

Nuclear Management Company, LLC Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant 1717 Wakonade Dr. East • Welch MN 55089

January 10, 2001

US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT Docket Nos. 50-282 License Nos. DPR-42 Docket Nos. 50-306 License Nos. DPR-60

Prairie Island EOF Emergency Plan Implementing Procedures - F8

EOF Emergency Response Plan Implementing Procedures

Furnished with this letter are the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant EOF Emergency Plan Implementing Procedures F8. This revision includes the following procedures:

INDEXES: EOF Emergency Plant Implementing Procedures TOC

REVISIONS:

F8-5 Offsite Dose Assessment & Protective Action Recommendations Rev 6

INSTRUCTIONS:

Please post changes in your copy of the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant EOF Emergency Implementing Procedures. Procedures which have been superseded or deleted should be destroyed. Please sign and return the acknowledgment of this update to Bruce Loesch, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, 1717 Wakonade Drive East, Welch, MN 55089.

If you have any questions, please contact Mel Agen at 651-388-1121 Extension 4240.

- Spencer

Joel P. Sorensen Site General Manager Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant

A045

c: USNRC – James Foster, Region III (2 copies) NRC Resident Inspector (w/o attachment) J Silberg (w/o attachment) M Agen (w/o attachment) Records Management (Doc Control Copy) (w/o attachment) NL File (w/o attachment)

Date : 01/05/01 Mfst Num: 2001 - 0009 : Prairie Island Loc FROM : Bruce Loesch/Mary Gadient : UNDERWOOD, BETTY J TO Holder : US NRC DOC CONTROL DESK Copy Num: 515 SUBJECT : Revisions to CONTROLLED DOCUMENTS Rev Title Procedure # _____ Revisions: ========== OFFSITE DOSE ASSESSMENT & PROTECTIVE ACTIO 6 F8-5 RECOMMENDATIONS

UPDATING INSTRUCTIONS

Place this material in your Prairie Island Controlled Manual or File. Remove revised or cancelled material and recycle it. Sign and date this letter in the space provided below within ten working days and return to Bruce Loesch or Mary Gadient, Prairie Island Nuclear Plant, 1717 Wakonade Drive E., Welch, MN 55089. Contact Bruce Loesch (ext 4664) or Mary Gadient (ext 4478) if you have any questions.

Received the material stated above and complied with the updating instructions

Date _____

PRAIRIE ISLANI GENERATING	NUCLEAR Title: PLANT EOF Emerg Plan Implementing Procedur	es TOC
	Effective Date : 01/05/01	
pproved By: Joy BI	Ke Chitty /BD PS Supt	
Document #	Title	Rev
	EMERGENCY OPERATIONS FACILITY ORGANIZATION	5
F8-2	RESPONSIBILITIES DURING AN ALERT, SITE AREA OR GENERAL EMERGENCY IN THE EOF	7
F8-3	ACTIVATION & OPERATION OF THE EOF	4
F8-4	EMERGENCY SUPPORT & LOGISTICS	4
F8-5	OFFSITE DOSE ASSESSMENT & PROTECTIVE ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS	6
F8-6	RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING & CONTROL AT THE EOF	6
F8-8	OFFSITE AGENCY LIAISON ACTIVITIES	4
F8-9	EVENT TERMINATION OR RECOVERY	6
F8-10	RECORD KEEPING IN THE EOF	2
F8-11	TRANSFER TO THE BACKUP EOF	3
F8-12	EMERGENCY REMP	3

-

PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

EMERGENCY PLAN IMPLEMENTING PROCEDURE



OFFSITE DOSE ASSESSMENT AND PROTECTIVE ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS

NUMBER:

F8-5 REV: 6

REFERENCE USE

- Procedure segments may be performed from memory.
- Use the procedure to verify segments are complete.
- Mark off steps within segment before continuing.
- Procedure should be available at the work location.

