UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION **BEFORE THE COMISSION**

DOCKETED

DEC 28 P12:29

In the Matter of:

Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEWAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

COMPANY

(Millstone Nuclear Power Station,

Unit No. 3: Facility Operating

License NPF-49)

DECEMBER 19, 2000

CONNECTICUT COALITION AGAINST MILLSTONE AND LONG ISLAND COALITION AGAINST MILLSTONE **MOTION FOR STAY**

The Connecticut Coalition Against Millstone and Long Island Coalition Against Millstone (collectively "Intervenors") move for stay of the Commission proceedings on their Petition for Review dated November 13, 2000.

In support of this motion, the Intervenors respectfully represent as follows:

- 1. By electronic mailing dated December 18, 2000, the Intervenors have moved the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board to reopen its proceedings in this matter and vacate its order terminating said proceedings.
- 2. A copy of such filing is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein.
- 3. The basis for said motion derives from the untimely disclosure, apparently during the week of November 24, 2000, by the Licensee. Northeast Nuclear Energy Company ("NNECO") to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC") that it has failed to maintain appropriate administrative controls over highly radioactive spent fuel at Millstone Unit 1 and, indeed, has completely lost track of two fuel rods. Subsequently, NRC shared such disclosure with the public. Thereby, the Intervenors first became aware of such failure.
- 4. During the discovery phase of the underlying proceedings, the Intervenors submitted an Interrogatory to NNECO whereby they requested that NNECO identify all instances of errors in managing, moving, placing or tracking fresh or spent fuel at Millstone and all documents pertinent thereto.
- 5. Although NNECO provided eleven instances of such failures, dating as far back as 1974, NNECO failed and neglected to disclose the complete breakdown in administrative controls leading to the extraordinary inability of the Licensee presently to account for the two 16-foot-long rods.
- 6. Such failure to disclose information regarding the missing spent fuel rods may have been a product of bad faith and wilful concealment on the part of NNECO.
- 7 According to information released by the NRC, NNECO's record-keeping suffers from a gap existing for as many as twenty (20) years, which gap was known or should have been known to the Licensee during the entire intervening period.

- 8. 10 CFR Section 2.740(e)(2), which pertains to these proceedings, provides as follows:
 - "A party is under a duty seasonably to amend a prior response if he obtains information upon the basis of which (i) he knows that the response was incorrect when made or (ii) he knows that the response though correct when made is no longer true and the circumstances are such that failure to amend the response is in substance a knowing concealment."
- 9. To date, NNECO has failed and neglected to amend its response to Interrogatory F-1 to provide information and documentation regarding its admitted failure to account for two missing spent fuel rods.
- 10. The Intervenors represent that such information is newly discovered by the Intervenors, has been wrongfully withheld by NNECO, is material to the issues in controversy and is likely to change the outcome of the proceedings, if allowed to be introduced.

Wherefore, the Intervenors move that the Commission stay its consideration of the Intervenors' Petition for Review in order to maintain the status quo pending the ASLB panel's adjudication of the Motion to Reopen and Vacate.

THE INTERVENORS

By:

Nancy Burton, Esq. 147 Cross/Highway

Redding Ridge CT 06876

Tel. 203-938-3952

Fax 203-938-3168

Fed. Bar No. ct5550

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION BEFORE THE COMISSION

In the Matter of: : Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY

COMPANY :

(Millstone Nuclear Power Station,

Unit No. 3; Facility Operating

License NPF-49) : DECEMBER 19, 2000

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of Intervenors' "Motion for Stay" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by E-Mail as indicated by asterisk on December 19, 2000, and to all by conforming copy via U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid, on December 19, 2000:

Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555-0001
(E-Mail copy to CVB@NRC.GOV)

Dr. Richard F. Cole*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555-000l
(E-Mail copy to RFC1@NRC.GOV)

Dr. Charles N. Kelber*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington DC 20555-0001 (E-Mail copy to CNK@NRC.GOV)

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington DC 20555-0001

Office of the Secretary*
ATTN: Rulemaking and Adjudication Staff
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555-0001
(Original + Two Copies)
(E-Mail copy to: HEARINGDOCKET@NRC.GOV)

