

February 22, 2001

MEMORANDUM TO: Kathy Allen, Co-Chair  
National Materials Program Working Group

James Myers, Co-Chair  
National Materials Program Working Group

FROM: Carl J. Paperiello, Chair */RA/*  
National Materials Program Steering Group

SUBJECT: GUIDANCE AND AGREEMENTS RESULTING  
FROM FEBRUARY 13, 2001 MEETING

I am attaching a copy of a summary of guidance and agreements that reflects our discussion at the February 13, 2001 National Materials Program Steering Committee meeting.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 301-415-1705 or Paul H. Lohaus at 301-415-3340.

Attachment  
As stated

cc: NMP Working Group  
NMP Steering Committee

MEMORANDUM TO: Kathy Allen, Co-Chair  
National Materials Program Working Group

February 22, 2001

James Myers, Co-Chair  
National Materials Program Working Group

FROM: Carl J. Paperiello, Chair */RA/*  
National Materials Program Steering Group

SUBJECT: GUIDANCE AND AGREEMENTS RESULTING  
FROM FEBRUARY 13, 2001 MEETING

I am attaching a copy of a summary of guidance and agreements that reflects our discussion at the February 13, 2001 National Materials Program Steering Committee meeting.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 301-415-1705 or Paul H. Lohaus at 301-415-3340.

Attachment  
As stated

cc: NMP Working Group  
NMP Steering Committee

Distribution:

DIR RF  
EDO RF  
NMP File ML010520300

DCD (SP03)  
PDR (YES✓)

**DOCUMENT NAME: C:\feb13 steering committee.wpd**

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	STP:D	DEDMRS						
NAME	PHLohaus:gd*	CPaperiello						
DATE	02/20/01	02/22/01						

STP -N-17

Guidance and Agreements From February 13, 2001  
National Materials Program Steering Committee Meeting

- The Working Group Report should be transmitted by a short Commission Paper, four to seven pages long, which summarizes the Working Group options. STP will take the lead in drafting the Paper. The paper should explicitly answer questions raised by the Commission. The paper should include three attachments:
  1. The Working Group Report;
  2. A table showing NRC and Agreement State resources for the base case and options; and
  3. The Options Summary Table.
- The development of the options should receive priority attention by the Working Group. Resource evaluations should start with the base case (current resources) then present the impact of options. Costs of the options, as well as pros and cons, should be included. The guidance contained in Item 4 of Carl Paperiello's 1/8/01 letter should be followed. The report should also characterize and describe the statutory responsibilities and functions of the NRC under current laws.
- A minimum of four options should be characterized and evaluated:
  1. Base case or Status Quo;
  2. Alliance where NRC and the Agreement States work together;
  3. NRC minimum where NRC only fulfills minimum responsibilities of current laws; and
  4. All States assume full responsibility for all day to day implementing regulatory responsibility with NRC retaining no or very minimal responsibility. (Similar to the FDA/State program for oversight of machine produced radiation).

In addition, option 1 in the table handed out at the meeting (entitled: "There are no Agreement States: NRC has all authority and all responsibility for licensees covered under the AEA") should be acknowledged in the report as an option for completeness, but quickly eliminated from further consideration given that it is not a realistic option.

- The description items contained in the left hand column of the Options Summary Table should be expanded to include:
  1. The role of the States;
  2. "Accountability" for each option (i.e., how would implementation of the option be assured and assessed); and
  3. Identification of any gaps created by the Option.
- The elements of a radiation control program in Appendix B should be reviewed for completeness and additional items added as identified in Carl Paperiello's 1/8/01 letter. (e.g., research needs, event evaluation, development of standards, staff training, etc.).
- In preparing a revision of the Working group report, the Working group should consider and reflect, where it is appropriate, Steering Committee comments contained in the comments' summary handed out at the meeting.