O.C REVIEW DATE:	OWNER:	EFFECTIVE DATE
12/11/00 S.C.	M. Werner	1-5-01

NUMBER:



4

OFFSITE DOSE ASSESSMENT AND PROTECTIVE ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS

F8-5 REV: 6

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Secti	on Title Page
1.0	PURPOSE3
2.0	APPLICABILITY
3.0	PRECAUTIONS
4.0	RESPONSIBILITIES
5.0	DISCUSSION4
6.0	PREREQUISITES4
7.0	PROCEDURE4
	7.1 General Emergency Initial Protective Action Recommendations4
	7.2 Site Area Emergency Initial Protective Action Recommendations
	7.3 Radioactive Plume Release Assessment5
	7.4 Liquid Release Assessment7
	7.5 Ground Deposition Assessment7
	7.6 Ingestion Pathway Assessment9
	7.7 Return Assessments
	LIST OF ATTACHMENTS
Attac	hment 1 Definitions Related To PARS17
	LIST OF TABLES
Table	e 1 Ground Deposition Graph Basis 12
Table	e 2 Guidance On Field Team Deployment13
Table	e 3 Relocation Or Secondary Evacuation Dose Projection14
Table	e 4 Relocation Protective Action Guide16 LIST OF FIGURES
Figur	e 1 Ground Deposition Graph11
Figur	e 2 Exposure Pathways, Incident Phases, and Protective Actions



NUMBER:			
	F8-5		
REV:	6		

1.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this procedure is to provide guidance for assessing the consequences of a radioactive release and formulating Protective Action Recommendations (PARs) for the general public during the early and intermediate phases of a radiological emergency.

2.0 APPLICABILITY

This instruction **SHALL** apply to all Radiation Protection Support Supervisors (RPSS) and all Emergency Managers.

3.0 PRECAUTIONS

- **3.1** Declaration of a General Emergency requires immediate initial protective action recommendations (PARs) to offsite agencies. Under these circumstances, NO dose projections are required for formulating the initial offsite protective action recommendation.
- **3.2** Implementation of protective actions for offsite areas is the responsibility of the State of Minnesota and the State of Wisconsin. If it is determined, by the Emergency Manager, that immediate protective actions are required, and the State EOCs are not activated, the Emergency Manager **SHALL** authorize such recommendations to be made directly to the local authorities. Once the State EOCs are activated, all Protective Action Recommendations **SHALL** be made to the State EOCs.
- **3.3** It is the responsibility of the county and state agencies and the National Weather Service to notify members of the Prairie Island community of approved protective actions. Protective action notification is accomplished by the activation of the Public Alert and Notification System (PANS).
- **3.4** Offsite protective actions for the ingestion exposure pathway (ingestion of contaminated food and water) will be determined and implemented by the appropriate state authorities during the intermediate phase of an emergency.

4.0 **RESPONSIBILITIES**

- **4.1** Upon activation of the EOF, the Emergency Manager (EM) **SHALL** assume the non-delegatable authority and responsibility for issuing offsite Protective Action Recommendations from the Emergency Director.
- **4.2** The RPSS, once the EOF is activated, **SHALL** be responsible to promulgate Protective Action Recommendations (PARs) and **SHALL** channel all such recommendations through the EM for approval.



NUMBER: F8-5 REV: 6

5.0 DISCUSSION

- **5.1** This procedure has several parts. The first four (4) parts are for use during the early phase of a declared emergency condition; the other parts (ground deposition, ingestion pathway and return) are for use during the intermediate phase.
- **5.2** Definitions See Attachment 1.

6.0 PREREQUISITES

- 6.1 A General Emergency has been or will be declared.
- **6.2** A Site Area Emergency has been or will be declared and there is an actual or potential airborne radioactive release that meets or exceeds the PAGs.
- **6.3** An Alert or Site Area Emergency has been or will be declared and there is an actual or potential liquid radioactive release that meets or exceeds the PAGs.

7.0 PROCEDURE

- 7.1 General Emergency Initial Protective Action Recommendations
 - **7.1.1** If a General Emergency is declared, the RPSS **SHALL** refer to F3-8, Recommendations For Offsite Protective Actions, and formulate PARs in accordance with the F3-8 guidance contained in the section entitled "Protective Action Recommendation For a General Emergency".
 - **7.1.2** The RPSS **SHALL** perform the duties and responsibilities as stipulated for the REC and route the particular forms to the Emergency Manager for review and approval prior to transmission to the Offsite agencies.



