

Chief: P GAGE

Facility/Task: W3 EX

Task Start Date: 10/9/00

ITEM DESCRIPTION	DUE DATE	INIT	DATE
0 Exam/Inspection Schedule Agreement (C.1.a;C.2.a&b)	Apr 12, 2000	JLP	4/4/2000
1 NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned (C.1.c;C.2.e)	Apr 12, 2000	JLP	4/4/2000
2 Facility contact briefed on security & other issues (C.2.c)	Apr 12, 2000	JLP	4/4/2000
3 Corp. Notification Letter Sent (C.2.d) (Exams only)	Apr 12, 2000	JL	4/20/00
3a Inspection Announcement Letter Sent (PIR & LORT if req'd)	Aug 25, 2000	N/A	N/A
4 Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	Jul 11, 2000	JL	6/21/00
5# [Reference Material Due (C.1.d;C.3.c)]	Jun 11, 2000	JL	6/13/00
6# Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.d&e;C.3.d)	Jun 11, 2000	JL	6/13/00
7# Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent (c.2.h;C.3.e)	Jun 25, 2000	JL	6/22/00
8# Preliminary Applications Due (C.1.j;C.2.g;ES202)	Sep 9, 2000	JL	9/14/00
9# Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due (C.1.d/e/f;C.3.d)	Aug 10, 2000	JL	8/25/00
10# Peer Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts*	Aug 20, 2000	JL	8/30/00
11# NRC Supervisor. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev. (C.2.h;C.3.f)*	Aug 20, 2000	JL	9/21
12# Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f&h;C.3.g)	Aug 20, 2000	JL	9/25/00
13# Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheet Prepared (C.1.j;C.2.h;ES202)	Sep 25, 2000	JL	10/2/00
14# NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i;C.3.h)*	Oct 2, 2000	JL	10/4/00
15# Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent (C.2.g)	Oct 2, 2000	JL	10/2/00
16# Proctor Rules Reviewed w/ Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	Oct 2, 2000	JL	10/2/00
17 Exam/Insp Material to Team (C.3.i)	Oct 2, 2000	JL	10/2/00
18# Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd	Oct 21, 2000	JL	10/16/00
19# NRC Written Grading Completed	Oct 24, 2000	JL	10/19/00
20# Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests	Oct 24, 2000	JL	10/19/00
21# NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed	Nov 3, 2000	JL	10/26/00
22 NRC BC Review Completed*	Nov 4, 2000	JL	10/26/00
23# RPS/IP # Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	Nov 9, 2000	JL	11/9/00
24 License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	Nov 9, 2000	JL	10/26/00
25 Package Closed Out	Nov 30, 2000	JL	1/18/00
Final Inspection Report Issued, Exam Package to OLA, Facility. Contact Notified of Results			

Not required for inspections, except as noted.

* Note Supervisor/Peer initials required.

[] Required NRC-auth. exams only.

When complete, for exams, add to pkg & fwd copy to BC, for insp, fwd orig'l to BC.

Last revised 4/4/00.

S:\DRS\OB\Boilerplate\MISC-BOX\assignment-sheet.wpd

Facility: Waterford III		Date of Examination: 10/10/00		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c
W R I T T E N	1. a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	✓	not	M
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically or randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all knowledge and ability categories are appropriately sampled.	✓	not	M
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	✓	not	M
	d. Assess whether the repetition from previous examination outlines is excessive.	✓	not	M
S I M	2. a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	✓	not	M
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated over successive days.	✓	not	M
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	✓	not	M
W / T	3. a. Verify that: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks.	✓	not	M
	b. Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3) 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and (5) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA.	✓	not	M
	c. Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities.	✓	not	M
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on successive days.	✓	not	M
G E N E R A L	4. a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	✓	not	M
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	✓	not	M
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	✓	not	M
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	✓	not	M
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	✓	not	M
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	✓	not	M
Author	Printed Name/Signature ARTHUR N. VEST SR. <i>Arthur N. Vest Sr.</i>		Date 6/6/00	
Facility Reviewer(*)	ROBERT W. FLETCHER <i>Robert W. Fletcher</i>		6-12-00	
Chief Examiner	RYAN LANTIERE <i>Ryan Lantiere</i> / Paul Hagen <i>Paul Hagen</i>		6/16/00	
NRC Supervisor	JUN L PEREIRA <i>John Pereira</i>		6/20/00	

(*) Not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.

Facility: Waterford III		Date of Exam: 10/6/00		Exam Level: SRO	
Item Description	Initial				
	a	b*	c*		
1. Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>WV</i>	<i>MJ</i>		
2. a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>WV</i>	<i>MJ</i>		
3. RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>WV</i>	<i>MJ</i>		
4. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> the license exam was prepared by the NRC	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>WV</i>	<i>MJ</i>		
5. Bank use meets limits (no more than 50 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	Bank	Modified	New		
	15	0	85		
6. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	Memory	C/A			
	41	59			
7. References/handouts provided do not give away answers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>WV</i>	<i>MJ</i>		
8. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline; deviations are justified	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>WV</i>	<i>MJ</i>		
9. Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>WV</i>	<i>MJ</i>		
10. The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>WV</i>	<i>MJ</i>		
Printed Name / Signature			Date		
a. Author	<i>ARTHUR N. VEST, Sr. / Arthur N. Vest, Sr.</i>		<i>8/23/00</i>		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<i>ROBERT W. FLETCHER / Robert W. Fletcher</i>		<i>10/24/00</i>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner(*)	<i>MANLANTZ / Manlantz</i>		<i>8/29/00 / 9/11/00</i>		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor(*)	<i>SLPelled / SLPelled</i>		<i>9/21/00</i>		
Note:	* The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for NRC-developed examinations; two independent NRC reviews are required. # See special instructions (Section E.2.c) for Items 1, 5, and 8.				

Facility: Waterford 3		Date of Examination: 10/10/00		Operating Test Number: 1	
1. GENERAL CRITERIA			Initials		
			a	b	c
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WVF	MS	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WVF	MS	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WVF	MS	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WVF	MS	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WVF	MS	
2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA			-	-	-
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee • specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WVF	MS	
b.	The prescribed questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WVF	MS	
c.	Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WVF	MS	
d.	At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WVF	MS	
3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA			-	-	-
a.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WVF	MS	
		Printed Name / Signature		Date	
a.	Author	ARTHUR N. VEST, JR. / Arthur N. Vest Jr.		8/23/00	
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	ROBERT W. FLEISCHER / Robert W. Fleischer		8/24/00	
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (*)	RYAN LANTZ / Ryan Lantz / Paul Lopez		8/30/00 / 9/11/00	
d.	NRC Supervisor (*)	J. Pell / John Pell		9/21/00	
(*) The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Facility: Waterford III Date of Exam: 10/10/00 Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 / 4 Operating Test No.: 1				
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials		
		a	b	c
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	✓	NO	MS
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	✓	NO	MS
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated • the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event • the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew • the expected operator actions (by shift position) • the event termination point (if applicable) 	✓	NO	MS
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	✓	NO	MS
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	✓	NO	MS
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	✓	NO	MS
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	✓	NO	NA
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	✓	NO	MS
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	✓	NO	MS
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-301.	✓	NO	MS
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	✓	NO	MS
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	✓	NO	MS
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	✓	NO	MS
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D)		Actual Attributes		
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	7/6/6/7	✓	NO MS
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2/1/2/2	✓	NO MS
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2/3/3/3	✓	NO MS
4.	Major transients (1-2)	2/2/2/2	✓	NO MS
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1/1/1/1	✓	NO MS
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	2/0/1/2	✓	NO MS
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2/2/3/3	✓	NO MS

OPERATING TEST NO.: 1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Normal	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Instrument	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Component	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Major	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
As RO	Reactivity	1	1	1	1	3
	Normal	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Instrument	1	4	2,3	4	1
	Component	1	5	4	3,5	3
	Major	1	6,7	5,6	5,6	5,6
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Normal	1	1	1	1	3
	Instrument	1	2,4	2,3,5	2,4	1,4
	Component	1	3,5	4	3,5	2,3
	Major	1	6,7	5,6	5,6	5,6
SRO-U	Reactivity	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Normal	1	1	1	1	3
	Instrument	1	2,4	2,3,5	2,4	1,4
	Component	1	3,5	4	3,5	2,3
	Major	1	6,7	5,6	5,6	5,6

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
 (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.

Author:

Paul Hage

Chief Examiner:

Paul Hage

Competencies	Applicant #1 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U				Applicant #2 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U				Applicant #3 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	4-7	2-6	2,5,6	1-3,5,6	2,3,5-7	1-6	2-6	2,4-6	2-7	2,4-6	3-6	1-6
Diagnose Events and Conditions	4-7	2-6	2,5,6	1,3,5,6	2,3,5-7	2-4,6	2-6	2,4-6	2-7	2,4-6	3-6	1-6
Understand Plant and System Response	1,4-7	1-6	1,2,5,6	1-3,5,6	2,3,5-7	1-6	1-6	1-6	1-7	1,2,4-6	1,3-6	1-6
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1,4-7	1-6	1,2,5,6	3,5,6	1-3,5-7	1-6	1,3-6	2,4-6	1,4-7	1-6	1,3-6	1-6
Operate Control Boards (2)	1,4-7		1,2,5,6	3,5,6	1,2,5-7	1,3-6		2,4-6		1-6	1,3-6	
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	1-7	1-6	1-6	1-6	1-7	1-6	1-6	1-6	1-7	1-6	1-6	1-6
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)		1-6					1-6		1-7			1-6
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)		2,4					2-4		2-4			1,2

Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:




Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

John M. Vest

Chief Examiner:

Paul Sage

Facility: Waterford 3		Date of Exam: 10/06/00		Exam Level: RO/SRO	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	✓	JWS	JL		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	✓	JWS	JL		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	✓	JWS	JL		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	✓	JWS	JL		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	NA ^o	JWS	JL		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	✓	JWS	JL		
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<u>ARTHUR N. VEST SR. / </u>		<u>10/13/00</u>		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>ROBERT W. FLETCHER / </u>		<u>10-13-00</u>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>Paul Gage / </u>		<u>10/19/00</u>		
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>JL Pellet / </u>		<u>10/19/00</u>		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2000 To 09/30/2001

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
10/10/2000	Waterford / 05000382 / 2000301	SROU - 4	SROI - 2	Admin	FFF	GAGE, PAUL C. GAGE, PAUL C. MCCRORY, STEPHEN L. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.

Sites: WAT

Orgs: ALL

Exam Author: ALL

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10 OCT 00 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 10/10/00 - 10/14/00. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. <u>ARTHUR N. VEST JR.</u>	<u>SR. INSTRUCTOR / AUTHOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5/6/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/12/00</u>
2. <u>DYNE K. CASSIDY</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR / CO-AUDITOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5/8/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-12-00</u>
3. <u>ROBERT U. FLETCHER</u>	<u>CRS TRAINING SUPERVISOR / SUPERVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>5-8-00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-13-00</u>
4. <u>JIM M O'HERN</u>	<u>MANAGER, TRAINING & EP</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6-13-00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-16-00</u>
5. <u>SCOTT P. WOLFE</u>	<u>CONTROL ROOM SUPERVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-7-00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-13-00</u>
6. <u>ARTHUR K. CHESTER</u>	<u>RO/SRO</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-8-00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-13-00</u>
7. <u>KEVIN EMBURY</u>	<u>Chem Supv. / Environmental</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-9-00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-13-00</u> I
8. <u>Roger Jones</u>	<u>Simulator Support Supervisor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-9-00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-16-00</u>
9. <u>WYLER HALL</u>	<u>CRS / WRITE UP EXAM VALUATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/19/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/13/00</u>
10. <u>GLENN McDONALD</u>	<u>Sim Support / HAND / SW MAINT.</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/14/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-13-00</u>
11. <u>Melissa Beemel</u>	<u>Sr. Secretary - Trng.</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/24/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-11-00</u>
12. <u>Scott Choppy</u>	<u>AIC TECH</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/11/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-11-00</u>
13. <u>Robert Glaze</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR / SIMULATOR OPERATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/12/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/12/00</u>
14. <u>WILLIAM M. BENNEY</u>	<u>CONTROL ROOM SUPERVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/12/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/13/00</u>
15. <u>BRYAN PELLEGRIN</u>	<u>SHIFT TECHNICAL ADVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/12/00</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/13/00</u>

NOTES: **I** - EXAM SECURITY ISSUE DOCUMENTED ~~WF3-2000~~ VIA CONDITION REPORT WF3-2000-1183. NO OTHER EXAM SECURITY ISSUES EXIST.