Docket No. 50-244

Mr. Leon D. White, Jr.
Vice President
Electric and Steam Production
Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation
89 East Avenue
Rochester, New York 14649

Dear Mr. White:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 22 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-18 for the R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant in response to your request dated October 11, 1978, (transmitted by letter dated October 16, 1978).

The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications relating to the offsite and onsite management organization.

The proposed changes to the organizational structure would involve the following:

- 1. The Superintendent of Nuclear Production would replace the Division Superintendent of Electric and Steam Production;
- 2. The Vice President Engineering and Construction would be removed from the organization. The Chief Engineer would then report to the Executive Vice President in place of the Vice President Engineering and Construction;
- 3. The Nuclear Safety Audit and Review Board (NSARB) would be responsible to the Executive Vice President instead of the Chairman of the Board:
- 4. A new position Vice President Rates and Government Affairs would be established. The R. E. Ginna Security Organization would report to this Vice President through a Staff Assistant for the R. E. Ginna Security Department and a Manager of Security;

7901250069

office <b>≯</b> .					·	
: <b>~</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	-	,	•••••	•
DATE≯			ς,	1		
	F		/			

- 5. The Results and Test Engineer position would be changed to the Results and Test Supervisor. This position and the nuclear engineer would then report through a new position, Technical Engineer, to the Assistant Superintendent instead of directly to the Assistant Superintendent as in the organizational structure currently reflected in the Technical Specifications. Also a new position, Fire Protection and Safety Coordinator reporting to the Assistant Superintendent would be created. The position of Office Supervisor would be deleted; and
- 6. The Plant Onsite Review Committee (PORC) would be expanded to include the new position of Technical Engineer and changed to reflect a new position title, Results and Test Supervisor, in place of the Results and Test Engineer.

Since the changes to the offsite and onsite organization do not decrease the effectiveness of the technical support for the operation of the plant, and since the changes to the plant staff meet the NRC position described in Regulatory Guide 1.33, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Operation)" we conclude that the changes are acceptable. Moreover, we also find that the Security Organization change does not decrease the effectiveness of Security Management and is therefore acceptable.

The amendment applies to the organizational structure and therefore does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level, and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and pursuant to 10 CFR \$51.5(d)(4) that an environmental impact statement, or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Since the amendment applies to the organizational structure, it does not involve significant new safety information of a type not considered by a previous Commission safety review of the facility. It does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident, does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin,

Office	***************************************	 ·		
SURNAME				
DATE		,		
		 	1	 *************************************

082

and therefore does not involve a significant hazards consideration. We have also concluded that there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by this action.

A copy of the related Notice of Issuance is also enclosed.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by: Dennis L. Ziemann

Dennis L. Ziemann, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #2 Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 22 to DPR-18

2. Notice of Issuance

cc w/enclosures: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket(50-244)

NRC PDR

Local PDR

ORB#2 RDG

VStello.

**BGrimes** 

**HSmith** 

JShea

**OELD** 

01&E(5)

BJones (4)

BScharf(15)

STS Group

BHarless'

DEisenhut

ACRS(16)

CMiles, OPA

RDiggs

DDavis

CGaskin

RC1ark

TERA

**JRBuchanan** 

	1			116/	150X
OFFICE→	DOR:98B#2	DOR:ORB#20	DOR:RSLB	DOR: BSLB OFED	DOR:ORB#2
<b>S</b> URNAME <b>≯</b>	HSmith:sah	JShea X	(Gaskin	TKVellai	
DATE	1/178	1 /30/78	1-14/78	12/4/78 / /// 178	DLZiemann
NBC FORM 318 (9-76) NBCM 0240					

Docket No. 50-244

Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation ATTN: Mr. Leon D. White, Jr. Vice President Electric and Steam Production 89 East Avenue Rochester. New York 14649

#### Gentlemen:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. Operating License No. DPR-18 for the R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant in response to your request dated October 11, 1978, (transmitted by letter dated October 16, 1978).