NUMBER:		
	F8-5	
REV:	6	

7.2 Site Area Emergency Initial Protective Action Recommendations

- **7.2.1** No initial offsite Protective Action Recommendations for the general public are warranted during a Site Area Emergency unless the offsite dose projections exceed the established Protective Action Guides.
- **7.2.2** Precautionary recommendations may be warranted for the nearsite special population (Treasure Island Casino) under certain conditions.
 - A. After the declaration of a Site Area Emergency, the RPSS (or REC if EOF is not activated) should review plant conditions listed on PINGP 585, Protective Action Recommendation Checklist.
 - B. If the accident prognosis at the Site Area Emergency is <u>degrading</u> or <u>unknown</u>, then a recommendation for shutting down the casino and dismissal of casino patrons should be given to the Goodhue County EOC per PINGP 585.
 - C. If the accident prognosis is known to be <u>improving</u>, then the Goodhue County EOC should be notified that NO precautionary actions for casino operation are recommended at this time. See PINGP 585.

7.3 Radioactive Plume Release Assessment

- 7.3.1 Plume Projected Dose
 - A. Run the dose projection model to obtain information on the magnitude of plume projected doses, the likely location of affected areas, and time-related aspects of the release. (This includes potential, as well as, actual releases.)
 - B. For potential releases, base the projections on the approximate releasable activity, considering the most probable release path, current as well as forecast weather conditions, a rapid release or a slow extended release.
 - C. Post the current dose projection results on the status board. If projections are based on potential or hypothetical cases, be careful to clearly label as such.



OFFSITE DOSE ASSESSMENT AND PROTECTIVE ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS

NUMBER:		
	F8-5	
REV:	6	

- 7.3.2 Deployment of Field Teams
 - A. Deploy field teams (refer to Table 2 for guidance) to perform measurements and collect samples (per EPIP F3-15) with the objective of defining affected areas and providing data for comparison to the results of the dose projection model. In the case of a potential release, field teams should be used to confirm that no releases are occurring.



Two important pieces of data are the ratio of radioiodines to noble gases and the magnitude of any radioparticulates in the release. If data is not available through sampling ahead of the release point, field sampling should be initiated to supply the information.

- B. Keep field teams informed of the plant status and projected plume exposure rate levels. Ensure that survey team members are instructed to take appropriate protective actions.
- C. Record field team measurements using EMERGENCY SAMPLE RESULTS LOG (PINGP 647). Field measurements may be posted on a status board for easy reference and plotting results on the area maps is recommended as an aid to defining affected areas.
- D. Compare model results to field team measurements to establish the reliability of the model, including the thyroid and particulate dose projection components which are dependent on a good estimate of the radioiodine and particulate release terms.



If the dose assessment system is determined to be unreliable (e.g., field measurements greater than model results), stop the distribution of results and decision-making based on them. Consider the possibility of an unmonitored release. Options for dose assessment include adjusting the primary dose assessment system, switching to a back-up method, and using field measurements.

E. When releases have substantially decreased, consider retrieval of the EMERGENCY TLDs, which are part of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, to provide additional information on actual doses. Contact the REMP Coordinator/Administrator for guidance, and ensure radiological support is provided to persons entering contaminated areas for TLD retrieval.



NUMBER: F8-5 REV: 6

7.3.3 Determine PARs in accordance with F3-8, Recommendations for Offsite Protective Actions, section entitled "Protective Action Recommendations Based On Offsite Dose Projections".

7.4 Liquid Release Assessment

- **7.4.1** Obtain sample analysis data on the liquid being released, either directly by having a survey team collect a sample for analysis (per EPIP F3-16), or indirectly from Plant RP personnel.
- **7.4.2** Determine the off-site radiological consequences of the release according to directions given to F3-8, Recommendations for Offsite Protective Actions.
- **7.4.3** Determine PARs in accordance with F3-8, Recommendations for Offsite Protective Actions.

7.5 Ground Deposition Assessment

- 7.5.1 Ground Deposition Projections (Relocation Projected Doses)
 - A. After the plume has dissipated and the release is terminated, ground deposition projections and field team measurements in contaminated areas may begin.
 - B. Run the appropriate dose projection model to obtain information on the potential magnitude of ground deposition and likely location (footprint) of ground contaminated areas.
 - C. Use the projected footprint and contamination magnitudes as a guide to determine where field team measurements may begin.
 - D. Determination of secondary evacuations or relocation of the public will be based on actual field team measurements and ground deposition projections and NOT solely on ground deposition projections.
 - E. As a backup to the computer ground deposition dose projection model, Figure 1 may be used to establish a very rough estimate of potential contaminated areas and their relative magnitudes. Carefully note the assumptions used for developing the ground deposition graph described in Table 1.



