March 28, 1994

Docket No. 50-286

Mr. William A. Josiger, Acting
Executive Vice President - Nuclear
Generation
Power Authority of the State of
New York
123 Main Street
White Plains, New York 10601

Dear Mr. Josiger:

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY

OPERATING LICENSES, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING, INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR

GENERATING UNIT NO. 3 (TAC NO. M89123)

The Commission has requested the Office of the Federal Register to publish the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing." This notice relates to your application for amendment dated March 24, 1994, which would revise Technical Specifications (TSs) plant staff requirements (specified in TS 6.2.2.i) to temporarily allow the operations manager to have held a senior reactor operator (SRO) license at a pressurized water reactor (PWR) other than Indian Point 3. The TS currently requires the operations manager to have or have held an SRO license at Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3 only. This proposed change is needed to allow management changes at the facility in an effort to improve overall performance. The proposed changes would be in effect for a period ending 3 years after restart from the 1993/1994 Performance Improvement Outage.

Sincerely, Original signed by:

9404180308 940328 PDR ADOCK 05000286 P PDR

Nicola F. Conicella, Project Manager Project Directorate I-1 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:

Notice of Consideration

cc w/enclosure: See next page

Distribution:

Docket File NConicella

NRC & Local PDRs OGC

PDI-1 Reading D. Hagan, MNBB 3701

SVarga ACRS (10)
JCalvo OPA

RACapra 150020 OC/LFDCB

CVogan CCowgill, RGN-I

LA:PDI-1	PM:PDI-1 w	D:PDI-1		
CVogan W	NConicella:smm	RACapra Qoc		 
3/28/94	3 /28/94	3 /28/94	/ /	 / /

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY FILENAME: IP389123.EXI

J -

Mr. William A. Josiger
Power Authority of the State
of New York

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Mr. Gerald C. Goldstein Assistant General Counsel Power Authority of the State of New York 1633 Broadway New York, New York 10019

Mr. S. David Freeman, President and Chief Executive Officer Power Authority of the State of New York 123 Main Street White Plains, New York 10601

Mr. Leslie M. Hill Resident Manager Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant P.O. Box 215 Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. Peter Kokolakis
Director Nuclear Licensing - PWR
Power Authority of the State
of New York
123 Main Street
White Plains, New York 10601

Ms. Donna Ross New York State Energy Office 2 Empire State Plaza 16th Floor Albany, New York 12223

Charles Donaldson, Esquire Assistant Attorney General New York Department of Law 120 Broadway New York, New York 10271 Indian Point Nuclear Generating Station Unit No. 3

Resident Inspector Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 337 Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. Charles W. Jackson
Manager, Nuclear Safety and
Licensing
Consolidated Edison Company
of New York, Inc.
Broadway and Bleakley Avenues
Buchanan, New York 10511

Mayor, Village of Buchanan 236 Tate Avenue Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. Richard L. Patch
Vice President - Appraisal
and Compliance Services
Power Authority of the State
of New York
123 Main Street
White Plains, New York 10601

Union of Concerned Scientists Attn: Mr. Robert D. Pollard 1616 P Street, NW, Suite 310 Washington, DC 20036

## POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK DOCKET NO. 50-286

# NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE. PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION. AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DRP-64 issued to the Power Authority of the State of New York (the licensee), for operation of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3 (Indian Point 3) located in Westchester County, New York.

The proposed amendment would revise Section 6.0 (Administrative Controls). Specifically, the plant staff requirement (specified in Technical Specification (TS) 6.2.2.1) would be revised to temporarily allow the operations manager to have held a senior reactor operator (SRO) license at a pressurized water reactor (PWR) other than Indian Point 3. The TS currently requires the operations manager to have or have held an SRO license at Indian Point 3 only. This proposed change is needed to allow management changes at the facility in an effort to improve overall performance. The proposed changes would be in effect for a period ending 3 years after restart from the 1993/1994 Performance Improvement Outage.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

Consistent with the criteria of 10 CFR 50.92, the enclosed application is judged to involve no significant hazards based on the following information:

(1) Does the proposed license amendment involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

### Response:

The proposed change does not involve an increase in the probability or consequences of a previously analyzed accident because licensed and non-licensed operator activities will continue to be directed by an individual who is required to maintain a current SRO [senior reactor operator] license. The proposed change to Technical Specification 6.2.2.1 in conjunction with existing Technical Specification 6.3.1 ensure that the Operations Manager will be a knowledgeable and qualified individual.

(2) Does the proposed license amendment create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

### Response:

The proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated because they do not affect plant configuration or plant design. The Assistant Operations Manager, who is responsible for shift

activities, is still required to maintain a knowledge of IP3 [Indian Point 3] plant design and operations through [having and maintaining an IP3 SRO license by] requalification training. The proposed change to Technical Specification 6.2.2.i in conjunction with existing Technical Specification 6.3.1 ensure that the Operations Manager will be a knowledgeable and qualified individual.

(3) Does the proposed amendment involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

## Response:

The proposed amendment does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety because the Assistant Operations Manager is still required to maintain a current SRO license. This ensures that shift activities are directed by an individual holding an SRO license. The proposed change to Technical Specification 6.2.2.i in conjunction with existing Technical Specification 6.3.1 ensure that the Operations Manager will be a knowledgeable and qualified individual.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination.

Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider

all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room P-223, Phillips Building, 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By May 3, 1994 , the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the local public

document room located at White Plains Public Library, 100 Martine Avenue, White Plains, New York 10601. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be

litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issum of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and

make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch. or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Robert A. Capra: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Mr. Charles M. Pratt. 10 Columbus Circle, New York, New York 10019, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or

request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated March 24, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555, and at the local public document room located at White Plains Public Library, 100 Martine Avenue, White Plains, New York 10601.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of March.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

31.2. Can

Nicola F. Conicella, Project Manager Project Directorate I-1 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation