

6ALS/RIV
From: Russell Wise (RXW)
To: ELW1 E. den Williams, DE/RIV
Date: Wednesday, January 24, 1996 7:27 am
Subject: ~~ALLEGATION RIV-95-A-0009~~-Forwarded

Forwarded mail received from: AR1:HMS2:WC1:PMQ
Len & Bob, Item 2 of the attachment answers the questions you had
regarding the terminal box. If you need anything else. Let me
know.

CC: RJK

Files: m0:MESSAGE, m1:~~ALLEG~~ANO

LL/7

DRS/RIV
From: Phil M. Qualls (PMQ)
To: TFS, WPA, TPG, CAV, ELW1, RXW
Date: Tuesday, January 23, 1996 6:00 pm
Subject: ~~ALLEGATION RIV-95-A-0009~~

J. Stebbins DRS/RIV
W. Ang DRS/RIV
T. Gupton DRS/RIV
C. Vandenberg DRS/RIV
E. Len Williams OI/RIV
R. Wise EICS/RIV

David Pereira did the inspection of the ANO fire protection ~~allegation~~. The attached file addresses the question of safety significance. This should answer all of the questions that we are aware of.

CC: DBP

Files: P: ~~ALLEG~~ ANO

From: David B. Pereira *DRS/RIV*
To: Chris Vandenburg, Thomas Gwynn *DRS/RIV*
Date: Wednesday, January 17, 1996 4:31 am
Subject: ANO FIRE PROTECTION ~~ALLEGATION~~ RIV-95-A-0009

1. Please have Phil Qualls coordinate with Russ Wise and OI (Kirs concerning the subject. OI is trying to closeout its investigati question as to whether a required record was falsified needs to b In particular, Phil's memo responding to the subject refers to th replace screws in an electrical panel that enclosed a required fi minor violation of licensee procedures (potential NCV). However, make clear whether the fire seal is the only safety-related matte if the electrical panel closure is also safety related. This is since the records related to the panel closure were falsified and unclear as to whether the work to close the panel was required to according to prescribed procedures or if the licensee applied its procedures in an application where NRC did not have requirements. know if that record was a record required by NRC.

2. On January 23, 1996, I contacted the Unit 1 ANO electrical mod department concerning the particular penetration and to determine electrical panel closure was also safety related. According to t modification supervisor, Ray Kellar, the panel closure was not sa The cover over the electrical junction box was to prevent debris, personnel from entering the box without a work permit. According Controlled Work Permit (CWP #90-2053/900034-3), the work classifi checked only for fire protection, and NOT "Q" or "Seismic". No q control signature was required for reinstallation of the cover on electrical panel. Quality control signatures were required for v of installation of a 1 inch minimum Cerafiber/Kaowool/Ceraboard a verification that the fire seal was complete and free of visible

The work to close the panel was required to be performed by the 1 procedures. There was no NRC requirement for the electrical pane cover installed. The fire seal was the safety related NRC requir seal was installed, verified by QC, and witnessed by appropriate personnel. The electrical panel cover's purpose was to keep the clean and prevent unauthorized entry into the junction box. The was falsified was the electrical cover reinstallation which is no record requirement.

CC: PMQ