The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications relating to the offsite and onsite management organization.

The proposed changes to the organizational structure would involve the following:

The Superintendent of Naclear Production would replace the Division Superintendent of Electric and Steam Production;

The Vice President Engineering and Production would be removed Distriction The Change Production would be removed Distriction from the organization. The Chief Engineer would then report to the Executive Vice President in place of the Vice President Engineering and Construction:

The Nuclear Safety Audit and Review Board (NSARB) would be responsible to the Executive Vice President instead of the Chairman of the Board:

A new position Vice President Rates and Government Affairs would be established. The R. E. Ginna Security Organization would report to this Vice President through a Staff Assistant for the R. E. Ginna Security Department and a Manager of Security:

	•					
office>	•••••					
•		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
SURNAME	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		**********************		••••••	*************
DATE		*****************				
NPC PORTS are to					••••••	******************************

S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1978

- The Results and Test Engineer position would be changed to the Results and Test Supervisor. This position and the nuclear engineer would then report through a new position, Technical Engineer, to the Assistant Superintendent instead of directly to the Assistant Superintendent as in the organizational structure currently reflected in the Technical Specifications. Also a new position, Fire Protection and Safety Coordinator reporting to the Assistant Superintendent would be created. The position of Office Supervisor would be deleted; and
- The Plant Onsite Review Committee (PORC) would be expanded to include the new position of Technical Engineer and changed to reflect a new position title, Results and Test Supervisor, in place of the Results and Test/Engineer.

We conclude that the change to the offsite and onsite organization does not decrease the effectiveness of the technical support for the operation of the plant, and that the changes to the plant staff meet the NRC position described in Regulatory Guide 1.33, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Operation)/" Moreover, we find that the Security Organization change does not decrease the effectiveness of Security Management and is therefore acceptable. On this basis, we conclude that the proposed changes are acceptable.

The amendment applies to the organizational structure and therefore does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level, and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) that an environmental impact statement, or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Since the amendment applies to the organizational structure, it does not involvé significant new safety information of a type not considered by a previous Commission safety review of the facility. It does not involve/a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident, does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin,

C FORM 318 (9-7	6) NRCM 0240	<b>Å</b> v.s.	GOVERNMENT PRINTING	OFFICE: 1070 - 040 - 000	••••••••	
DATE≯		***************************************				*************
<b>S</b> URNANE <b>&gt;</b>		••-••••••••		••••		3
			<u> </u>		•••••••	
ofrice <b>→</b>	*****************	••••				4/10
1						



## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

Sove

Docket No. 50-244

Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation

ATTN: Mr. Leon D. White, Jr.

Vice President

Electric and Steam Production

89 East Avenue

Rochester, New York 14649

#### Gentlemen:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-18 for the R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant in response to your request dated October 11, 1978, (transmitted by letter dated October 16, 1978).

The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications relating to the offsite and onsite management organization.

The proposed changes to the organizational structure would involve the following:

- The Superintendent of Nuclear Production would replace the Division Superintendent of Electric and Steam Production;
- 2. The Vice President Engineering and Production would be removed from the organization. The Chief Engineer would then report to the Executive Vice President in place of the Vice President Engineering and Construction;
- 3. The Nuclear Safety Audit and Review Board (NSARB) would be responsible to the Executive Vice President instead of the Chairman of the Board:
- 4. A new position Vice President Rates and Government Affairs would be established. The R. E. Ginna Security Organization would report to this Vice President through a Staff Assistant for the R. E. Ginna Security Department and a Manager of Security;

- The Results and Test Engineer position would be changed to the Results and Test Supervisor. This position and the nuclear engineer would then report through a new position, Technical Engineer, to the Assistant Superintendent instead of directly to the Assistant Superintendent as in the organizational structure currently reflected in the Technical Specifications. Also a new position, Fire Protection and Safety Coordinator reporting to the Assistant Superintendent would be created. The position of Office Supervisor would be deleted; and
- The Plant Onsite Review Committee (PORC) would be expanded to include the new position of Technical Engineer and changed to reflect a new position title, Results and Test Supervisor, in place of the Results and Test Engineer. \_ Since