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington DC 20555-0001

David A. Repka, Esq.*
Winston & Strawn
1400 L Street NW
Washington DC 20005-3502
(E-Mail copy to drepka@winston.com)

Ann P. Hodgdon, Esq.*
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555-0001
(E-Mail copy to aph@nrc.gov)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of: : Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

:

ASLBP No. 00-771-01-LA

:

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company

:

(Millstone Nuclear Power Station,

Unit No. 3) : December 18, 2000

CONNECTICUT COALITION AGAINST MILLSTONE AND LONG ISLAND COALITION AGAINST MILLSTONE MOTION TO REOPEN AND TO VACATE DECISION

The Connecticut Coalition Against Millstone and Long Island Coalition Against Millstone (collectively ""Intervenors") move to reopen the proceedings for further development of the record based upon newly discovered evidence material to the controversy and to vacate the order terminating this proceeding.

More particularly, the Intervenors move to reopen the proceedings for further development of the record with regard to the disclosure by the Licensee, Northeast Nuclear Energy Company ("NNECO"), to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission during the week of November 24, 2000 that it "could not confirm the location of two fuel pins" at the Millstone Unit 1 spent fuel pool.

Such disclosure was made public by the NRC in the NRC Weekly Information Report For the Week Ending November 24, 2000. A copy of the pertinent excerpt from such Report is annexed hereto.

In addition, such disclosure was made public in the NRC Daily Events Report as Event Number 37596 dated December 14, 2000. A copy of such Report is annexed hereto.

The Intervenors were unaware that the location of the two highly radioactive spent fuel rods, presumed reposed at the Millstone Unit 1 spent fuel pool, was unknown to the Licensee until the Intervenors became aware of such public disclosures. Their motion is not untimely. See. E.g, Fritsch v. ICC, 59 F.3d 248, 251-52 (D.C. Cir. 1995) Indeed, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board panel may properly decide to reopen or reconsider a decision, as long as it provides notice to the parties. Charlesworth v. U.S. INS, 966 F.2d 1323, 1326 (9th Cir. 1992).

During the limited Subpart K discovery period in these proceedings, the Intervenors submitted the following Interrogatory, inter alia, to NNECO on March 21, 2000:

"Interrogatory No. F-1: Please identify all instances of errors (at Millstone or other nuclear plants) in managing, moving, placing or tracking fresh or spent fuel and all documents pertinent thereto."

In response dated April 4, 2000, NNECO provided the following response:

"With regard to Millstone, the following events have been identified as responsive to this request. . . ." together with information regarding eleven events at Millstone. None of the events identified disclosed the fact that the Licensee could not account for the location of two spent fuel rods at Unit 1. A copy of the NNECO response is annexed hereto.

NNECO's April 4, 2000 response included the affidavit of Michael C. Jensen, who declared "under penalty of perjury that the following statements are true and correct of his own knowledge." Mr. Jensen's affidavit stated *inter alia* as follows:

"3. I have supplied information in response to the following interrogatories, as specified in the Connecticut Coalition Against Millstone and the Long Island Coalition Against Millstone's 'First Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Production' dated March 21, 2000, in the captioned proceeding . . . Interrogatory F-1."

However, when Mr. Jensen was deposed by the Intervenors on May 11, 2000, he stated that he did not compile the list, but that the list was compiled by attorneys from information provided in part by persons Jensen said he could not identify. Refer to Jensen Deposition, pages 13-14 (attached). Moreover, Jensen stated that he did not know what methodology was applied to compile the information responsive to the Interrogatory.

The Licensee's historical inability to adhere to administrative controls is an issue in these proceedings. (Contention 4) It appears from the content of the Weekly Information Report that NNECO knew or should have known of a "gap" in its record-keeping as early as 1980 and that perhaps for as long as twenty (20) years it has failed to acknowledge or address the issue of the missing fuel rods.

Such failure, if correct, constitutes an egregious disregard by the Licensee of its license conditions and may constitute a wilful disregard of the law.

