



Duke Power Company
A Duke Energy Company
Energy Center
P.O. Box 1006
Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

January 10, 2001

U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attention: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Duke Energy Corporation
Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1, and 2
Docket Numbers 50-413 and 50-414
Monthly Performance and Operation Status-December, 2000

Please find attached information concerning the performance and operation status of the Catawba Nuclear Station for the month of December, 2000.

Any questions or comments December be directed to Roger A. Williams at (704) 382-5346.

Sincerely,

Terry Dimmery, Manager
Nuclear Business Support

Attachment
XC:

L. A. Reyes, Regional Administrator
USNRC, Region II

Chandu Patel, Project Manager
USNRC, ONRR

INPO Records Center

Ms. Margaret Aucoin
Nuclear Assurance Corporation

Dottie Sherman, ANI Library
American Nuclear Insurers

Darrell Roberts, Senior Resident Inspector

IE24

Document Control Desk
U.S. NRC - Catawba

bxc:

Gary Gilbert (CN01RC)
K. E. Nicholson (CN01RC)
RGC Site Licensing File
ELL (EC050)

Operating Data Report

Docket No. 50-413
Date January 10, 2001
Completed By Roger Williams
Telephone 704-382-5346

Operating Status

1. Unit Name: Catawba 1
2. Reporting Period: December 1, 2000 - December 31, 2000
3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 3411
4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 1305 *
5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 1145
6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 1192
7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 1129
8. If Changes Occured in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3-7) Since Last Report, Give Reasons:

**Notes: *Nameplate
Rating (Gross MWe)
calculated as 1450.000
MVA * .90 power
factor per Page iii,
NUREG-0020.**

9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe): _____

10. Reason for Restrictions, If any: _____

	This Month	YTD	Cumulative
--	------------	-----	------------

25. If ShutDown At End Of Report Period, Estimated Date of Startup

26. Units in Test Status (Prior to Commercial Operation)

	Forecast	Achieved
--	----------	----------

UNIT SHUTDOWNS

DOCKET NO. 50-413UNIT NAME: Catawba 1DATE: January 10, 2001COMPLETED BY: Roger WilliamsTELEPHONE: 704-382-5346REPORT MONTH: December, 2000

No.	Date:	Type F - Forced S - Scheduled	Duration Hours	(1) Reason	(2) Method of Shutdown R/X	Licensed Event Report No.	Cause and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
			No	Outages	for the Month		

Summary:

(1) Reason

A - Equipment failure (Explain)

B - Maintenance or Test

C - Refueling

D - Regulatory restriction

E - Operator Training/License Examination

F - Administrative

G - Operator Error (Explain)

H - Other (Explain)

(2) Method

1 - Manual

3 - Automatic Trip/Scram

5 - Other (Explain)

2 - Manual Trip/Scram

4 - Continuation

MONTHLY REFUELING INFORMATION REQUEST

1. Facility name: Catawba Unit 1
2. Scheduled next refueling shutdown: May 2002
3. Scheduled restart following refueling: May 2002

THE PROJECT MANAGER HAS BEEN ADVISED BY SEPARATE COMMUNICATION OF ANY T.S. CHANGE OR LICENSE AMENDMENT. THEREFORE, QUESTIONS 4 THROUGH 6 WILL NO LONGER BE MAINTAINED IN THIS REPORT.

4. Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment?

If yes, what will these be?

If no, has reload design and core configuration been reviewed by Safety Review Committee regarding unreviewed safety questions?

5. Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information.
6. Important licensing considerations (new or different design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in design or new operating procedures).
7. Number of Fuel assemblies (a) in the core: 193
 (b) in the spent fuel pool: 860
8. Present licensed fuel pool capacity: 1418
Size of requested or planned increase: ---
9. Projected date of last refueling which can be accommodated by present license capacity:
November 2009

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DATE: January 10, 2001

Name of Contact: R. A. Williams

Phone: (704) - 382-5346

Operating Data Report

Docket No. 50-414
Date January 10, 2001
Completed By Roger Williams
Telephone 704-382-5346

Operating Status

1. Unit Name: Catawba 2
2. Reporting Period: December 1, 2000 - December 31, 2000
3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 3411
4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 1305 *
5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 1145
6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 1192
7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 1129
8. If Changes Occured in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3-7) Since Last Report, Give Reasons:

**Notes: *Nameplate
Rating (Gross MWe)
calculated as 1450.000
MVA * .90 power
factor per Page iii,
NUREG-0020.**

9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe): _____

10. Reason for Restrictions, If any: _____

	This Month	YTD	Cumulative
11. Hours in Reporting Period	744.0	8784.0	125977.0
12. Number of Hours Reactor was Critical	744.0	7985.8	103508.3
13. Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0.0	0.0
14. Hours Generator On-Line	744.0	7930.9	102111.5
15. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0.0	0.0
16. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH)	2528630	168993488	476593364
17. Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	918759	9479473	118895396
18. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	874162	8981372	112238368
19. Unit Service Factor	100.0	90.3	81.1
20. Unit Availability Factor	100.0	90.3	81.1
21. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net)	104.1	90.6	78.8
22. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net)	102.6	89.3	77.8
23. Unit Forced Outage Rate	0.0	2.0	7.5
24. Shutdown Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date and Duration of Each)			

25. If ShutDown At End Of Report Period, Estimated Date of Startup

26. Units in Test Status (Prior to Commercial Operation)

	Forecast	Achieved
Initial Criticality	_____	_____
Initial Electricity	_____	_____
Commercial Operation	_____	_____

UNIT SHUTDOWNS

DOCKET NO. 50-414UNIT NAME: Catawba 2DATE: January 10, 2001COMPLETED BY: Roger WilliamsTELEPHONE: 704-382-5346REPORT MONTH: December, 2000

No.	Date:	Type F - Forced S - Scheduled	Duration Hours	(1) Reason	(2) Method of Shutdown R/X	Licensed Event Report No.	Cause and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
			No	Outages	for the Month		

Summary:

(1) Reason

A - Equipment failure (Explain)

B - Maintenance or Test

C - Refueling

D - Regulatory restriction

E - Operator Training/License Examination

F - Administrative

G - Operator Error (Explain)

H - Other (Explain)

(2) Method

1 - Manual

3 - Automatic Trip/Scram

5 - Other (Explain)

2 - Manual Trip/Scram

4 - Continuation

MONTHLY REFUELING INFORMATION REQUEST

1. Facility name: Catawba Unit 2
2. Scheduled next refueling shutdown: September 2001
3. Scheduled restart following refueling: October 2001

THE PROJECT MANAGER HAS BEEN ADVISED BY SEPARATE COMMUNICATION OF ANY T.S. CHANGE OR LICENSE AMENDMENT. THEREFORE, QUESTIONS 4 THROUGH 6 WILL NO LONGER BE MAINTAINED IN THIS REPORT.

4. Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment?

If yes, what will these be?

If no, has reload design and core configuration been reviewed by Safety Review Committee regarding unreviewed safety questions?

5. Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information.
6. Important licensing considerations (new or different design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in design or new operating procedures).
7. Number of Fuel assemblies (a) in the core: 193
 (b) in the spent fuel pool: 756
8. Present licensed fuel pool capacity: 1418
Size of requested or planned increase: ---
9. Projected date of last refueling which can be accommodated by present license capacity:
May 2012

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DATE: January 10, 2001

Name of Contact: R. A. Williams

Phone: (704) - 382-5346

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION

MONTHLY OPERATING STATUS REPORT

NOVEMBER 2000

1. Personnel Exposure -

The total station liquid release for NOVEMBER has been compared with the Technical Specifications maximum annual dose commitment and was less than 10 percent of this limit.

The total station gaseous release for NOVEMBER has been compared with the Technical Specifications maximum annual dose commitment and was less than 10 percent of this limit.