We conclude that Athe changes to the offsite and onsite organization does not decrease the effectiveness of the technical support for the operation of the plant, and that the changes to the plant staff meet the NRC position described in Regulatory Guide 1,33, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Operation)." Moreover, we find that the Security acceptable. Management and is therefore accortable. Management and is therefore acceptable. On this basis, we conclude that the proposed changes are acceptable.

> The amendment applies to the organizational structure and therefore does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level, and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4)that an environmental impact statement, or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Since the amendment applies to the organizational structure, it does not involve significant new safety information of a type not considered by a previous Commission safety review of the facility. It does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident, does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin,

December 21, 1978

Note to Dennis L. Zeiman DOR: ORB #2

R. E. GINNA NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-18

- 1. In the first unnumbered paragraph on page of the letter (I understand it to constitute the safety evaluation), explain the bases for the several conclusions expressed.
- Please note the comment on the first page of the yellow concurrence copy of the letter.

Edward G. Ketchen Attorney, OELD

X 2 7502

Low Jan

Author

A

Milliam 0. Miller, Chief License fee Management Branch, ADM  Amended Form Date: 1/0/78  FACILITY AMENDMENT CLASSIFICATION   DOCKET NO(S). 50 - 24/8	Re: Caline
Amended Form Date: 1/3/8  FACILITY AMENDMENT CLASSIFICATION DOCKET NO(S). 50 - 24/9 RK \$992  Licensee: Reclassification Docket No(S). 50 - 24/9 RK \$992  Licensee No(s): DPR - /8  Request Dated: PER	William O. Miller, Chief License Fee Management Change
Plant Name and Unit(s): Jihna  Licensee No(s): DPR-18  Request Dated: Plant Name Assigned TAC No. 8992  Licensee's Fee Chastication: Class I , II , III , IV , V , VI , None  Amendment No. Date of Issuance 1/17/79  1. This request has been reviewed by DDR/DPM in accordance with Section 170.22 of Part 170 and is properly categorized.  2. This request is incorrectly classified and should be properly categorized as Class . Justification for classification or reclassification:  3. Additional information is required to properly categorize the request:  Our in the Activity of action and is exempt from fees because it:  (a) was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,  (b) was filed by a Government agency and is not for a power reactor,  (c) is for a Class (can only be a I, II, or III) amendment which results from a written Commission request dated for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the Commission, or  (d)other (state reason therefor):  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Athabed: incuring  Willyam O. Miller Chief	Amended Form Date:
Plant Name and Unit(s): Jihna  Licensee No(s): DPR-18  Request Dated: Plant Name Assigned TAC No. 8992  Licensee's Fee Chastication: Class I , II , III , IV , V , VI , None  Amendment No. Date of Issuance 1/17/79  1. This request has been reviewed by DDR/DPM in accordance with Section 170.22 of Part 170 and is properly categorized.  2. This request is incorrectly classified and should be properly categorized as Class . Justification for classification or reclassification:  3. Additional information is required to properly categorize the request:  Our in the Activity of action and is exempt from fees because it:  (a) was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,  (b) was filed by a Government agency and is not for a power reactor,  (c) is for a Class (can only be a I, II, or III) amendment which results from a written Commission request dated for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the Commission, or  (d)other (state reason therefor):  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Athabed: incuring  Willyam O. Miller Chief	FACILITY AMENDMENT CLASSIFICATION ODOCKET NO(S). 50 - 244 TAC (99)
License No(s): DPP-/8  Request Dated: Fee Remitted: Yes No  Assigned TAC No 8992  Licensee's Fee Classification: Class I , II , III , IV , V , VI ,  None Date of Issuance 177/79  1. This request has been reviewed by DOR/DPM in accordance with Section 170.22 of Part 170 and is properly categorized.  2. This request is incorrectly classified and should be properly categorized as Class Justification for classification or reclassification:  3. Additional information is required to properly categorize the request:  Our in the feet determination remains  4. This request is a Class type of action and is exempt from fees because it:  (a) was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,  (b) was filed by a Government agency and is not for a power reactor,  (c) is for a Class (can only be a I, II, or III) amendment for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the Commission, or  (d) other (state reason therefor):  Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Attacked: incoming	Licensee:   Cuchester
