

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

42

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

May 4, 1998

Ms. Barbara Dankmyer Resident Manager Molycorp, Inc. 300 Caldwell Avenue Washington, PA 15301

SUBJECT:

ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT FOR DECOMMISSIONING THE MOLYCORP

WASHINGTON, PA FACILITY

Dear Ms. Dankmyer:

We have begun our acceptance review of your Washington, PA Rare Earth Processing Facility-Site Decommissioning Plan and the supplemental environmental report (ER) submitted to describe the potential impacts of proposed decommissioning activities at your Washington site. At this time, we are unable to draw a conclusion regarding the acceptability of your ER because it does not state which of the decommissioning alternatives described in the ER is the proposed alternative for decommissioning the site. Please respond in writing by May 15, 1998, indicating which alternative is Molycorp's proposed alternative. If on-site management is the proposed alternative, please identify which of the three options discussed in the ER is proposed.

Thank you for your immediate attention to this matter. If you have any questions, feel free to contact me at (301) 415-6701.

Sincerely Lucy

LeRoy S. Person, Project Manager Low-Level Waste and Decommissioning Projects Branch

Division of Waste Management Office of Nuclear Material Safety

and Safeguards

Docket No.: 040-8778 License No.:SMB-1393

cc:

J. Daniels, Molycorp

J. Yusko, PA-DEP

Ms. Barbara Dankmyer Resident Manager Molycorp, Inc. 300 Caldwell Avenue Washington, PA 15301

SUBJECT:

ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT FOR DECOMMISSIONING THE MOLYCORP

WASHINGTON, PA FACILITY

Dear Ms. Dankmyer:

We have begun our acceptance review of your Washington, PA Rare Earth Processing Facility-Site Decommissioning Plan and the supplemental environmental report (ER) submitted to describe the potential impacts of proposed decommissioning activities at your Washington site. At this time, we are unable to draw a conclusion regarding the acceptability of your ER because it does not state which of the decommissioning alternatives described in the ER is the proposed alternative for decommissioning the site. Please respond in writing by May 15, 1998, indicating which alternative is Molycorp's proposed alternative. If on-site management is the proposed alternative, please identify which of the three options discussed in the ER is proposed.

Thank you for your immediate attention to this matter. If you have any questions, feel free to contact me at (301) 415-6701.

Sincerely
LeRoy S. Person, Project Manager
Low-Level Waste and Decommissioning
Projects Branch
Division of Waste Management
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

M=10/0

Docket No.: 040-8778 License No.:SMB-1393

cc:

J. Daniels, Molycorp

J. Yusko, PA-DEP

CKET:

DISTRIBUTION: Central File

File LLDP r/f

DWM r/f NMSS r/f

J. Hickey R. Nelson

DOCUMENT NAME: S:\molypref.alt

OFC	LLDB/ D	LLDP yes/
NAME	RPerson	JCopeland
DATE	05/04/98	05/04/98

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9805200192 980504 NMSS ADDCK 04008778

98-96