A. Alan Blind Vice President

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Indian Point Station Broadway & Bleakley Avenue Buchanan, NY 10511 Telephone (914) 734-5340 Fax: (914) 734-5718 bilinda@coned.com

January 23, 2001

Re: Indian Point Unit No. 2 Docket No. 50-247 LER 2000-009-00 NL-01-007

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Mail Stop PI-137 Washington, DC 20555-001

The attached Licensee Event Report 2000-009-00 is hereby voluntarily submitted.

A alam Bud

Attachment

cc: Mr. Hubert J. Miller Regional Administrator - Region I US Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

> Mr. Patrick D. Milano, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I Division of Licensing Project Management US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop 0-8-C2 Washington, DC 20555

Senior Resident Inspector US Nuclear Regulatory Commission PO Box 38 Buchanan, NY 10511

JE26

| NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (6-1998) (6-1998) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request: 50 hrs. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 F33), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 2055-0001, and to the Paperwork Reduction Project (3150-0104), Office of Management and to the Paperwork Reduction Project (3150-0104), office of Management and to the paperwork reduction Project (3150-0104), office of Management and to the paperwork reduction Project (3150-0104), office of Management and to the paperwork reduction Project (3150-0104), office of Management and to the paperwork reduction Project (3150-0104), office of Management and to the paperwork reduction Project (3150-0104), office of Management and to the paperwork reduction Project (3150-0104), office of Management and to the paperwork reduction Project (3150-0104), office of Management and to the paperwork reduction Project (3150-0104), office of Management and the paperwork reduction Project (3150-0104), office of Management and the paperwork reduction Project (3150-0104), office of Management and the paperwork reduction Project (3150-0104), office of Management and the paperwork reduction project (3150-0104), office of Management and the paperwork reduction project (3150-0104), office of Management and the paperwork reduction project (3150-0104), office of Management and the paperwork reduction project (3150-0104). | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| FACILITY NAM | FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tn | dian Doi | .nt, Unit | 2 | | | | | | 0500024 | 7 | | . 1 | OF 5 |
| TITLE(4) Accumulator Pressure Potentially Exceeded Analytical Limits | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DATE (7) OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SEQUENTIA REVISIO | | | | | | | | FACILITY NAME | | | DOCKET NUMBER | | |
| MONTH DAY | YEAR | | | | R MONTH | DAY | YEAF | | FACILITY NAME | | | | |
| 12 21 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 -009- 00 01 2 | | | 23 | 200 | 2001 DOCKET NUM 05000 | | | | | |
| OPERATING | THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PUBSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR &: (Check one or more) (11) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MODE (9) | <u>N</u> | 20.2201 | | | | 20.2203(a)(2)(v) | | | 50.73(a)(2)(i) | | | 50.73(a)(2)(viii) | |
| POWER | 000 | 20.2203 | | | 20.2203(| | | \rightarrow | <u>50.73(a</u> 50.73(a | <u> </u> | | 73.71 | (a)(2)(x) |
| | 1 000 | il 1 | (a)(2)(ii) | | 20.2203(| | | | 50.73(a | | | X OTHE | |
| | | 20.2203 | 3(a)(2)(iii) | | 50.36(c)(| 50.36(c)(1) | | | 50.73(a |)(2)(V) | | Specify in Abstract below or | |
| | Image: Specify in Abstract below of in NRC Form 366A 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 50.36(c)(2) 50.73(a)(2)(vii) Specify in Abstract below of in NRC Form 366A | | | | | | | | | | m 366A | | |
| LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NAME TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) Edward Goetchius, Analysis Manager NS&L (914) 734-5106 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | REPORTABL TO EPIX | TO EPIX CAUS | | SE | SYSTEM | COMPONENT | MANUF | FACTURER TO EPIX | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | EXPECT | ED (14) | | a | | | | MONTH | H DAY | YEAR |
| YES SU | | | | | | SUB | ECTED MISSION TE (15) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 16) | | | L | | |
| (if yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).XNODATE(15)ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)On December 21, 2000, with the plant at hot shutdown conditions and Tavg approximately 330 degrees F, it was determined that the safety injection accumulator tank pressure may have exceeded the value assumed in the accident analysis. This condition was identified during a review of accident assumptions and inputs. It was determined that plant procedures and alarm setpoints may not control operation of the accumulators within the upper pressure limit assumed in the best estimate loss of coolant accident analysis (LOCA) analysis. Procedures and alarm setpoints were revised to ensure accumulator pressure was controlled within the required limit prior to entering a plant condition requiring operability of the accumulators. Evaluations conducted during the investigation of the issue verified that the plant had remained within its design basis. The cause for the potential operation of Technical Specification Amendment 188 with respect to accumulator pressure limits. There were no actual or potential safety consequences as a result of this event.This condition is being reported as a voluntary report. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