Request Dated:    Sep   Pr - / 8	rane name and Unit(s): / ih ha
Assigned TAC No. 8992  Licensee's Fee (1884)   Class I _ , II _ , III _ , IV _ , V _ , VI _ , None  Amendment No. Date of Issuance _ // 17 / 79  1. This request has been reviewed by DOR/DPM in accordance with Section 170.22 of Part 170 and is properly categorized as Class _ , Justification for classification or reclassification:  2. This request is incorrectly classified and should be properly categorized as Class _ , Justification for classification or reclassification:  3. Additional information is required to properly categorize the request:  Our in the determination remains  4. This request is a Class _ type of action and is exempt from fees because it:  (a) was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,  (b) was filed by a Government agency and is not for a power reactor,  (c) is for a Class _ (can only be a I, II, or III) amendment for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the Commission, or (d) _ other (state reason therefor):	License No(s): DPD 10
Licensee's Fee Class Fication: Class I , II , III , IV , V , VI , None  Amendment No. Date of Issuance 1/17/79  1. This request has been reviewed by DOR/DPM in accordance with Section 170.22 of Part 170 and is properly categorized.  2. This request is incorrectly classified and should be properly categorized as Class Justification for classification or reclassification:  3. Additional information is required to properly categorize the request:  Cur in fia	Request Dated: 18=16-78
Amendment No. 22 Date of Issuance 1/17/79  1. This request has been reviewed by DDR/DPM in accordance with Section 170.22 of Part 170 and is properly categorized.  2. This request is incorrectly classified and should be properly categorized as Class Justification for classification or reclassification:  3. Additional information is required to properly categorize the request:  Our in in Action Action	Assigned TAC No. 8992
Amendment No. 22 Date of Issuance 1/17/79  1. This request has been reviewed by DDR/DPM in accordance with Section 170.22 of Part 170 and is properly categorized.  2. This request is incorrectly classified and should be properly categorized as Class Justification for classification or reclassification:  3. Additional information is required to properly categorize the request:  Our initial required to properly categorize the request:  Our initial categorize the request:  Our initial required to properly categorize the request:  Our initial request:  (a) was filed by a nonprofit education and is exempt from fees because it:  (a) was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,  (b) was filed by a Government agency and is not for a power reactor,  (c) is for a Class (can only be a I, II, or III) amendment which results from a written Commission request dated for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the Commission, or  (d) other (state reason therefor):  /// 10/30/78  P.O'Canner  Division of Operating Reactors/Project Nanagement  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Attacked: incoming.	Licensee's Fee Classification: Class I
Date of Issuance 1/17/79  1. This request has been reviewed by DOR/DPM in accordance with Section 170.22 of Part 170 and is properly categorized.  2. This request is incorrectly classified and should be properly categorized as Class	None
1. Inis request has been reviewed by DOR/DPM in accordance with Section 170.22 of Part 170 and is properly categorized.  2. This request is incorrectly classified and should be properly categorized as Class	Amendment No. 22 Date of Issuance 1/17/70
2. This request is incorrectly classified and should be properly categorized as Class	In is request has been many
3. Additional information is required to properly categorize the request:  Our in the determination remains  La/id. Stree determination remains  4. This request is a Class type of action and is exempt from fees because it:  (a) was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,  (b) was filed by a Government agency and is not for a power reactor,  (c) is for a Class (can only be a I, II, or III) amendment for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the Commission, or  (d) other (state reason therefor):  10/30/38  P.O'connor Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Athabel: incoming  William O, Miller Chiefe	, per 3 dategor (zed.