In its ruling dismissing Contention 4 and terminating these proceedings on October 26, 2000, the ASLB stated:

"We find that NNECO has demonstrated that it can adhere to administrative controls, with adequate safety margin and defense-in-depth, without posing an undue or unnecessary risk to plant workers or the public."

It is the Intervenors' position that, had the ASLB been made aware that NNECO is unable to account for two highly radioactive spent fuel rods at Unit 1, it would have been unable to make such a finding and it would have been legally compelled to commence an evidentiary hearing as requested by the Intervenors. That two highly radioactive spent fuel rods which must be isolated from the environment for at least 100,000 years cannot be accounted for by NNECO is an issue which is clearly material to these proceedings.

Moreover, 10 CFR Section 2.740(e)(2) pertains to these proceedings. It provides as follows:

"A party is under a duty seasonably to amend a prior response if he obtains information upon the basis of which (i) he knows that the response was incorrect when made, or (ii) he knows that the response though correct when made is no longer true and the circumstances are such that failure to amend the response is in substance a knowing concealment."

The Intervenors represent that the Licensee was required by section 2.740(e)(2) to amend its response to Interrogatory F-1 immediately upon its discovery that its prior response was incomplete or inaccurate.

Should this motion be granted, the Intervenors reserve the opportunity to file all appropriate motions for sanctions as against the Licensee.

WHEREFORE, the Intervenors move that the ASLB panel reopen the proceedings for further development of the record and that it vacate its order terminating these proceedings.

THE INTERVENORS

By:

Nancy Burton, Esq. 147 Cross Highway Redding Ridge CT 06876 Tel. 203-938-3952 Fax 203-938-3168 Fed. Bar No. ct5550

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of: : Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

ASLBP No. 00-771-01-LA

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company :

(Millstone Nuclear Power Station, :

Unit No. 3) : December 18, 2000

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of Intervenors' "Motion to Reopen and to Vacate Decision" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by E-Mail as indicated by asterisk on December 18, 2000, and to all by conforming copy via U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid, on December 19, 2000:

Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555-0001
(E-Mail copy to CVB@NRC.GOV)

Dr. Richard F. Cole*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555-0001
(E-Mail copy to RFC1@NRC.GOV)

Dr. Charles N. Kelber*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555-0001
(E-Mail copy to CNK@NRC.GOV)

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington DC 20555-0001

Office of the Secretary*
ATTN: Rulemaking and Adjudication Staff
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555-0001
(Original + Two Copies)
(E-Mail copy to: HEARINGDOCKET@NRC.GOV)

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington DC 20555-0001

David A. Repka, Esq.*
Winston & Strawn
1400 L Street NW
Washington DC 20005-3502
(E-Mail copy to drepka@winston.com)

Ann P. Hodgdon, Esq.*
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington DC 20555-0001
(E-Mail copy to aph@nrc.gov)

'Nancy Burton, Esq.

NRC Weekly Information Report For the Week Ending November 24, 2000

Millstone Unit 1

Early this week, Millstone, Unit 1, informed the NRC that they could not confirm the location of two fuel pins. The licensee currently believes that they have located the box containing the fuel pins in the spent fuel pool. However, they will require GE assistance in order to lift the box and verify that it is the correct container. The licensee currently anticipates having GE personnel and equipment on site next week. The background on this issue is as follows:

In 1972, a once burned fuel assembly that was damaged by chloride intrusion in the reactor vessel was disassembled to allow testing by GE. During the disassembly, two of the fuel rods were bent and could not be put back in the assembly. These two fuel rods were put in a special fuel rod box. Records dated 1979 and 1980 show the box stored in the Northwest corner of the spent fuel pool. Records after 1980 do not show the fuel rod box in the fuel pool. Significant work, including two re-racks, has been performed on the fuel pool since 1980.

Due to the unique nature of the special fuel rod box the licensee does not consider it likely that the fuel pins have been mistakenly shipped out as waste. They currently believe that the fuel rods are still in the pool or were shipped to GE. To Date, GE has not identified any records of receiving the fuel pins. The licensee believes that the fuel rod box/pins were moved in the past to allow work to be performed in the pool and the movement not recorded. The licensee has identified a fuel pin container in the pool that may contain the fuel pins, but they have not been able to look in the container due to the need for a special tool which GE has but the licensee does not.

