. Other PM discussion

4. Have you attended an NRC meeting before?

- a. Never
- b. 1 or 2 times
- c. 3 to 5 times
- d. More than 5 times

5. Was sufficient notice given in advance of the meeting?

- a. Yes
- b. No

6. How well do you feel you understand the NRC's role with regard to the issues discussed today?

- a. Very well
- b. Somewhat
- c. Not at all

7. Were you able to find all of the supporting information you wanted prior to the meeting?

- a. Yes
- b. I did not try to find any information
- c. No

8. Was the purpose of the meeting made clear in the preliminary information you received?

- a. Yes
- b. No

9. In your opinion, were people's questions answered clearly, completely and candidly?

- a. Yes
- b. No

10. Was the written material useful in understanding the topic?

- a. Very
- b. Somewhat
- c. Not at all

11. Were NRC's presentations and material presented in clear, understandable language?

- a. Yes
- b. No

12. In your opinion, did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?

- a. Yes
- b. No

13. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?

- a. Greatly
- b. Somewhat
- c. Not at all

14. How well did NRC staff respond to your concerns at this meeting?

- a. My concerns were directly addressed
- b. I was provided an alternate source of information to address my concerns
- c. I did not raise my concerns at this meeting
- d. I raised my concerns but am not satisfied with the response

15. Was adequate time allotted for discussion with NRC staff on the topic of today's meeting?

- a. Yes
- b. No

16. How satisfied are you overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?

- a. Very
- b. Somewhat
- c. Not at all

17. Were the next steps in this process clearly explained, including how you can continue to be involved?

- a. Yes
- b. No

If you would like someone to contact you, please provide your name and phone number or email.

Name _____ Telephone _____ E-Mail _____

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

NRC is striving to improve its communications with the public and would appreciate any additional comments you may have on today's meeting:


Lined area for handwritten comments.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES



BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

HELEN N. PASTIS
MAIL STOP O-8-C-2
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20277-2904