3. Additional information is required to properly categorize the request:  Our in the determination remains  Lafid. 3 the determination remains  4. This request is a Class type of action and is exempt from fees because it:  (a) was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,  (b) was filed by a Government agency and is not for a power reactor,  (c) is for a Class (can only be a I, II, or III) amendment for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the Commission, or  (d) other (state reason therefor):  10/30/38  P.O'connor  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Athabel: incoming  William 0, Miller Chiefe	as Class . Justification for all should be properly categorized
4. This request is a Class	
4. This request is a Class	
4. This request is a Class	3. Additional information is required to properly establish
4. This request is a Class type of action and is exempt from fees because it:  (a) was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,  (b) was filed by a Government agency and is not for a	
(a)was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,  (b)was filed by a Government agency and is not for a power reactor,  (c)is for a Class(can only be a I, II, or III) amendment which results from a written Commission request dated for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the Commission, or  (d)other (state reason therefor):  P.O'connor  Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Attributed: incoming  William O, Miller Chief	- valida - che - chemination remains
(a)was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,  (b)was filed by a Government agency and is not for a power reactor,  (c)is for a Class(can only be a I, II, or III) amendment which results from a written Commission request dated for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the Commission, or  (d)other (state reason therefor):  P.O'connor  Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Attributed: incoming  William O, Miller Chief	4. This request is a Class type of action.
power reactor,  (c) is for a Class (can only be a I, II, or III) amendment which results from a written Commission request dated for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the Commission, or  (d) other (state reason therefor):  P.O'connor  Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Athobed: incoming	Trom too booms
(c)is for a Class(can only be a I, II, or III) amendment which results from a written Commission request dated for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify license or technical specifications, has only minor safety significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the  (d)other (state reason therefor):  // 10/36/38  P.O'connor    Connor   Continue   Continue   Continue	(b) was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,
If the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify license or technical specifications, has only minor safety significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the  (d)other (state reason therefor):  P.O'Connor  Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Attacked: incoming  William O. Miller Chief	
If the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify license or technical specifications, has only minor safety significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the  (d)other (state reason therefor):  P.O'Connor  Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Attacked: incoming  William O. Miller Chief	which results from (can only be a I, II, or III) amendment
Commission, or  (d)other (state reason therefor):  10/30/38  P.O'connor  Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Attached: incoming  William O. Miller Chief	or the application and the second request dated
Commission, or  (d)other (state reason therefor):  10/30/38  P.O'connor    Connor   Connor   Control	significance and all specifications, has only minor safety
(d)other (state reason therefor):    10/30/38	Commission, or
P.O'connor  Rehand. Shar R. D. L. Ziennor  Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Attributed: incoming  William O. Miller Chief	(d)other (state reason therefor).
P.O'Con nor  Rehard. Star R. D. L. Zing.  Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management  The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.  Attribed: incoming  William O. Miller Chief	10/30/28
Attribed: incoming  William O. Miller Chief	O Olam Han
Attribed: incoming  William O. Miller Chief	MAN Ruch OD ST
Attribed: incoming  William O. Miller Chief	Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management
Attribed: incoming William O. Miller Chief	to reviewed and is exempt from foor
MB 6/78  William O. Miller, Chief  License Fee Management Branch  Date	Attached incoming
License Fee Management Branch	MB 6/78 William O. Miller, Chief
the state of the s	License Fee Management Branch