. .

| C FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION | | | | <u> </u> | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION | ····· | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LEF | PAGE (3) | | |
| | | YEAR | SEQUENT IAL NUMBER | REVISI ON NUMBE | |
| | | | | | 0 05 |
| Indian Point, Unit 2 | 05000247 | 2000 | -009- | 00 | 2 OF |
| XT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC | | | | | |
| This condition is being reported as a vol | untary repor | t. | | | |
| PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Westinghouse 4-Loop Pressurized Water Rea Safety Injection System Accumulator Tanks | | | | | |
| Salety Injection System netamatator famo | - | | | | |
| EVENT IDENTIFICATION | | | | | |
| Accumulator Tanks Pressure - Potential Op to Implement License Amendment Requiremen | peration Outs nt Regarding | ide Desig Accumulat | yn Basis cor High | s Due to n Pressu | Failure re Limit |
| EVENT DATE | | | | | |
| December 21, 2000. | | | | | |
| REFERENCES | | ¥, | | | |
| Condition Reporting System Number: 20 License Amendment 188, Best Estimate (LOCA) Analysis, issued March 31, 199 | (BE) Approad | ch for Los | ss of Co | oolant A | ccident |
| PAST SIMILAR EVENTS | | | | | |
| A review of correspondence for the last i years was performed. No events or condit involving operation outside of the design implementation of a license amendment. I July 13, 2000 were found which identified first of these events included development provide detailed tracking of actions nece implementation of the best estimate LOCA implementation of this corrective action not have prevented the condition that is | tions prior f n basis as a Three condit: d similar is: nt of a Stat: essary to im (BE LOCA) 1: , the proces: | to July 1 result o ion repor sues. Co ion Admin plement 1 icense am s specifi | 3, 2000 f incomp ts init: rrective istrative icense a endment ed in th | were ic plete iated or e actior ve Order amendmer predate ne new S | ound n or afte ns for th (SAO) t nts. Sin es the SAO would |
| | | | | | |

÷.

| NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| (6-1998) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION | | | |
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) |
| | | YEAR NUMBER NUMB | |
| Indian Point, Unit 2 | 05000247 | 2000 -009- 00 | 3 OF 5 |
| TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NR | C Form 366A) (17 | 7) | |
| EVENT DESCRIPTION | | : | |
| On December 21, 2000, with the plant at approximately 330 degrees F, it was de accumulator tank pressure may have exce analysis. For the current plant startu resolved prior to entering a plant cond be operable. This condition was identified during a values used in plant safety analyses an review determined that plant procedures controlled operation of the accumulator | termined that eded the valu p, the condit ition that re review of dis d plant opera and alarm se | the safety injection a assumed in the accide ion was discovered and equired the accumulators screpancies found betwee ational parameters. The etpoints may not have | s to en |
| assumed in the BE LOCA analysis. On December 22, 2000 at approximately 1 ensure accumulator high pressure was ma indicated 650 pounds per square inch ga pressure to no greater than an indicate remained below the 685 psig analytical consideration of instrument uncertainty accumulators' pressure at a 15 minute f operation within design assumptions. S hours, the unit was heated above 350 de On December 24, 2000 at approximately 2 pressure setpoint was recalibrated to p Recalibration of the accumulator high p the alarm would be received prior to ex including consideration of instrument u | intained less auge (psig). d 650 psig en limit, taking r. Separate 1 requency was subsequently of egrees F. 200 hours, the provide the all pressure setpo acceeding the 6 | s than or equal to an Limiting accumulator asured accumulator press of into account logging of the initiated to ensure on the same day, at 204 he accumulator alarm his larm at 658 psig. | sure 2 gh |
| EVENT ANALYSIS Technical Specification 3.3.A.1.c requi at a minimum of 598 psig and a maximum initial conditions in the BE LOCA analy of instrument uncertainty. The BE LOCA NRC on March 31, 1997 and required impl instrument uncertainty for the accumula approximately +/- 35 psig. The accumul well as procedural limits in both the a Central Control Room (CCR) logging proc established at 640 psig prior and subse alarm setpoint and procedural controls psig value included sufficient conserva were maintained above the technical spe limit of 598 psig. | of 685 psig. vsis and did r license amer ementation wi ator pressure ator low pres alarm response redure for acc equent to the of accumulato atism to ensu | These values were usen not include consideration indment was approved by ithin 30 days. The instrumentation was soure alarm setpoint as procedure (ARP) and the cumulator low pressure referenced amendment. or low pressure at the re accumulator pressure | d as on the were The 640 s |

•

| | ISEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION | | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------|------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|--------|----|
| | FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | L | ER NUMBER (| 6) | P | PAGE (| 3) |
| | | | YEAR | SEQUENT IAL NUMBER | REVISI ON NUMB | | | |
| Indian Pc | int, Unit 2 | 05000247 | 2000 | -009- | 00 | 4 | OF | |

The setpoint for the accumulator high pressure alarms and procedural controls of accumulator high pressure at the 680 psig value did not include sufficient conservatism to ensure accumulator pressure remained below the analytical high pressure limit of 685 psig including consideration of instrument uncertainty. Prior to the use of the BE LOCA analysis, no technical specification or analytical limit existed for accumulator high pressure.

