



Nuclear Management Company, LLC
Point Beach Nuclear Plant
6610 Nuclear Road
Two Rivers, WI 54241

February 14, 2001

NRC 2001-001

10 CFR 26.71(d)

Document Control Desk
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Station P1-137
Washington, DC 20555

Ladies/Gentlemen:

DOCKETS 50-266 AND 50-301
FITNESS-FOR-DUTY (FFD) PROGRAM
POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

In accordance with 10 CFR 26.71(d), we are providing our Fitness-For-Duty performance data for the six-month period ending December 31, 2000. No events reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 26.73(a) occurred during this period.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Webb
Licensing Director

FAF/jlk

Enclosure

cc: NRC Resident Inspector
NRC Regional Administrator
NRC Project Manager

A021

Fitness for Duty Program
Performance Data
Personnel Subject to 10CFR26

Wisconsin Electric Power Company	December 31, 2000
Company	6 Months Ending
Point Beach Nuclear Plant	
Location	
Darlene L. Peters	(920)755-7811
Contact Name	Phone (include area code)
Cutoffs: Screen/Confirmation (ng/ml) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appendix A to 10CFR26	
Marijuana	/
Cocaine	/
Opiates	/
Amphetamines	/
Phencyclidine	/
Alcohol (% BAC)	/

Testing Results		Licensee Employees				Contractor Personnel	
Average Number with Unescorted Access		794				465	
Categories		# Tested	# Positive			# Tested	# Positive
Pre-Access		54	0			339	6
For Cause	Post accident	0	0			4	1
	Observed behavior	0	0			3	2
Random		225	0			100	0
Follow-up		29	0			19	0
Other		0	0			0	0
Total		308	0			465	9

Breakdown of Confirmed Positive Tests for Specific Substances

	Marijuana	Cocaine	Opiates	Methamphetamines	Phencyclidine	Alcohol	Refusal to Test	1	2	3	4	5
Licensee Employees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Contractors	3	2	0	0	0	3	1					
Total	3	2	0	0	0	3	1					9

On July 13, 2000 one contractor had a positive pre-access drug/alcohol screen. The MRO concluded that the sample had been adulterated because the chromium levels were too high. The contractor was not allowed unescorted access and was provided an opportunity to appeal through the Wisconsin Electric appeal process. There was no appeal and unescorted access was denied.

On September 27, 2000 one contractor tested positive for cocaine on a pre-access drug/alcohol screen. The contractor was not allowed unescorted access and was provided an opportunity to appeal through the Wisconsin Electric appeal process. There was no appeal and unescorted access was denied.

On October 02, 2000 one contractor tested positive for cocaine on a pre-access drug/alcohol screen and one other contractor tested positive for marijuana on a pre-access drug alcohol screen. The contractors were not allowed unescorted access and were provided an opportunity to appeal through the Wisconsin Electric appeal process. There was no appeal and unescorted access was denied.

On October 03, 2000 one contractor tested positive for marijuana on a pre-access drug/alcohol screen. The contractor was not allowed unescorted access and was provided an opportunity to appeal through the Wisconsin Electric appeal process. There was no appeal and unescorted access was denied.

On October 16, 2000 one contractor tested positive for alcohol on a for cause drug/alcohol screen. The contractor was not allowed unescorted access and was provided an opportunity to appeal through the Wisconsin Electric appeal process. There was no appeal and unescorted access was denied.

On October 26, 2000 one contractor tested positive for alcohol on a pre-access drug/alcohol screen. The contractor was not allowed unescorted access and was provided an opportunity to appeal through the Wisconsin Electric appeal process. There was no appeal and unescorted access was denied.

On October 27, 2000 one contractor visitor tested positive for marijuana on a post accident drug/alcohol screen. The contractor was not allowed escorted access and access was denied.

On November 06, 2000 one contractor tested positive for alcohol on a for cause drug/alcohol screen. The contractor was not allowed unescorted access and was provided an opportunity to appeal through the Wisconsin Electric appeal process. There was no appeal and unescorted access was denied.