cc Lex K. Larson, Esquire LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae 1757 N Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Mr. Michael Slade 1250 Crown Point Drive Webster, New York 14580

Rochester Committee for Scientific Information Robert E. Lee, Ph.D. P. O. Box 5236 River Campus Station Rochester, New York 14627

Jeffrey Cohen
New York State Energy Office
Swan Street Building
Core 1, Second Floor
Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223

\* Director, Technical Development Programs State of New York Energy Office Agency Building 2 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Rochester Public Library 115 South Avenue Rochester, New York 14627

Supervisor of the Town of Ontario 107 Ridge Road West Ontario, New York 14519

Director, Technical Assessment
Division
Office of Radiation Programs
(AW-459)
US EPA
Crystal Mall #2
Arlington, Virginia 20460

\*w/copy of 10/16/78 letter

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region II Office ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR 26 Federal Plaza New York, New York 10007



# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20856

## ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION

DOCKET NO. 50-244

#### R. E. GINNA NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

### AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 22 License No. DPR-18

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation (the licensee) dated October 11, 1978, (as transmitted by letter dated October 16, 1978) complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Provisional License No. DPR-18 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - (2) <u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 22, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dennis L Germann

Dennis L. Ziemann, chief

Operating Reactors Branch #2 Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 17, 1979


## ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 22


## PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-18

#### DOCKET NO. 50-244

Revise Appendix A Technical Specifications by removing the following pages and inserting the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by the captioned amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

REMOVE	INSERT
6.2-2	6.2-2
6.2-3	6.2-3
6.5-2	6.5-2
6.5-10	6.5-10





Amendment No. 12, 22

#### COMPOSITION

6.5.1.2 The PORC shall be composed of the

Chairman: Station Superintendent

Member: Assistant Superintendent

Member: Operations Engineer

Member: Maintenance Engineer

Member: Technical Engineer

Member: Results and Test Supervisor

Member: Nuclear Engineer

Member: Supervisor Chemistry and Health Physics

Member: Quality Control Engineer

Member: Instrument and Control Supervisor

#### ALTERNATES

6.5.1.3 Alternate members shall be designated by name, in writing, by the Chairman.

#### MEETING FREQUENCY

6.5.1.4 The PORC shall meet at least once per calendar month and as convened by the PORC Chairman.

#### QUORUM

6.5.1.5 A quorum of the PORC shall consist of the Chairman and four members including alternates. No more than two shall be alternates.

#### AUDITS (Continued)

- g. The Facility Fire Protection Program and implementing procedures at least once per two years.
- h. An independent fire protection and loss prevention program inspection and audit shall be performed at least once per 12 months utilizing either qualified offsite licensee personnel or an outside fire protection firm.
- i. An inspection and audit of the fire protection and loss prevention program shall be performed by non-licensee personnel at least once per 36 months. The personnel may be representatives of NELPIA, an insurance brokerage firm, or other qualified individuals.
- j. Any other area of facility operation considered appropriate by the NSARB or the Vice President, Electric and Steam Production.

#### AUTHORITY

#### 6.5.2.9

- a. The chairman of the Nuclear Safety Audit and Review

  Board is responsible to the Executive Vice President on

  all activities for which the review board is responsible.
- b. The NSARB shall report to and advise the Vice President, Electric and Steam Production, on those areas of responsibility specified in Sections 6.5.2.7 and 6.5.2.8.

#### RECORDS

6.5.2.10 Records of NSARB activities shall be prepared, approved and distributed as indicated below:

### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### DOCKET NO. 50-244

#### ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION

## NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 22 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-18, issued to Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation (the licensee), which revised the Technical Specifications for operation of the R. E. Ginna Plant (the facility) located in Wayne County, New York. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications relating to the offsite and onsite management organization.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR \$51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated October 11, 1978 (transmitted by letter dated October 16, 1978), and (2) Amendment No. 22 to License No. DPR-18, including the Commission's related transmittal letter. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. and at the Rochester Public Library, 115 South Avenue, Rochester, New York 14627. A copy of item (2) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 17th day of January, 1979.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dennis L. Ziemann, Chref Operating Reactors Branch #2

Division of Operating Reactors