Operation at the procedural maximum indicated value of 680 psig could permit the associated accumulator pressure to be above 685 psig. Even with accumulator pressure below the alarm setpoint of 680 psig, the associated accumulator pressure could be above 685 psig. Specific dates and times were not ascertained, but knowledgeable personnel believe that the plant has operated briefly with at least one accumulator high pressure alarm in the alarm condition. Additionally, operation below the alarm setpoint (i.e., accumulator pressure in portions of the normal band) could have resulted in operation with accumulator pressure above the analytical limit.

The cause of this event was an informal process for implementation of license amendments that was not sufficiently rigorous or well documented prior to August, 2000.

Amendment number 188 included changes to Technical Specification 3.3.A.1.c that expanded the accumulator volume limits and lowered the accumulator low pressure limit. This amendment also added a new limit for accumulator high pressure. Since the accumulator volume lower and upper limits were expanded and the low pressure limit was reduced, changes to procedures and setpoints for these values were not made at that time because existing procedural controls and setpoints were conservative with respect to these revised technical specification limits. Although accumulator high pressure had not been a technical specification limit prior to the BE LOCA license amendment, there were procedures and alarms for maintaining accumulator pressure below 680 psig, but without consideration of instrument uncertainty. This high pressure alarm value of 680 psig was previously established to preclude lifting the accumulator relief valves set at 700 psig.

Reviews performed to establish implementation requirements of the BE LOCA license amendment did not result in either modifying the high pressure alarm setpoint or revising appropriate procedures to provide adequate control of the new accumulator high pressure technical specification and analytical limit including instrument uncertainty.

EVENT SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

There were no actual or potential safety consequences that resulted from this event. There were no plant events that required actuation of the accumulators. Potential safety consequences were evaluated by re-analysis using a higher initial accumulator pressure. Applicable plant analyses were revised to include an initial accumulator pressure (four accumulators) of up to 720 psig. The results of these analyses indicate a small (4 degrees F) peak clad temperature (PCT) penalty (95 percentile value). PCT remained below 2200 degrees F and plant design basis requirements were met. There was no effect on the result of the containment analysis.

| NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (6-1998) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | L | ER NUMBER (6) | | PAGE (3) |
| | | YEAR | IAL NUMBER | REVISI ON NUMB | |
| Indian Point, Unit 2 TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC | 05000247 | 2000 | -009- | 00 | 5 OF 5 |
| <pre>CORRECTIVE ACTIONS Prior to plant heatup above 350 degreess revised to ensure accumulator pressure is specification and analytical limit with uncertainty. A special logging procedu accumulator pressure at a 15 minute fre reduced. The uncertainties associated ' reviewed and recalculated to provide ad technical specification and analytical subsequently reduced, utilizing the rec: operation within the Technical Specific special logging procedure was terminate The applicable plant analysis was reviss operating range for accumulator high pr event. The reanalysis used an accumula thus allows treatment of the technical Applicable procedures and the accumulat been modified to reflect the results of ensure accumulator operation will be co assumptions and within technical specif On August 25, 2000, a new plant procedu submittal and implementation of license improved processes for ensuring complet amendments. A review of license amendments approved The results of the implementation revie with the potential for operation prohib potential operation outside the design if </pre> | F the appli remained bel considerati re was estab quency until with the ala ditional ope limits. The alculated un ation and an d. ed to permit essure to ne tor high pres the new ana nsistent wit ication limi re was imple amendments. e and timely over the la w did not id ited by the | cable pr ow the t on of in lished t the ala rm and i rating f alarm s certaint alytical increas ar its v ssure li n limit sure ala lysis. h the an ts. mented f This p impleme st two y | echnical astrument o monitor arm setpoin andication elexibility setpoint way y values, limit and and the all ralue prion mit of 720 as a nomin arm setpoin These char allytical for develop procedure p entation of rears was on any other of | the nts were y within as to ensite to ensite the llowed r to the pair val nt have nges pment, provide f licer | in sure nis and lue. e s nse sec. |