

January 23, 2001

James H. Wilson Special Project Manager
Generic Issues, Environmental, Financial and Rulemaking
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001 Ph:(301) 415-1108

VIA FAX (301) 415-2002 and Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested

Re: Objection to the Omission of my 11/22/00 document submitted to the NRC on 12/06/00 from Transcript of the Public Meeting on the Turkey Point Generic EIS

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I was dismayed when I received your January 10, 2001, letter containing a transcript of the December 6, 2000, scoping meeting held by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on the Generic Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS) for the Turkey Point Nuclear Power Plant license renewal application. Dismayed, because at this meeting I personally and publicly handed each of the NRC panel members a copy of my November 22, 2000, document entitled, *Objections to the NRC License Renewal Process as not complying with NEPA, and in lieu of the NRC failure to comply with NEPA, a Request for Hearing and Petition for Leave to Intervene*. I submitted this document to the NRC representatives on the panel as I walked up to speak, along with two other brief documents concerning Everglades Restoration that I did not author. The documents on the Everglades appear in the official transcript at page 221, while the 11/22/00 document that I authored and also submitted for the official record was not. I object to the fact that the 11/22/00 document that I handed to the NRC, and referred to during my testimony, was excluded from the official transcript on page 221 and from the attachments.

You can ask Chip Cameron, NRC Special Counsel, who is aware that I intended that this document be part of the record, as we discussed it before I spoke. Also, the NRC panel representatives, who reviewed the document as I referenced it in my testimony, also heard me refer to it as my "written comments." (Mr. Cameron had informed us when the meeting began that the NRC was accepting just such written comments from the public on the GEIS scoping process.) Moreover, on December 21, 2000, I resubmitted this document by e-mail along with a 12/21/00 document as part of the GEIS scoping process and informed the NRC that I had previously submitted the 11/21/00 document at the December 6th public meeting. What does the NRC intend to do to correct and complete the record of the public meeting? I contend that part of the remedy should include distributing my 11/22/00 document to all persons on the service list that received the NRC's report of the record of the 12/06/00 public meeting held in Homestead, Florida.

ADDY

According to your letter and attachments, the NRC has apparently omitted my extensive public comment on environmental issues concerning the relicensing of the Turkey Point Nuclear Power Plant that was contained in the 11/22/00 document from the record of the December 6th public meeting. My document, among other things, critiques the NRC's current generic handling of environmental issues, and lists significant environmental issues that I contend must be addressed in a full site-specific Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) due to the sensitivity of this area that is located in the midst of the important Everglades Restoration effort. While the NRC may disagree with my views, in a democracy I have the right to have the public comments and legal citations contained in the 11/22/00 document provided to the NRC at the public meeting become part of the record of the meeting, just as the two other documents that I submitted were included.

Although I sincerely hope that the exclusion of my 11/22/00 document from the official record of the December 6th public hearing is an oversight, my recent experience with the relicensing process causes me to fear otherwise. I have become increasingly troubled by what appears to be, in my opinion, an attempt to close the door on meaningful public participation on vital nuclear safety and environmental issues in the relicensing process. If the omission of this document was an oversight, the NRC should quickly correct it. If the omission was not an oversight, the NRC's exclusion of my public comment clearly germane to the GEIS process that is contained in my 11/22/00 document and was provided to the NRC representatives, the press, and even members of the public at the December 6th meeting would be a blatant example of what would appear to be a very dangerous trend both for democracy and nuclear safety.

Please advise me how the NRC will rectify this situation important to my right to be involved in nuclear issues that could affect my life and the environment. Also, please confirm that my 12/21/00 document submitted 12/21/00 and my 11/22/00 submitted on 12/06/00, and resubmitted on 12/21/00, are both part of the official record on the scoping process for the GEIS on Turkey Point. I can be reached at (305) 281-0429.

Sincerely,
Joette Lorion
Joette Lorion
13015 SW 90 Court
Miami, Florida 33176

cc Florida Congressional Delegation
Senator Bill Nelson
Senator Bob Graham
Governor Jeb Bush

