

Ops Branch Assignment Check Sheet:  
 (Includes ES-201-1 & ES-501-1 Rev. 8 information)

as of: 12/03/99

Chief: S MCCRORY

Facility/Task: AN IN

Task Start Date: 04/24/00

ITEM DESCRIPTION	DUE DATE	INIT	DATE
0 Exam Schedule Agreement (C.1.a;C.2.a&b)	10/27/99	JLP	12/03/99
1 NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned (C.1.c;C.2.e)	10/27/99	JLP	12/03/99
2 Fac. contact briefed on security & other issues (C.2.c)	10/27/99	JLP	12/03/99
3 Corp. Notification Ltr Sent (C.2.d) (Also for PIR/CAP)	10/27/99	<i>JLP</i>	1/11/00
4 Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	01/25/00	<i>JLP</i>	1/12/00
5 [Reference Material Due (C.1.d;C.3.c)]	01/25/00	NA	
6 Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.d&e;C.3.d)	12/26/99	<i>JLP</i>	1/14/00
7 Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent (c.2.h;C.3.e)	01/09/00	<i>JLP</i>	1/19/00
8 Preliminary Appl. Due (C.1.j;C.2.g;ES202)	03/25/00	<i>JLP</i>	3/25/00
9 Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due (C.1.d/ef;C.3.d)	02/24/00	<i>JLP</i>	2/11/00
10 Peer Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts	03/05/00	<i>JLP</i>	2/18/00
11 NRC Suprv. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev. (C.2.h;C.3.f)	03/05/00	<i>JLP</i>	2/23/00
12 Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f&h;C.3.g)	03/05/00	<i>JLP</i>	3/05/00
13 Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheet Prep'd (C.1.j;C.2.h;ES202)	04/10/00	<i>JLP</i>	3/28/00
14 NRC Suprv. Initials For Final Exams Approved (C.2.i;C.3.h)	04/17/00	<i>JLP</i>	4/17/00
15 Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent (C.2.g)	04/17/00	<i>JLP</i>	4/17/00
16 Proctor Rules Rev'd w/ Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	04/17/00	<i>JLP</i>	4/17/00
17 Exam/Insp Mat'l to Team (C.3.i)	04/17/00	<i>JLP</i>	4/17/00
18 Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd	05/06/00	<i>JLP</i>	5/6/00
19 NRC Written Grading Completed	05/09/00	<i>JLP</i>	5/9/00
20 Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests	05/09/00	<i>JLP</i>	5/9/00
21 NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed	05/19/00	<i>JLP</i>	5/19/00
22 NRC BC Review Completed	05/20/00	<i>JLP</i>	5/29/00
23 RPS/IP # Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	05/25/00	<i>JLP</i>	5/29/00
24 Lic./Denials Signed & Report Issued	05/25/00	<i>JLP</i>	5/29/00
25 Package Closed Out - Lessons Learned Debriefed & Filed - Final Inspection Report Issued - Exam Package to OLA - Fac. Contact Notified of Results	06/15/00	<i>JLP</i>	6/15/00

\* Note Supv/Peer initials required.  
 New edition for PIR/CAP as of 9/28/99  
 [] Req'd NRC-auth. exams only.  
 When complete, for exams, add  
 to pkg & fwd copy to BC, for  
 insp, fwd orig'l to BC.

Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One – Unit 2		Date of Examination: April 24, 2000		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c
1.  W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	mw	js	sa
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically prepared and whether all knowledge and ability categories are appropriately sampled.	mw	js	sa
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	mw	js	sa
	d. Assess whether the repetition from previous examination outlines is excessive.	mw	js	sa
2.  S I M	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	mw	js	sa
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated over successive days.	mw	js	sa
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	mw	js	sa
3.  W / T	a. Verify that: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks.	mw	js	sa
	b. Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3) 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and (5) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA.	mw	js	sa
	c. Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities.	mw	js	sa
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on successive days.	mw	js	sa
4.  G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	mw	js	sa
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	mw	js	sa
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	mw	js	sa
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	mw	js	sa
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	mw	js	sa
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	mw	js	sa
a. Author	George V. Woolf	Printed Name / Signature		Date
b. Facility Reviewer(**)	Daniel R. Sealock	George V. Woolf	Daniel R. Sealock	1/14/2000
c. Chief Examiner	John L. Hillis	John L. Hillis	S. L. McRory	1/19/00
d. NRC Supervisor	John L. Hillis	John L. Hillis	John L. Hillis	1/19/00

(\*) Not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.