OFFSITE DOSE ASSESSMENT AND PROTECTIVE ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS

NUMBER:		
	F8-5	
REV:	6	

7.5.2 Deployment of Field Teams

- A. Deploy field teams (refer to Table 2 for guidance) to obtain ambient dose rates and collect samples (per EPIP F3-15) in areas that are not evacuated, but with in the footprint. Within this region, concentrate first on areas suspected of having the highest deposition.
- B. Priority should be given to initially performing dose rate surveys, with more detailed smear surveys to follow.
- C. Target areas with dose rates above 0.1 mrem/hr or direct frisker readings above 20,000 cpm for collection of smear samples.
- D. Enough dose rate surveys/smear samples should be obtained to have confidence that "hot spots" have not been overlooked. Ten survey points per square mile is suggested as a minimum in areas where roads will allow this to be practical.
- E. Take care to ensure that areas not within the projected footprint are surveyed sufficiently to verify that the affected area has been identified completely.
- F. Plot the field team results on a map. Compare them to the ground deposition projections, and direct follow-up surveys as appropriate to ensure the affected area is identified.
- 7.5.3 Relocation Protective Action Recommendations
 - A. As exposure rate data is obtained, calculate relocation projected doses using the conversion factor of 5000 mrem per mR/hr (i.e., 5000 mrem relocation projected dose per 1 mR/hr initial gamma exposure rate 1 meter above the ground).



This conversion factor could be very conservative. The factor depends on the isotopic deposition. With actual isotopic data, a better conversion factor can be calculated using the data in Table 3.

B. As smear samples are analyzed and isotopic data is obtained, use Table 3 to refine the relocation projected doses.



NUMBER:

F8-5

6

REV:

- C. Plot the relocation projected doses on a map. (Consider using a dedicated map to avoid confusion.)
- D. Determine PARs in accordance with Table 4, Relocation Protective Action Guide.

7.6 Ingestion Pathway Assessment

7.6.1 Field Team Deployment

A. Contract the Health Department of each affected state and see if they have sample collection needs of particular priority in which we could assist.



Monticello NGP and Prairie Island NGP survey teams have the capability of performing dose rate, smear, liquid, soil/snow and air sampling and analysis. If there is a need for more sophisticated environmental samples have the REMP Administrator contact Teledyne Isotopes Midwest Laboratory and implement the letter of agreement. Once notified, Teledyne will dispatch a team to the affected site. They will also make their laboratories available for use should we need to send samples for analysis.

B. Direct the field teams to obtain samples according to the State(s) needs or to collect samples to confirm the results of the State(s) survey team.

7.6.2 Ingestion Pathway Dose Assessment

- A. Ingestion pathway dose assessment will not be performed by the PINGP. The plant will instead concentrate available resources on the collection, analysis, and transmittal of results to the States of smear, liquid, soil, and/or snow samples.
- B. Ingestion pathway protective actions will be determined by the Minnesota Departments of Health and Agriculture and/or the Wisconsin equivalents.



OFFSITE DOSE ASSESSMENT AND PROTECTIVE ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS

NUMBER:

F8-5

6

REV:

7.7 Return Assessments

7.7.1 Field Team Deployments

- A. As soon as resources allow, obtain dose rate surveys and smear samples per EPIP F3-15 in evacuated areas that are believed to be outside the contaminated areas or footprint.
- B. As the priority for return to evacuated areas within the known footprint increases (per the State recommendation), obtain dose rate surveys and smear samples per EPIP F3-15.

7.7.2 Relocation Projected Dose

- A. Use Table 3 and calculate relocation projected doses based on known (measured) ground deposition.
- B. Plot the relocation projected doses on a map.

7.7.3 Return Recommendation

- A. PINGP may recommend return of the general public to previously evacuated areas that are confirmed not contaminated.
- B. PINGP will NOT make recommendations on the return of the general public to previously evacuated areas that have various levels of measured contamination. Appropriate state and local agencies will make these decisions based on contamination data and other social-economic considerations.