Currently, the licensee believes that the condition involves a lack of control of special nuclear material not an actual loss. Since the licensee does not believe that the fuel pins are in the public domain, 10 CFR 20.2201 only requires a formal report to the Commission within 30 days.

Power Reactor	Event Number: 37596
FACILITY: MILLSTONE REGION: 1 UNIT: [1] [] STATE: CT RXTYPE: [1] GE-3,[2] CE,[3] W-4-LP	NOTIFICATION DATE: 12/14/2000 NOTIFICATION TIME: 18:02[EST] EVENT DATE: 12/14/2000
HQ OPS OFFICER: FANGIE JONES	+
EMERGENCY CLASS: N/A 10 CFR SECTION: APRE 50.72(b)(2)(vi) OFFSITE NOTIFICATION BAB2 20.2201(a)(1)(ii) LOST/STOLEN LNM>10X	+PERSON ORGANIZATION JOHN WHITE R1
UNIT SCRAM CODE RX CRIT INIT PWR INIT RX MOD	E CURR PWRI CURR RX MODE
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 Decommissioned
EVENT TEXT	+
THE LOCATION OF 2 FUEL PINS IN THE SPENT FUEL PORTION IN THE SENT FUEL PORTION IN T	stone Unit 1, a detailed 1 spent fuel pool is pool content records was rs identified a discrepancy o spent fuel pins that were search is underway; however, the 2,884 fuel assemblies y in the spent fuel pool. In records. if they were removed from the ld have been required and the d facility. Any other been immediately detected by there is a high degree of ricted area and are under the the spent fuel pool or al site. As a result, we are or members of the public has ertise is on-site to assist cation of the 2 fuel pins."

|Power Reactor

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of:)	
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company)	Docket No. 50-423-LA-3
(Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3)))	ASLBP No. 00–771–01–LA

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CONNECTICUT COALITION AGAINST MILLSTONE AND LONG ISLAND COALITION AGAINST MILLSTONE'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company ("NNECO") hereby files an initial response to the Connecticut Coalition Against Millstone ("CCAM") and the Long Island Coalition Against Millstone's ("CAM") (collectively, "Intervenors") "First Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Production" ("Intervenors' First Discovery Requests"), a facsimile of which was served on NNECO on March 21, 2000. This initial response is directed to the Intervenors' interrogatories and is filed within 14 days of service, consistent with 10 CFR § 2.740b(b). NNECO will separately respond to Intervenors' document production requests in accordance with the schedule set forth in 10 CFR § 2.741(d).

I. GENERAL OBJECTIONS

These general objections apply throughout NNECO's responses to Intervenors' First Discovery Requests.

A. NNECO objects to Intervenors' interrogatories to the extent that they request discovery of information or documents protected under the attorney-client privilege, the

All fresh and spent fuel is handled as special nuclear material ("SNM"). When Millstone Station receives SNM, the applicable Unit maintains control over it and tracks its movement until it is shipped off site. The organization with primary responsibility for planning and implementing actual fuel movements for each Unit is the Reactor Engineering department in the Plant Engineering organization. Upon arrival of SNM on site, Reactor Engineering personnel (or designees) perform initial inspection and receipt, and Reactor Engineering assumes responsibility for controlling and maintaining material status of the SNM at all times.

Other organizations support Reactor Engineering in implementing and tracking the movement of fuel. Qualified Operations or vendor personnel under the direction of Reactor Engineering perform actual fuel movements. Health Physics personnel conduct associated radiological surveys and monitoring. Operations personnel operate the spent fuel pool. Maintenance of the required equipment and facilities is planned and performed by the Maintenance organization, or by contract under the direction of Reactor Engineering. Procedures are developed and maintained by the Procedures group of the Station Director's organization. Analysis to support fuel management and spent fuel pool criticality control is provided by the Nuclear Fuels branch of the Nuclear Engineering department. Independent quality assurance for all these activities is provided by the Nuclear Oversight organization.

F. Errors

Interrogatory No. F - 1: Please identify all instances of errors (at Millstone or other nuclear plants) in managing, moving, placing or tracking fresh or spent fuel and all documents pertinent thereto.

NNECO's Response: NNECO objects to Interrogatory No. F-1 to the extent it asks to identify errors at "other nuclear plants" for the reasons explained in General Objection B.

With regard to Millstone, the following events have been identified as responsive to this request. NNECO does not concede, however, that these matters are relevant to the license amendment application at issue.

DATE	UNIT	DESCRIPTION
1/17/97	1	Determined that a spent fuel assembly in the spent fuel storage pool was not fully seated in the storage rack
1/14/97	1	Determined that an irradiated fuel assembly, stored in a damaged fuel container in a control rod storage rack, may have been an unanalyzed configuration
10/3/96	1	Determined that a spent fuel assembly in the spent fuel storage pool was not fully seated in the storage rack
3/6/96	1	Determined that new fuel assemblies moved over irradiated fuel assemblies in the spent fuel storage pool
11/14/95	1	A fuel assembly was placed in the spent fuel storage pool in the wrong orientation
10/12/95	2	A fuel assembly was moved to an incorrect location in the spent fuel storage pool and lowered until it came in contact with an assembly already placed in that location
4/26/95	3	While transferring fuel in the spent fuel storage pool, the crane operator inadvertently brought an assembly to the wrong location; the error was detected before the assembly was lowered
4/27/94	3	A fuel assembly was moved from one location in the spent fuel storage pool to an incorrect location and lowered until it came in contact with an assembly already placed in that location
6/12/87	1	A fuel assembly in the reactor core was found to be 90 degrees out of the proper orientation
3′18/85	2 :	A fuel assembly was lowered into contact with another assembly located in the fuel upender
9′18/74	1	Drop of unchanneled fuel assembly from main fuel grapple to spent fuel storage pool floor

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of: : Docket No. 50-423-LA-3

5 Northeast Nuclear Energy

Company

6 | Millstone Nuclear Power

Station, Unit No. 3 : MAY 11, 2000

DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL C. JENSEN

CERTIFIED COPY

Kathryn Orofino
Shea & Driscoll, LLC
Court Reporting Associates
16 Seabreeze Drive
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

11.5	Q And how long has and could you spell her
2	name please, Kathy.
:O.	A Emmons.
4	Q Emmons?
5	A = E-M-M-O-N-S.
6	Q How long has she been at Millstone?
7	A I couldn't say with any accuracy, but it's in
8	the neighborhood of six or seven years.
9	Q Do you know what her qualifications are
10	professionally?
11	A I I can find out precisely. I know she
12	has a bachelor's degree and a master's degree, I
13	believe it's in the master's degree is in safety.
14	She has 23 years of experience, all of it with
15	Northeast Utilities, the bulk of that being with
16	Connecticut Yankee, where she was an operations
17	technician, and she was a reactor engineering
18	technician for Connecticut Yankee prior to coming over
19	to Millstone.
20	Q And that was six or seven years ago?
21	A Yes, it was.
22	Q Now, there is a description here of 11

Α Yes.

23

And who compiled this list?

SHEA & DRISCOLL (860) 443-3592

events in response to Interrogatory F-1?

	A I believe the attorneys compiled it.
2	Q From what information?
S.	A From the information supplied by Kathy Emmons
4	and others.
5	Q Who are the others?
6	A I don't know.
7	Q Did you provide any of the information?
8	A Directly, no.
9	Q Did you attempt to retrieve any of the
10	information in response to this interrogatory?
11	A What do you mean by "retrieve"?
12	Q Go into some kind of a record repository
13	A No.
14	Q database.
15	A No, that was Kathy's job. That was her
16	assignment. I did review the list.
17	Q Now, do you know where she obtained where
18	she was able to locate these documents?
19	A I do not know the exact method that she used
20	to search out these documents, no.
21	Q What is your best understanding of where she
22	went to retrieve these documents?
23	A Well, there's several databases that she
24	could interrogate. There is a program called LIST,
25	which is Licensing I forget what the I stands for SHEA & DRISCOLL (860) 443-3592
2	OTHER & DAISCOID (000) 443-3332