Facility: <b>Arkansas Nuclear One – Unit 2</b>		Date of Exam: <b>04/21/2000</b>		Exam Level: <b>SRO</b>			
Item Description				Initial			
				a	b*	c*	
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility			MW	ds	ds	
2.	a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions	(a)	MW	ds	ds		
	b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available	(b)	N/A	~1/2	ds		
3.	RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401			MW	ds	ds	
4.	No more than 25 questions are duplicated from [practice exams, quizzes, and] the last two NRC licensing exams; enter the actual number of duplicated questions at right	NRC	Other	MW	ds	ds	
		0	0				
5.	[No (Less than 5 percent) question duplication from the license screening/audit exam (if independently written)]			(1)			
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 50 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	Bank	Modified	New	MW	ds	ds
		0%	0%	100%			
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	Memory	CIA		MW	ds	ds
		24%	76%				
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers			MW	ds	ds	
9.	Question distribution meets previously approved examination outline; deviations are justified			MW	ds	ds	
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines			MW	ds	ds	
11.	The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet			MW	ds	ds	
				Printed Name / Signature		Date	
a. Author	George V. Woolf <u>George V. Woolf</u>					2/8/00	
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	Daniel R. Sealock <u>Daniel R. Sealock</u>					2/8/00	
c. NRC Chief Examiner(*)	S.L. McCRORY <u>S.L. McCRORY</u>					2/22/00	
d. NRC Regional Supervisor(*)	John C. Bell <u>John C. Bell</u>					2/22/00	
<p>Note: * The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for NRC-developed examinations; two independent NRC reviews are required.                  # See special instructions (Section E.2.c) for Items 1, 4, 5, and 6.                  [ ] The items in brackets do not apply to NRC-prepared examinations.                  (1) Audit exam not developed or given yet.</p>							

Facility: <b>Arkansas Nuclear One – Unit 2</b>		Date of Exam: <b>04/21/2000</b>		Exam Level: <b>RO</b>				
Item Description				Initial				
				a	b*	c*		
1. Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility				BW	AS	JK		
2. a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available		(a)	(b)	BW	AS	JK		
3. RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401				BW	AS	JK		
4. No more than 25 questions are duplicated from [practice exams, quizzes, and] the last two NRC licensing exams; enter the actual number of duplicated questions at right		NRC	Other	BW	AS	JK		
		0	0					
5. [No (Less than 5 percent) question duplication from the license screening/audit exam (if independently written)]				(1)				
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 50 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right		Bank	Modified	New	BW	AS	JK	
		0%	0%	100%				
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right		Memory		C/A		BW	AS	JK
		26%		74%				
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers				BW	AS	JK		
9. Question distribution meets previously approved examination outline; deviations are justified				BW	AS	JK		
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines				BW	AS	JK		
11. The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet				BW	AS	JK		
				Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a. Author		George V. Woolf		<i>George V. Woolf</i>		2/8/00		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)		Daniel R. Sealock		<i>Daniel Sealock</i>		2/8/00		
c. NRC Chief Examiner(*)		<i>John L. Pellet</i>		<i>John L. Pellet</i>		2/24/00		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor(*)		John L. Pellet		<i>John L. Pellet</i>		2/23/00		
<p>Note: * The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for NRC-developed examinations; two independent NRC reviews are required.                  # See special instructions (Section E.2.c) for Items 1, 4, 5, and 6.                  [ ] The items in brackets do not apply to NRC-prepared examinations.                  (1) Audit exam not developed or given yet.</p>								

Facility:	Date of Examination:	Operating Test Number:		
<b>1. GENERAL CRITERIA</b>		Initials		
		a	b	c
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	GW	JL	JL
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	GW	JL	JL
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s) (see Section D.1.a).	GW	JL	JL
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits.	GW	JL	JL
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	GW	JL	JL
<b>2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A &amp; B) CRITERIA</b>		-	-	-
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· initial conditions</li> <li>· initiating cues</li> <li>· references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>· validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>· specific performance criteria that include:                         <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>- system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>- statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>- criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>- identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>- restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	GW	JL	JL
b.	The prescribed questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301.	GW	JL	JL
c.	Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.	GW	JL	JL
d.	At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.	GW	JL	JL
<b>3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA</b>		-	-	-
a.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	GW	JL	JL
	Printed Name / Signature	Date		
a. Author	<u>George V. Woolf</u> <u>George V. Woolf</u>	<u>3/29/00</u>		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>Daniel R. Sealock</u> <u>Daniel Sealock</u>	<u>3/29/00</u>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3/30/00</u>		
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>John Kelly</u> <u>John Kelly</u>	<u>3/30/00</u>		
(*) The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests; two independent NRC reviews are required.				