NUMBER:

PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT



OFFSITE DOSE ASSESSMENT AND PROTECTIVE ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS









NUMBER:		
	F8-5	
REV:	6	

Table 1 Ground Deposition Graph Basis

The ground deposition graph was calculated using relations in R.G. 1.109 & R.G. 1.111. The following assumptions pertain to the graph:

- 1. Unstable (A,B,C) Pasquill stability class. This results in the highest depositions for elevated releases. For ground level releases, the stability class has little effect on calculated deposition rates. For a stable stability class, actual ground deposition could be zero out of many miles from the plant.
- 2. Elevated (100 meter) release height. For ground level releases, deposition rates will be slightly higher out to 20 miles, and somewhat less beyond 20 miles.
- 3. The plume is deposited uniformly within half-width of a sector arc (about 11 degrees), for constant wind directions. Actual plume widths for unstable stability classes are significantly wider than this. This assumption causes the projected area ground contamination to be at least as high as the highest (centerline) actual deposition that would occur under stable conditions for deposition i.a.w. a normal distribution with distance from the centerline.



If several wind shifts occurred during the release, determine the approximate number of sectors into which the plume deposited material for each release period of interest. Divide this value by 0.5 and divide the result into the ground depositions predicted by the graph, to obtain an estimate of the degree the deposition was "diluted". For example, if the plume was spread out over 2 sectors, the ground deposition values obtained from the graph should be divided by 4.

4. Wind speeds and stability classes vary often. The Van der Hoven study concludes there is a 50/50 chance of a significant wind shift within 2-4 hours at any given location. Therefore, the plume could be spread out more than the graph assumes and alter the resulting deposition. Rain showers could increase deposition greatly.



NUMBER:			
	F8-5		
REV:	6		

Table 2 Guidance On Field Team Deployment

The following is a discussion of strategy and some of the more important kinds of information which can be obtained through the use of field teams.

- 1. An approximation of the downwind and horizontal dimensions of a plume can be developed using the plume search technique. This information should be a high priority because of the limitations of the straight-line model used in MIDAS.
- 2. The maximum or centerline exposure rate from a plume, as measured at ground level, can be compared to dose projection results as a check on the accuracy of the model.
- 3. The isotopic mix of gaseous releases is only estimated by MIDAS. If samples for isotopic analysis are not or cannot be obtained from plant systems, field samples should be collected and analyzed to provide more accurate information on the release components.
- 4. If fuel integrity is good, radioiodines and radioparticulates should not be a problem. In order to remove any uncertainty or to assess the core status, the ratio of iodine to noble gas should be evaluated and used to update the default value (.0001) used by MIDAS. It may be necessary to re-evaluate this parameter several times in the course of an event.
- 5. Since the plume from a serious accident is essentially a quickly moving high radiation area, large doses can be received, or prevented, over relatively small time frames. Unlike the plume, the time available to effect evacuation due to ground shine should be much greater (e.g., a 5-rem dose due to Cs-134 initially exhibits an exposure rate of about 1 mR/hr). Therefore, plume surveys and plume dose projections are of higher priority.
- 6. At Prairie Island, consider that plume diversion may occur if the plume is traveling towards the bluffs (Wisconsin and/or Minnesota). Deploy the survey teams to conduct a plume search both beyond the bluffs and down the valley, where plume diversion is likely to occur.
- 7. Ground deposition surveys are generally lower priority than plume activities. However, if the release rate has substantially lowered and plume exposure rates are also low (e.g., less than 10 mrem/hr), some ground deposition surveys may be considered. Resources available for ground deposition surveys should be allocated first to areas affected by the plume which remain populated.



NUMBER:		
	F8-5	
REV:	6	

Table 3 Relocation Or Secondary Evacuation Dose Projection

Isotope	Ground shine Dose (mrem per uCi/m ²)	Inhalation Dose (mrem per uCi/m ²)	Relocation Projected Dose -TEDE (mrem per uCi/m ²)	Initial Exp. Rate (mR/hr per uCi/m ²)	Relocation Projected Dose - TEDE per Initial Exp. Rate (mrem per mR/hr)
Sr-90		11	11		.
Zr-95	34		34	0.0162	2100
Ru-103	7.4		7.4	0.0055	1300
Ru-106	14	1.4	15	0.0023	6700
I-131	1.3		1.3	0.0047	280
Cs-134	118	-	118	0.0183	6400
Cs-137	52		52	0.0073	7200
Ba-140	11		11	0.0279	390
Ce-144	3.3	1.4	4.7	0.0023	2000

