Docket Nos. 50-387/388

Mr. Harold W. Keiser Senior Vice President-Nuclear Pennsylvania Power and Light Company 2 North Ninth Street Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Dear Mr. Keiser:

OGC	Brent	Clayton
DHagan		-
EJordan		
BGrimes		
TMeek (8)		
Wanda Jone	es	
EButcher		
RBlough		
ACRS (10)		
CMiles, GF	PA/PA	
В		
	DHagan EJordan BGrimes TMeek (8) Wanda Jone EButcher RBlough ACRS (10) CMiles, GF	DHagan EJordan BGrimes TMeek (8) Wanda Jones EButcher RBlough ACRS (10) CMiles, GPA/PA

SUBJECT: CONTROL ROOM EMERGENCY OUTSIDE AIR SUPPLY SYSTEM SURVEILLANCE CHANGES (TAC NOS. 71187/71188)

RE: SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 88 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-14 and Amendment No. 54 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-22 for the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2. These amendments are in response to your letter dated October 14, 1988.

These amendments revise the Technical Specifications to correct errors in the surveillance requirements to demonstrate the operability of Control Room Emergency Outside Air Supply System.

A copy of our Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's Biweekly Federal Register Notice.

Sincerely,

/s/

Walter R. Butler, Director Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 88 to License No. NPF-14 Amendment No. 54 to License No. NPF-22 2.

3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page

[HAROLD KEISER] /PM i en MThadani:tr 6/89 4/**20**/89 8905190364 8905 PDR ADOCK 0500

PDI-2/0 WButler 5 14-189

nanN

ofol



May 5, 1989

Docket Nos. 50-387/388

Mr. Harold W. Keiser Senior Vice President-Nuclear Pennsylvania Power and Light Company 2 North Ninth Street Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Dear Mr. Keiser:

SUBJECT: CONTROL ROOM EMERGENCY OUTSIDE AIR SUPPLY SYSTEM SURVEILLANCE CHANGES (TAC NOS. 71187/71188)

RE: SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 88 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-14 and Amendment No. 54 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-22 for the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2. These amendments are in response to your letter dated October 14, 1988.

These amendments revise the Technical Specifications to correct errors in the surveillance requirements to demonstrate the operability of Control Room Emergency Outside Air Supply System.

A copy of our Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's Biweekly Federal Register Notice.

Sincerely,

Walter R. Butter

Walter R. Butler, Director Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 88 to License No. NPF-14

- 2. Amendment No. 54 to License No. NPF-22
- 3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page Mr. Harold W. Keiser Pennsylvania Power & Light Company

cc:

Jay Silberg, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Bryan A. Snapp, Esq. Assistant Corporate Counsel Pennsylvania Power & Light Company 2 North Ninth Street Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Mr. E. A. Heckman Licensing Group Supervisor Pennsylvania Power & Light Company 2 North Ninth Street Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Mr. F. I. Young Resident Inspector U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 35 Berwick, Pennsylvania 18603-0035

Mr. Thomas M. Gerusky, Director Bureau of Radiation Protection Resources Commonwealth of Pennsylvania P. O. Box 2063 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. Jesse C. Tilton, III Allegheny Elec. Coorperative, Inc. 212 Locust Street P.O. Box 1266 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17108-1266 Susquehanna Steam Electric Station Units 1 & 2

Mr. W. H. Hirst, Manager Joint Generation Projects Department Atlantic Electric P.O. Box 1500 1199 Black Horse Pike Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Т



PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

ALLEGHENY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

DOCKET NO. 50-387

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 88 License No. NPF-14

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or the NRC) having found that:
 - A. The application for the amendment filed by the Pennsylvania Power & Light Company, dated October 14, 1988 complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 2.C.(2) of the Facility Operating License No. NPF-14 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - (2) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 88 and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. PP&L shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

8905190367 890505 PDR ADOCK 05000387 P PDC 3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

/s/

Walter R. Butler, Director Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects I/II

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

· ,

Date of Issuance: May 5, 1989



PDI-2/PM MThadani:tr 4/20/89

hmann

PDI-2/D WButler /89

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Walth, R. Buth

Walter R. Butler, Director Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects I/II

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

.

.

Date of Issuance: May 5, 1989

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 88

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-14

DOCKET NO. 50-387

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with enclosed pages. The revised page is identified by Amendment number and contains vertical lines indicating the areas of change. The overleaf page is provided to maintain document completeness.*

REMOVE	INSERT
3/4 7-5*	3/4 7-5*
3/4 7-6	3/4 7-6

3/4.7.2 CONTROL ROOM EMERGENCY OUTSIDE AIR SUPPLY SYSTEM

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.2 Two independent control room emergency outside air supply system subsystems shall be OPERABLE with each subsystem consisting of:

- a. One makeup fan, and
- b. One filter train.

APPLICABILITY: All OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS and *.

ACTION:

- a. In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1, 2 or 3 with one control room emergency outside air supply subsystem inoperable, restore the inoperable subsystem to OPERABLE status within 7 days or be in at least HOT SHUTDOWN within the next 12 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 24 hours.
- b. In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 4, 5 or *:
 - 1. With one control room emergency outside air supply subsystem inoperable, restore the inoperable subsystem to OPERABLE status within 7 days or initiate and maintain operation of the OPERABLE subsystem in the pressurization mode of operation.
 - 2. With both control room emergency outside air supply subsystems inoperable, suspend CORE ALTERATIONS, handling of irradiated fuel in the secondary containment and operations with a potential for draining the reactor vessel.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable in Operational Condition *.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SUSQUEHANNA - UNIT 1

4.7.2 Each control room emergency outside air supply subsystem shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS by initiating, from the control room, flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers and verifying that the subsystem operates for at least 10 hours with the heaters OPERABLE.
- b. At least once per 18 months or (1) after any structural maintenance on the HEPA filter or charcoal adsorber housings, or (2) following painting, fire or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the subsystem by:

*When irradiated fuel is being handled in the secondary containment.

3/4 7-5

16

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- 1. Verifying that the subsystem satisfies the in-place penetration and bypass leakage testing acceptance criteria of less than 0.05%and uses the test procedures of Regulatory Positions C.5.a, C.5.c and C.5.d of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, and the system flow rate is 5810 cfm \pm 10%.
- 2. Verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Position C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Position C.6.a of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, for a methyl iodide penetration of less than 0.175%; and
- 3. Verifying a subsystem flow rate of 5810 cfm \pm 10% during subsystem operation when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.
- c. After every 720 hours of charcoal adsorber operation by verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Positon C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Position C.6.a of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, for a methyl iodide penetration of less than 0.175%.
- d. At least once per 18 months by:
 - 1. Verifying that the pressure drop across the combined prefilter, upstream and downstream HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks is less than 9.1 inches Water Gauge while operating the subsystem at a flow rate of 5810 cfm \pm 10%.
 - Verifying that on the below isolation mode actuation test signal, the subsystem automatically switches to the isolation mode of operation and the isolation dampers close within 8 seconds:
 - a) Outside air intake chlorine high.
 - 3. Verifying that on each of the below pressurization mode actuation test signals, the subsystem automatically switches to the pressurization mode of operation and the control structure is maintained at a positive pressure of 1/8 inch W.G. relative to the outside atmosphere during subsystem operation at a flow rate less than or equal to 5810 cfm:
 - a) Reactor Building isolation, and
 - b) Outside air intake radiation high.
 - 4. Verifying that the heaters dissipate 30 ± 3.0 Kw when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.

SUSQUEHANNA - UNIT 1



PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

ALLEGHENY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

DOCKET NO. 50-388

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 54 License No. NPF-22

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or the NRC) having found that:
 - A. The application for the amendment filed by the Pennsylvania Power & Light Company, dated October 14, 1988 complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 2.C.(2) of the Facility Operating License No. NPF-22 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - (2) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 54 and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. PP&L shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan. 3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

/s/

Walter R. Butler, Director Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects I/II

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 5, 1989



PDI-2/PM MThadani:tr 4/**29**/89



PDI-2/D WButler /89

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

halter. Butter

Walter R. Butler, Director Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects I/II

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 5, 1989

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 54

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-22

DOCKET NO. 50-388

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with enclosed pages. The revised page is identified by Amendment number and contains vertical lines indicating the areas of change. The overleaf page is provided to maintain document completeness.*

REMOVE	INSERT
3/4 7 -5*	3/4 7-5*
3/4 7-6	3/4 7-6

I

3/4.7.2 CONTROL ROOM EMERGENCY OUTSIDE AIR SUPPLY SYSTEM

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.2 Two independent control room emergency outside air supply system subsystems shall be OPERABLE with each subsystem consisting of:

- a. One makeup fan, and
- b. One filter train.

APPLICABILITY: All OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS and *.

ACTION:

- a. In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1, 2, or 3 with one control room emergency outside air supply subsystem inoperable, restore the inoperable subsystem to OPERABLE status within 7 days or be in at least HOT SHUTDOWN within the next 12 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 24 hours.
- b. In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 4, 5, or *:
 - With one control room emergency outside air supply subsystem inoperable, restore the inoperable subsystem to OPERABLE status within 7 days or initiate and maintain operation of the OPERABLE subsystem in the pressurization mode of operation.
 - With both control room emergency outside air supply subsystems inoperable, suspend CORE ALTERATIONS, handling of irradiated fuel in the secondary containment and operations with a potential for draining the reactor vessel.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable in Operational Condition *.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.2 Each control room emergency outside air supply subsystem shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS by initiating, from the control room, flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers and verifying that the subsystem operates for at least 10 hours with the heaters OPERABLE.
- b. At least once per 18 months or (1) after any structural maintenance on the HEPA filter or charcoal adsorber housings, or (2) following painting, fire, or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the subsystem by:

ł,

"When irradiated fuel is being handled in the secondary containment.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- 1. Verifying that the subsystem satisfies the in-place penetration and bypass leakage testing acceptance criteria of less than 0.05%and uses the test procedures of Regulatory Positions C.5.a, C.5.c, and C.5.d of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, and the system flow rate is 5810 cfm \pm 10%.
- 2. Verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Position C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Position C.6.a of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, for a methyl iodide penetration of less than 0.175%; and
- Verifying a subsystem flow rate of 5810 cfm ± 10% during subsystem operation when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.
- c. After every 720 hours of charcoal adsorber operation by verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Positon C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Position C.6.a of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, for a methyl iodide penetration of less than 0.75%.
- d. At least once per 18 months by:
 - 1. Verifying that the pressure drop across the combined prefilter, upstream and downstream HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks is less than 9.1 inches Water Gauge while operating the subsystem at a flow rate of 5810 cfm \pm 10%.
 - Verifying that on the below isolation mode actuation test signal, the subsystem automatically switches to the isolation mode of operation and the isolation dampers close within 8 seconds:
 - a) Outside air intake chlorine high.
 - 3. Verifying that on each of the below pressurization mode actuation test signals, the subsystem automatically switches to the pressurization mode of operation and the control structure is maintained at a positive pressure of 1/8 inch W.G. relative to the outside atmosphere during subsystem operation at a flow rate less than or equal to 5810 cfm:
 - a) Reactor Building isolation, and
 - b) Outside air intake radiation high.
 - 4. Verifying that the heaters dissipate 30 ± 3.0 Kw when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.

SUSQUEHANNA - UNIT 2

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- 1. Verifying that the subsystem satisfies the in-place penetration and bypass leakage testing acceptance criteria of less than 0.05%and uses the test procedures of Regulatory Positions C.5.a, C.5.c, and C.5.d of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, and the system flow rate is 5810 cfm \pm 10%.
- 2. Verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Position C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Position C.6.a of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, for a methyl iodide penetration of less than 0.175%; and
- Verifying a subsystem flow rate of 5810 cfm ± 10% during subsystem operation when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.
- c. After every 720 hours of charcoal adsorber operation by verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Positon C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Position C.6.a of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, for a methyl iodide penetration of less than 0.75%.
- d. At least once per 18 months by:
 - 1. Verifying that the pressure drop across the combined prefilter, upstream and downstream HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks is less than 9.1 inches Water Gauge while operating the subsystem at a flow rate of 5810 cfm \pm 10%.
 - Verifying that on the below isolation mode actuation test signal, the subsystem automatically switches to the isolation mode of operation and the isolation dampers close within 8 seconds:
 - a) Outside air intake chlorine high.
 - 3. Verifying that on each of the below pressurization mode actuation test signals, the subsystem automatically switches to the pressurization mode of operation and the control structure is maintained at a positive pressure of 1/8 inch W.G. relative to the outside atmosphere during subsystem operation at a flow rate less than or equal to 5810 cfm:
 - a) Reactor Building isolation, and
 - b) Outside air intake radiation high.
 - 4. Verifying that the heaters dissipate 30 ± 3.0 Kw when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.

SUSQUEHANNA - UNIT 2

Amendment No. 54



SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 88 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-14 AND

AMENDMENT NO. 54 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-22

PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

ALLEGHENY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

DOCKET NOS. 50-387 AND 50-388

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated October 14, 1988, Pennsylvania Power & Light Company (licensee) requested an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-14 and NPF-22 for the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station (SSES), Units 1 and 2. The proposed amendments would delete the requirements of Technical Specification 4.7.2.d.2 related to the Control Room Emergency Outside Air Supply System (CREOASS) OPERABILITY. The licensee states that the surveillance requirements for CREOASS OPERABILITY under outside air intake radiation signal and Reactor Building isolation signal are performed under Technical Specification 4.7.2.d.3, and incorporation of these two signals in Technical Specification 4.7.2.d.2 appears to be in error.

2.0 EVALUATION

The licensee states that the SSES Units 1 and 2 surveillance Technical Specification 4.7.2.d.2 is intended to verify the OPERABILITY of CREOASS in the isolation mode to protect Control Room operators against accidental chlorine releases in accordance with the staff guidance in the regulatory guide 1.95 on "Protection of Nuclear Power Plant Control Room Operators Against an Accidental Chlorine Release." The Technical Specification 4.7.2.d.3 is intended to verify operation of CREOASS in the pressurized mode upon detection of outside air high radiation signal or Reactor Building isolation signal. At present, both, the SSES Units 1 and 2 Technical Specifications 4.7.2.d.2 and 4.7.2.d.3 incorporate surveillance for outside air radiation signal and Reactor Building isolation signal. Since CREOASS operates in the isolation mode only for protection against chlorine, the licensee has properly concluded that the surveillance of CREDASS OPERABILITY in the isolation mode upon radiation signal and Reactor Building isolation signal under Technical Specification, 4.7.2.d.2 is in error and should be deleted. The staff agrees with the licensees evaluation, and finds the proposed changes (which are consistent with the Technical Specifications of other similar plants such as Limerick Generating Station) acceptable.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments involve changes to a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes to the surveillance requirements. The staff has determined that these amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that these amendments involve no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, these amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement nor environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that these amendments involve no significant hazards consideration which was published in the <u>Federal Register</u> (53 FR 50333) on December 14, 1988 and consulted with the State of Pennsylvania. No public comments were received, and the State of Pennsylvania did not have any comments.

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security nor to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Mohan C. Thadani

Dated: May 5, 1989