Facility: ANO-2		Date of Exam: 4/24/2000		Scenario Numbers: 1/2/3		Operating Test No.: 1	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES				Initials			
				A	B	C	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	mw	HT	JA			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	mw	HT	JA			
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>	①	mw	JA			
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	mw	HT	JA			
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	mw	HT	JA			
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	①	mw	JA			
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	N/A	N/A	JA			
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	mw	HT	JA			
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	①	mw	JA			
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-301.	mw	HT	JA			
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	mw	HT	JA			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	mw	HT	JA			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	mw	HT	JA			
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D)		Actual Attributes					
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	6 / 5 / 5	mw	HT	JA		
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	3 / 2 / 1	mw	HT	JA		
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2 / 3 / 4	mw	HT	JA		
4.	Major transients (1-2)	2 / 1 / 1	mw	HT	JA		
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1	mw	HT	JA		
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	0 / 0 / 0	mw	HT	JA		
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2 / 2 / 2	mw	HT	JA		

① Specific attributes will be completed when the scenarios are validated during the validation scheduled for the week April 10, 2000.

OPERATING TEST NO.:

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1	1	3	5	
	Normal	1	1	3	1,5	
	Instrument	2	2,3	1,2	1,3	
	Component	2	4,5	3,5,6	2,4	
	Major	1	4,5	4	6	

As RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
	Instrument	1				
	Component	1				
	Major	1				

As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument	1				
	Component	1				
	Major	1				

SRO-U	Reactivity	0	NA	NA	NA	
	Normal	1	1	3	1,5	
	Instrument	1	2,3	1,2	1,3	
	Component	1	4,5	3,5,6	2,4	
	Major	1	4,5	4	6	

Instructions:

- (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.

Author:

Chief Examiner:

George V. Wolf  
John F. McCreary

Competencies	CBOR Applicant #1 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U				CBOT Applicant #2 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U				CRS Applicant #3 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1		3	4	1	2	3	4
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	2/3 4/5	1/3 4	2/3 5/6		3/4 5	2/4 5/6	1/4 5/6		2/3 4/5	1/6	1/6	
Diagnose Events and Conditions	2/4 5	1/3 4	2/3 5		3/4 5	2/3 4/5	1/4 5		2/3 4/5	1/6	1/6	
Understand Plant and System Response	1/5	1/3 4/5	2/3 5/6		1/5	2/3 4/5 6	1/4 5/6		1/5	1/6	1/6	
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1/2 4/5	1/3 4	2/3 5		1/3 4/5	2/3 4/5	1/4 5		1/5	1/6	1/6	
Operate Control Boards (2)	1/2 3/4	1/3 4	2/3 5		1/3 4/5	2/3 4/5 6	1/4 5/6		NA	NA	NA	
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	ALL	ALL	ALL		ALL	ALL	ALL		ALL	ALL	ALL	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA		ALL	ALL	ALL	
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA		2/3 4/5	1/2 4/5 6	3/5 6	

Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

Chief Examiner:

*George V. Wolf* *M Seal*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_



Facility: ANO-2		Date of Exam: 04/21/2000		Exam Level: <input checked="" type="radio"/> RO <input type="radio"/> SRO	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	<i>GW</i>	<i>JM</i>	<i>JL</i>		
2. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<i>GW</i>	<i>JM</i>	<i>JL</i>		
3. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	<i>GW</i>	<i>JM</i>	<i>JL</i>		
4. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<i>NA</i>	<i>NA</i>	<i>NA</i>		
5. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<i>GW</i>	<i>JM</i>	<i>JL</i>		

  

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Grader	George V. Woolf/ <i>George V. Woolf</i>	4/26/2000
	Thomas F. Mayfield/ <i>Thomas F. Mayfield</i>	4/26/2000
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	Daniel R. Sealock/ <i>Daniel R. Sealock</i>	4/26/2000
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<i>John Pellet</i>	5/3/00
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<i>John Pellet</i>	5/3/00

(\*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.

Facility: <b>ANO-2</b>		Date of Exam: <b>04/21/2000</b>		Exam Level: RO <b>(SRO)</b>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	GVW GJ	JD	JA		
2. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	GVW GJ	JD	JA		
3. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	GVW GJ	JD	JA		
4. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	—	NT	—		
5. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	GVW GJ	JD	JA		
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a. Grader	George V. Woolf / <i>George V. Woolf</i>		4/26/2000		
	Thomas F. Mayfield / <i>Thomas F. Mayfield</i>		4/26/2000		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	Daniel R. Sealock / <i>Daniel R. Sealock</i>		4/26/2000		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<i>[Signature]</i>		5/3/00		
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<i>[Signature]</i>		5/3/00		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

## Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2000 To 09/30/2001

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
03/19/2000	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 /			FAC	MCCRORY, STEPHEN L.	MCCRORY, STEPHEN L.
04/03/2000	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2000301		Prep		MCCRORY, STEPHEN L.	MCCRORY, STEPHEN L.
04/24/2000	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2000301	RO - 4 SROU - 3	Admin	FFF	MCCRORY, STEPHEN L.	GAGE, PAUL C. MCCRORY, STEPHEN L. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.

Sites: ANO

Orgs: 4620

Exam Author: ALL

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 4/14 thru 4/21/2000 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 4/14 thru 4/21/2000. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
George V. Woolf	Simulator Instructor	<i>George V. Woolf</i>	9/2799	<i>George V. Woolf</i>	4/27/00	
James R. Hatman	Simulator Instructor	<i>James R. Hatman</i>	9/2799	<i>James R. Hatman</i>	4/27/00	
Daniel R. Sealock	Simulator Supervisor	<i>Daniel Sealock</i>	9/2799	<i>Daniel Sealock</i>	4/27/00	
Larry G. McLerran	Simulator Supervisor	<i>Larry G. McLerran</i>	9/2799	<i>Larry G. McLerran</i>	4/27/00	
David R. Russell	Simulator Engineer	<i>David R. Russell</i>	9/2799	<i>David R. Russell</i>	4/27/00	
David M. Hall	Simulator Engineer	<i>David M. Hall</i>	9/2799	<i>David M. Hall</i>	4/27/00	
Gerald R. Giles	Classroom Supervisor	<i>Gerald R. Giles</i>	9/2799	<i>Gerald R. Giles</i>	4/27/00	
John W. Conk	U#1 Exam Developer	<i>John W. Conk</i>	10/4/99	<i>John W. Conk</i>	4/29/00	
Jerry W. Teter	Contractor	<i>Jerry W. Teter</i>	10-21-99	<i>Jerry W. Teter</i>	4-25-00	
Steve A. Davis	Licensed operator	<i>Steve A. Davis</i>	12-16-99	<i>Steve A. Davis</i>	4-26-00	
Adam C. Heflin	Senior Reactor Operator	<i>Adam C. Heflin</i>	12-16-99	<i>Adam C. Heflin</i>	4-27-00	
NOTES:						

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 4/21 thru 4/27/2000 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 4/21 thru 4/27/2000. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
Thomas F. Mayfield	TRAINING SUPERVISOR	<i>Thomas F. Mayfield</i>	3-13-00 4-12-00	<i>Thomas F. Mayfield</i>	4-27-00	
Robert C. Carey	Instructor	<i>Robert C. Carey</i>	3-16-00	<i>Robert C. Carey</i>	4-27-00	
Freddie Forrest	Atom Procedure	<i>Freddie Forrest</i>	3-31-00	<i>Freddie Forrest</i>	4-25-00	
David Carothers	Computer Tech.	<i>David Carothers</i>	4-5-00	<i>David Carothers</i>	4-27-00	
David L. McKinney	Shift Manager	<i>David L. McKinney</i>	4-11-00	<i>David L. McKinney</i>	4-26-00	
Rusty Whitehead	Reactor Operator	<i>Rusty Whitehead</i>	4-11-00	<i>Rusty Whitehead</i>	4-26-00	
Keith Wilkins	Computer Tech	<i>Keith Wilkins</i>	4-11-00	<i>Keith Wilkins</i>	4/27/00	
ROBERT VIRDEN	SOFT SPEC	<i>Robert Virden</i>	4/11/00	<i>Robert Virden</i>	4-27-00	
Scott Capp	Simulator Engineer	<i>Scott Capp</i>	4/12/00	<i>Scott Capp</i>	4-27-00	
NOTES:						