NOTES:

- Ground shine is the whole body dose (1 meter above the ground) received after a 1-year exposure to unit ground contamination (uCi/m²) as measured at the beginning of the exposure period.
- 2. Inhalation is the committed effective dose received from the inhalation for 1 year of resuspended unit ground contamination (uCi/m²) as measured at the beginning of the exposure period. A re-suspension rate of 1E-6/meter is assumed.
- 3. The Relocation Projected Dose TEDE per Initial Exposure Rate column is the TEDE that would be received after a 1-year exposure to contamination that caused an initial unit exposure rate (mR/hr, i.e., gamma only) at 1 meter above the ground. (the effective mrem per mR/hr for a mixture would be equal to a weighted average of the values in this column, which is computed by multiplying the value in this column times the ratio of the individual isotope to the total.)
- 4. The projected doses pertain to adults. Infant projected doses are not more than two times higher than the adult doses (other than for iodine which does not contribute greatly to overall dose for infants or adults).
- 5. Doses could be significantly lowered due to shielding from homes, decontamination, etc.



NUMBER:			
	F8-5		
REV:	6		

TABLE 3 Relocation Or Secondary Evacuation Dose Projection (Cont'd)

- 6. Elimination of the source term due to weathering as well as radioactive decay is assumed.
- 7. The doses listed include the dose from radioactive daughters.

Isotope	Smear (dpm)	Direct Frisk (cpm)	Ground Contam (uci/m ²)	Reloc Dose TEDE (mrem)	Initial Dose Rate (mrem/hr)
Ru-106	260,000	58,000	130	2000	0.30
Cs-134	34,000	7,400	17.0	2000	0.32
I-131	3,000,000	660,000	1,500	2000	7.2

SECONDARY EVACUATION DOSE ASSESSMENT

Rules of Thumb

- 1. The most restrictive nuclide in terms of projected relocation dose per measured initial dose rate is Cs-137 (about 7000 mrem per mrem/hr). Cesium-134 is the most restrictive nuclide in terms of projected relocation dose per unit contamination (about 120 mrem per uCi/m²).
- 2. Assuming a 10% smear collection efficiency, 10% counter efficiency, and 20 cm² area "seen" by the probe for a direct frisk, the following relationships were developed:
 - a. Direct frisk μ Ci/m² = <u>net cpm</u>

400

Where net cpm is frisker count rate about 1" from surface in question.

b. Smear μ Ci/m² = <u>smear net cpm</u> 200

Where smear net cpm is frisker count rate of 100cm² smear from a smooth surface.

 Based on assumed radiological characteristics of releases from fuel melt accidents, gamma exposure rates in areas where the projected relocation dose is in the range of 1-5 rems would be between about 2 and 10 mR/hr during the first few days after shutdown following an SST-2 accident severity type. Ground deposition values in the range of 200-800 uCi/m² could also be expected.



OFFSITE DOSE ASSESSMENT AND PROTECTIVE ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS

NUMBER:	
	F8-5
REV:	6

Table 4 Relocation Protective Action Guide

PAGs For Intermediate Phase Relocation Projected Doses			
Relocation Projected Dose (mrem, TEDE ¹)	PINGP Recommended Protective Actions ²	Comments	
TEDE < 2000 mrem	Apply simple dose reduction techniques. ³	These protective actions should be taken to reduce doses to as low as practicable levels.	
TEDE >= 2000 mrem	Relocate general public from affected areas not previously evacuated. ⁴	Beta dose to skin may be up to 50 times higher.	
	1. Total Effective Dose Eq of exposure to ground inhalation of resuspend	uivalent from one year contamination and led material.	
	2. Protective actions base EPA 400-R-92-001, May	ed on 1992.	
	3. Simple dose reduction scrubbing and/or flush soaking or plowing soi from hot spots, and spo usual indoors or in oth areas.	techniques include ing hard surfaces, I, minor removal or soil ending more time than er low exposure rate	
NOTES:	4. Because of unanticipat and constraints known officials, WI and/or MN relocate general public a lower or higher doses 2000 mrem TEDE.	ed local conditions to state and local may choose to from affected areas at s than the PAG of	
	5. Significant unavoidable total dose from ingestic could influence the star decision.	e contribution to the on of food and water te's relocation	
	6. First priority should be residences of pregnant exceed a TEDE of 500 r year of exposure.	given to cleanup of women who may nrem from the first	
	 7. It is an objective of the 1) doses in any single y NOT exceed 500 mrem dose over 50 years (in years) will NOT exceed 	se PAGs to assure that year after the first will a, and 2) the cumulative cluding the 1st and 2nd 5000 mrem.	



OFFSITE DOSE ASSESSMENT AND PROTECTIVE ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS

NUMBER:	
	F8-5
REV:	6

Attachment 1 Definitions Related To PARS

- **1.0** Affected Area is any area where radiation emanating from a plume or deposited material from the plume can be detected using field instruments. (Also known as the footprint.)
- 2.0 Affected Sectors refer to those sectors that are in a downwind direction from the plant. If the wind speed ≥5 mph, the affected sectors are the 2 sectors on either side of the downwind sector and the downwind sector. If the wind speed <5 mph, all sectors are affected sectors (because of meandering).
- 3.0 Dose Terms:
 - **3.1 Dose Equivalent (rem)** refers to the product of absorbed dose (rad) and the quality factor (i.e., rads X QF = rem).
 - **3.2** Effective Dose Equivalent (rem) is the sum of the products of the dose equivalent (rem) to each organ and a weighting factor, where the weighting factor is the ratio of the stochastic risk arising from an organ or tissue to the total risk when the whole body is irradiated uniformly.
 - **3.3 Committed Dose Equivalent (rem)** refers to the dose equivalent to organs or tissues that will be received from an intake of radioactive material by an individual during the 50-year period following the intake.
 - **3.4 Committed Effective Dose Equivalent (rem) (CEDE)** refers to the sum of the products of the weighting factors applicable to each of the body organs or tissues that are irradiated and the committed dose equivalent to these organs or tissues.
 - **3.5 Deep Dose Equivalent (rem)** refers to the external whole body exposure due to external radiation from the radioactive plume or deposited radioactive material.
 - **3.6** Total Effective Dose Equivalent (rem) (TEDE) refers to the sum of the deep dose equivalent and the committed effective dose equivalent (TEDE = Deep Dose Equivalent + CEDE).
 - **3.7** Thyroid Committed Dose Equivalent (rem) (Thyroid CDE) refers to the committed dose equivalent to the thyroid due to the internally deposited radionuclides from inhalation.



OFFSITE DOSE ASSESSMENT AND PROTECTIVE ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS

NUMBER:		
	F8-5	
REV:	6	

Attachment 1- Definitions Related to PARs (Cont.)

4.0 Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ) is a defined area around the Prairie Island plant to facilitate emergency planning by state and local authorities, to assure that prompt and effective actions are taken to protect the public in the event of a release of radioactive material. It is defined for:

4.1 Plume Exposure Pathway (10 mile EPZ)

The 10 mile radius around the Prairie Island plant defined for the early phase plume exposure. The principal exposure sources from this pathway are:

- **4.1.1** External exposure from the radioactive plume (either overhead of submergence);
- **4.1.2** External exposure from the radionuclides deposited on the ground by the plume; and
- 4.1.3 Internal exposure from the inhaled radionuclides deposited in the body.

4.2 Ingestion Exposure Pathway (50 mile EPZ)

A 50 mile radius around the Prairie Island plant where the principal exposure would be from the ingestion of contaminated water or foods such as, milk or fresh vegetables.

- **5.0 Evacuation** is the urgent removal of people from an area to avoid or reduce high-level, short-term exposure, usually from the plume or from deposited activity.
- **6.0 Geopolitical Subareas** are subareas of the 10 mile EPZ defined by predetermined geographic and/or political boundaries. A map of the geopolitical subareas and a table for selecting the affected geopolitical subareas are shown in the "Emergency Notification Report Form," PINGP 577.
- **7.0** Keyhole Area is a subarea of the 10 mile EPZ defined by a 360 degree area surrounding the plant out to a distance of 2 or 5 miles and continuing in a downwind direction which should include 2 sectors on either side of the affected sector, out to a distance determined by the Protective Action Guides.



NUMBER:	
	F8-5
REV:	6

Attachment 1- Definitions Related to PARs (Cont.)

- 8.0 Nuclear Incident Phases relate to three time periods following the beginning of an nuclear incident. See Figure 2 for potential protective actions during the different emergency phases.
 - 8.1 Early Phase or emergency phase is the period immediately following the beginning of the incident. There may be a threat of a radiological release or an actual ongoing radiological release to the environment. Immediate decisions concerning protective actions are required and usually based on plant conditions or offsite dose projections. This phase may last from hours to days.
 - 8.2 Intermediate Phase is the period beginning after the source and releases have been brought under control. Based on environmental measurements, additional protective actions may be made. This phase may overlap the early and late phase and may last from weeks to many months.
 - **8.3** Late Phase is the period beginning when offsite recovery action designed to reduce radiation levels in the environment to acceptable levels for unrestricted use are commenced. This period may extend from months to years.
- **9.0 Projected Dose** refers to the future dose calculated for a specified time period on the basis of estimated or measured initial concentration of radionuclides or exposure rates and in the absence of protective actions.
 - **9.1 Plume Projected Dose** refers to future calculated doses from plume submersion, plume shine, plume inhalation and 4 days of ground deposition exposure.
 - **9.2 Relocation Projected Dose** refers to future calculated doses from one year of exposure to ground deposition groundshine and inhalation of resuspended material, but excluding internal dose from consuming contaminated foodstuffs.
 - **9.3** Ingestion Pathway Projected Dose is the projected CEDE (ICRP-30) from consuming contaminated foodstuff.



NUMBER:		
	F8-5	
REV:	6	

Attachment 1- Definitions Related to PARs (Cont.)

- **10.0 Protective Action** refers to an action taken to avoid or reduce radiation dose to members of the public.
- **11.0 Protective Action Guide (PAG)** refers to a projected dose level that warrants protective actions.
- **12.0 Public Alert and Notification System (PANS)** is used to alert the public within the 10 mile Emergency Planning Zone of an emergency condition at Prairie Island. Once alerted, the public should then turn to local commercial broadcast messages for specific protection action instructions. The PANS consists of the following:
 - **12.1** Fixed sirens for 100% coverage throughout the 5 mile zone and in population centers in the 5-10 mile zone.
 - **12.2** Emergency vehicles with sirens and public address in the 5-10 mile areas not covered by fixed sirens.
 - **12.3** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) activated tone alert radios in institutional, educational, and commercial facilities.
 - **12.4** The Emergency Alert System (EAS) which has access to television and radio stations within the area.
- **13.0 Return** refers to people permanently reoccupying their normal residence within a previously evacuated area.
- 14.0 Reentry refers to temporary entry into an evacuated area under controlled conditions.
- **15.0 Relocation** refers to removal or continued exclusion of people from contaminated areas to avoid chronic radiation exposure.
- **16.0** Sheltering refers to the use of a structure for radiation protection from an airborne plume and/or deposited radioactive material.



OFFSITE DOSE ASSESSMENT AND PROTECTIVE ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS

NUMBER:

REV:

F8-5 6

Figure 2 Exposure Pathways, Incident Phases, and Protective Actions

	POTENTIAL EXPOSURE PATHWAYS AND INCIDENT PHASES			PROTECTIVE ACTIONS
1.	External radiation from			Sheltering
	facility			Evacuation
				Control of access
2.	External radiation from plume			Sheltering
				Evacuation
	EA	RLY		Control of access
 3.	Inhalation of activity in			Sheltering
	plume			Administration of stable iodine
				Evacuation
	х 			Control of access
4.	Contamination of skin and		†	Sheltering
	clothes	INTER	MEDIATE	E Evacuation
				Decontamination of persons
 5.	External radiation from			Evacuation
	ground deposition of activity			Relocation
				Decontamination of land
				E and property
6.	Ingestion of contaminated			Food and water controls
	food and water			
7.	Inhalation of resuspended			Relocation
	activity			Decontamination of land
	-			and property
	NOTE: 1. Based on EPA 40 2. The use of store to limit the uptake in food chain car	0-R-92-0 d animal e of radi n be app	001, May feed and ionuclide licable to	1992 d uncontaminated water es by domestic animals o any of the phases.