Mr. Stephen E. Quinn Vice President, Nucle Power Consolidated Edison Company of New York Broadway and Bleakley Avenue Buchanan, NY 10511

Febry 26, 1997

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION

DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING, INDIAN POINT

UNIT NO. 2 (TAC NO. M97552)

Dear Mr. Quinn:

The Commission has requested the Office of the Federal Register to publish the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing." This notice relates to your application for amendment dated February 14, 1997, which would revise the Technical Specifications to permit a one-time extension of the current steam generator tube inservice inspection cycle.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Jefferey F. Harold, Project Manager Project Directorate I-1 Division of Reactor Projects I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

Docket No. 50-248 1

Fnclosure: Notice of Consideration

cc w/encls: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION:** 

S. Bajwa Docket File S. Little **PUBLIC** PDI-1 R/F J. Harold S. Varga OGC

J. Zwolinski

**ACRS** 

C. Cowgill, Region I

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\IP2\IP297552.IND

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PM:PDI-1 , /	E	LA:PD1-1	0/	D:PDI-1			1		L
NAME	JHarold/rsl)//		SLittle 50	, , ,	SBajwa /			 1		
	02/26/97		02/2/0/97	1	02/2/2 197	021	/97	 02/	/97	=

9703050496 970226 PDR ADOCK 05000247



## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 26, 1997

Mr. Stephen E. Quinn
Vice President, Nuclear Power
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York
Broadway and Bleakley Avenue
Buchanan, NY 10511

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY

OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION

DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING, INDIAN POINT

UNIT NO. 2 (TAC NO. M97552)

Dear Mr. Quinn:

The Commission has requested the Office of the Federal Register to publish the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing." This notice relates to your application for amendment dated February 14, 1997, which would revise the Technical Specifications to permit a one-time extension of the current steam generator tube inservice inspection cycle.

Sincerely.

Jefferey F. Harold, Project Manager

Project Directorate I-1

Division of Reactor Projects I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-246

Enclosure: Notice of Consideration

cc w/encls: See next page

Stephen E. Quinn Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

cc:

Mayor, Village of Buchanan 236 Tate Avenue Buchanan, NY 10511

Mr. F. William Valentino, President New York State Energy, Research, and Development Authority Corporate Plaza West 286 Washington Ave. Extension Albany, NY 12203-6399

Mr. Charles W. Jackson
Manager of Nuclear Safety and
Licensing
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
Broadway and Bleakley Avenue
Buchanan, NY 10511

Senior Resident Inspector U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 38 Buchanan, NY 10511

Mr. Brent L. Brandenburg Assistant General Counsel Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. 4 Irving Place - 1822 New York, NY 10003 Indian Point Nuclear Generating Station Units 1/2

Charles Donaldson, Esquire Assistant Attorney General New York Department of Law 120 Broadway New York, NY 10271

Ms. Charlene D. Faison, Director Nuclear Licensing Power Authority of the State of New York 123 Main Street White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. Walter Stein
Secretary - NFSC
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place - 1822
New York, NY 10003

Regional Administrator, Region I U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK DOCKET NO. 247

## NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-26 issued to Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison or the licensee) for operation of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Station Unit 2 (IP2) located in Westchester County, New York.

The proposed amendment would permit a one-time only extension of the current steam generator tube inservice inspection cycle. Technical Specification 4.13A.2.a requires steam generator tube examinations to be conducted at not less than 12 months and no later than 24 calendar months after the previous examination. Based upon the last examination during the 1995 refueling outage being completed on April 14, 1995, operation of the unit after April 14, 1997, would not be permitted. Con Edison proposes a one-time extension of the examination requirements, scheduled to be conducted during the 1997 refueling outage, to commence no later than May 2, 1997. Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's

regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

The proposed changes do not involve a significant hazards consideration since:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change does not involve any physical modifications to the plant or modification in the methods of plant operation which could increase the probability or consequences of previously evaluated accidents. The proposed change permits a one-time only extension of the current steam generator tube inservice inspection cycle. This extension would allow the steam generator tube examinations to be conducted during the 1997 refueling outage which will commence no later than May 2, 1997. The basis for acceptance of this increase in the technical specification limit is the 'non-operating' steam generator time between the last examination and the upcoming examination. No appreciable steam generator tube wear or degradation is expected as a result of this extension. This change will not affect the scope, methodology, acceptance limits and corrective measures of the existing steam generator tube examination program. The probability and consequences of failure of the steam generators due to leaking or degraded tubes is not increased by the proposed change. Therefore, the probability and the consequence of a design basis accident are not being increased by the proposed change.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

Plant systems and components will not be operated in a different manner as a result of the proposed Technical Specification change. The proposed change permits the upcoming steam generator tube examination to be conducted during the 1997 refueling outage that will commence no later than May 2, 1997. There are no plant modifications or changes in methods of operation. Since this extension is based upon the 'non-operating' steam generator time between the last examination and the upcoming examination, it will

not increase the probability of occurrence of a tube rupture, increase the probability or consequences of an accident, or create any new accident precursor. Therefore, the possibility for an accident of a different type than was previously evaluated in the safety analysis report is not created by the proposed change to the Technical Specification.

3. The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed change to Technical Specification section 4.13A.2.a will not reduce the margin of safety. This amendment involves a one-time only extension of the current steam generator tube inservice inspection cycle. The basis for acceptance of this increase in the technical specification limit is the 'non-operating' steam generator time between the last examination and the upcoming examination. No appreciable steam generator tube wear or degradation is expected as a result of this extension. Therefore, the accident analysis assumptions for design basis accidents are unaffected and the margin of safety is not decreased by the proposed Technical Specification change.

Based on the preceding analysis, it is concluded that operation of Indian Point Unit No. 2 in accordance with the proposed amendment does not increase the probability of an accident previously evaluated, does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated, nor reduce any margin of plant safety. Therefore, the license amendment does not involve a Significant Hazards Consideration as defined in 10 CFR 50.92.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would

result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications

Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page
number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be
delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike,

Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of
written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the
Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By April 3, 1997, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings"

in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the White Plains Public Library, 100 Martine Avenue, White Plains, New York 10610. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to S. Singh Bajwa: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Brent L. Brandenburg, Esq., 4 Irving Place, New York, New York 10003, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be

entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 14, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the White Plains Public Library, 100 Martine Avenue, White Plains, New York 10610.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of February 1997.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Jefferey F. Harold, Project Manager

Project Directorate 1-1

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation