

June 22, 1993

Docket No. 50-286

Mr. Ralph E. Beedle  
Executive Vice President - Nuclear  
Generation  
Power Authority of the State of New York  
123 Main Street  
White Plains, New York 10601

Dear Mr. Beedle:

SUBJECT: ERROR IN ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 129 - INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR  
GENERATING UNIT NO. 3 (TAC NO. M84137)

By letter dated July 17, 1992, as supplemented by letter dated December 23, 1992, you requested, in part, an amendment to the Technical Specifications (TSs) for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3 that would allow extensions of containment system surveillance tests to accommodate operation on a 24-month fuel cycle. On April 7, 1993, you submitted revised TS pages for this amendment to reflect the issuance of Amendment No. 125 which affected several of the TS pages submitted with your July 17, 1992, amendment request. However, the revised TS page 4.4-3, submitted on April 7, 1993, contained incorrect wording for specification 4.4.C.3. Specifically, the proposed change should have read "...at intervals no greater than 3 years...." but the revised page submitted on April 7, 1993, stated, "...at intervals of at least every 3 years...."

Amendment No. 129 was issued on April 29, 1993, with the incorrect TS page. Although the actual TS page issued was incorrect, the NRC staff's TS amendment forwarding letter and supporting safety evaluation indicated that the change was intended to be "...at intervals no greater than 3 years...." Thus, no change is needed to the supporting safety evaluation. Enclosed is the correct TS page 4.4-3 that should have been issued with Amendment No. 129. Please update the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3 TS accordingly.

Sincerely,  
Original signed by:  
Nicola F. Conicella, Project Manager  
Project Directorate I-1  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:  
Correct TS page 4.4-3

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page  
Distribution: See attached sheet

LA:PDI-1	PM:PDI-1 <i>hw</i>	D:PDI-1			
CVogan <i>W</i>	NConicella:smm	RACapra <i>rw</i>			
6/22/93	6/22/93	6/22/93	/ /	/ /	/ /

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY  
FILENAME: IP384137.LTR

9306250101 930622  
PDR ADOCK 05000286  
P PDR

CP-1  
JW

Date: June 22, 1993

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE ERROR IN ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 129 - INDIAN POINT  
NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NO. 3 (TAC NO. M84137)

Distribution:

Docket File

NRC & Local PDRs

PDI-1 Reading

S. Varga

J. Calvo

R. A. Capra

C. Vogan

N. Conicella

OGC

D. Hagan

G. Hill (2)

Wanda Jones, P-370

R. Barrett, 8/H/7

C. Grimes, 11/E/22

ACRS (10)

OPA

OC/LFDCB

C. Cowgill



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

June 22, 1993

Docket No. 50-286

Mr. Ralph E. Beedle  
Executive Vice President - Nuclear  
Generation  
Power Authority of the State of New York  
123 Main Street  
White Plains, New York 10601

Dear Mr. Beedle:

SUBJECT: ERROR IN ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 129 - INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR  
GENERATING UNIT NO. 3 (TAC NO. M84137)

By letter dated July 17, 1992, as supplemented by letter dated December 23, 1992, you requested, in part, an amendment to the Technical Specifications (TSs) for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3 that would allow extensions of containment system surveillance tests to accommodate operation on a 24-month fuel cycle. On April 7, 1993, you submitted revised TS pages for this amendment to reflect the issuance of Amendment No. 125 which affected several of the TS pages submitted with your July 17, 1992, amendment request. However, the revised TS page 4.4-3, submitted on April 7, 1993, contained incorrect wording for specification 4.4.C.3. Specifically, the proposed change should have read "...at intervals no greater than 3 years...." but the revised page submitted on April 7, 1993, stated, "...at intervals of at least every 3 years...."

Amendment No. 129 was issued on April 29, 1993, with the incorrect TS page. Although the actual TS page issued was incorrect, the NRC staff's TS amendment forwarding letter and supporting safety evaluation indicated that the change was intended to be "...at intervals no greater than 3 years...." Thus, no change is needed to the supporting safety evaluation. Enclosed is the correct TS page 4.4-3 that should have been issued with Amendment No. 129. Please update the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3 TS accordingly.

Sincerely,

Nicola F. Conicella, Project Manager  
Project Directorate I-1  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:  
Correct TS page 4.4-3

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

240080

Mr. Ralph E. Beedle  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York

Indian Point Nuclear Generating  
Station Unit No. 3

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region I  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Resident Inspector  
Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Post Office Box 337  
Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. Gerald C. Goldstein  
Assistant General Counsel  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York  
1633 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019

Mr. Charles W. Jackson  
Manager, Nuclear Safety and  
Licensing  
Consolidated Edison Company  
of New York, Inc.  
Broadway and Bleakley Avenues  
Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. Robert G. Schoenberger  
Acting President  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York  
123 Main Street  
White Plains, New York 10601

Mayor, Village of Buchanan  
236 Tate Avenue  
Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. William A. Josiger  
Resident Manager  
Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant  
Post Office Box 215  
Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. Peter Kokolakis  
Director Nuclear Licensing - PWR  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York  
123 Main Street  
White Plains, New York 10601

Ms. Donna Ross  
New York State Energy Office  
2 Empire State Plaza  
16th Floor  
Albany, New York 12223

Charles Donaldson, Esquire  
Assistant Attorney General  
New York Department of Law  
120 Broadway  
New York, New York 10271

C. Sensitive Leakage Rate

1. Test

A sensitive leakage rate test shall be conducted with the containment penetrations, weld channels, and certain double gasketed seals and isolation valve interspaces at a minimum pressure of 43 psig and with the containment building at atmospheric pressure.

2. Acceptance Criteria

The test shall be considered satisfactory if the leak rate for the containment penetrations, weld channel and other pressurized zones is equal to or less than 0.2% of the containment free volume per day.

3. Frequency

A sensitive leakage rate test shall be performed at intervals no greater than 3 years.

D. Air Lock Tests

1. The containment air locks shall be tested at a minimum pressure of 43 psig and at a frequency of every 6-months. The acceptance criteria is included in E.2a. The equipment hatch is to be leak rate tested after every reinsertion prior to requiring containment integrity.

2. Whenever containment integrity is required, verification shall be made of proper repressurization to at least 43 psig of the double-gasket air lock door seal upon closing an air lock door.