

March 8, 1989

Docket No. 50-286

DISTRIBUTION

<u>Docket file</u>	NRC PDR
PDI-Rdg	LPDR
GLainas	BBoger
CVogan	RCapra
DNeighbors	OGC
DHagan	EJordan
BGrimes	TBarnhart (4)
WJones	EButcher
ACRS (10)	GPA/PA
ARM/LFMB	JJohnson, RI
	WHodges

Mr. John C. Brons  
Executive Vice President - Nuclear Generation  
Power Authority of the State of New York  
123 Main Street  
White Plains, New York 10601

Dear Mr. Brons:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT (TAC NO. 67409)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 84 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-64 for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application transmitted by letter dated February 24, 1988.

The amendment revises the Technical Specifications to prohibit control bank withdrawal when Tavg is greater than 350°F unless four reactor coolant pumps are operating.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next regular bi-monthly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY  
Joseph D. Neighbors, Senior Project Manager  
Project Directorate I-1  
Division of Reactor Projects, I/II

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 84 to DPR-64
2. Safety Evaluation

cc: w/enclosures  
See next page

\*SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE

OFC	:PDI-I	:PDI-I	:PDI-I	:RSB	* :OGC *	:	:
NAME	:CVogan w	:DNeighbors/bah	:RCapra	:WHodges	:MYoung	:	:
DATE	:3/8/89	:3/8/89	:3/8/89	:2/17/89*	:2/27/89*	:	:

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

\* SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE

8903170311 890308  
PDR ADOCK 05000286  
P PIC

DF01  
|||  
CPI cc

Docket No. 50-286

DISTRIBUTION

Docket file	NRC PDR
PDI-Rdg	LPDR
GLainas	BBoger
CVogan	RCapra
DNeighbors	OGC
DHagan	EJordan
BGrimes	TBarnhart (4)
WJones	EButcher
ACRS (10)	GPA/PA
ARM/LFMB	JJohnson, RI
	WHodges

Mr. John C. Brons  
 Executive Vice President - Nuclear Generation  
 Power Authority of the State of New York  
 123 Main Street  
 White Plains, New York 10601

Dear Mr. Brons:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT (TAC NO. 67409)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. to Facility Operating License No. DPR-64 for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application transmitted by letter dated February 24, 1988.

The amendment revises the Technical Specifications to prohibit control bank withdrawal above Tavg greater than 350°F unless four reactor coolant pumps are operating.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next regular bi-monthly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Joseph D. Neighbors, Senior Project Manager  
 Project Directorate I-1  
 Division of Reactor Projects, I/II

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. to DPR-64
2. Safety Evaluation

cc: w/enclosures  
 See next page

OFC	:PDI-1	:PDI-1	:PDI-1	:RSB	*	: OGC *	:
NAME	:CVogan <i>w</i>	:DNeighbors/bah	:RCapra	:WHodges	:	:	:
DATE	:3/6/89	:3/6/89	:3/6/89	:2/ /89	:	:	:

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

\* SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE



Mr. John C. Brons  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York

Indian Point Nuclear Generating  
Unit No. 3

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region I  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Resident Inspector  
Indian Point Nuclear Generating  
Unit No. 3  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Post Office Box 337  
Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. Gerald C. Goldstein  
Assistant General Counsel  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York  
10 Columbus Circle  
New York, New York 10019

Mr. Robert L. Spring  
Nuclear Licensing Engineer  
Consolidated Edison Company  
of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, New York 10003

Ms. Ellyn Weiss  
Harmon, Weiss and Jordan  
2001 S Street, N.W., Suite 430  
Washington, DC 20009

Mr. A. Klausmann, Vice President  
Quality Assurance  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York  
10 Columbus Circle  
New York, New York 10019

Mr. William Josiger  
Resident Manager  
Indian Point Nuclear Generating  
Unit No. 3  
Post Office Box 215  
Buchanan, New York 10511

Mayor, Village of Buchanan  
236 Tate Avenue  
Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. George M. Wilverding, Manager  
Nuclear Safety Evaluation  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York  
123 Main Street  
White Plains, New York 10601

Mr. F. X. Pindar  
Quality Assurance Superintendent  
Indian Point Nuclear Generating  
Unit No. 3  
Post Office Box 215  
Buchanan, New York 10511

Director, Technical Development  
Programs  
State of New York Energy Office  
Agency Building 2  
Empire State Plaza  
Albany, New York 12223

Mr. R. Beedle, Vice President  
Nuclear Support  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York  
123 Main Street  
White Plains, New York 10601

Mr. J. Phillip Bayne, President  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York  
123 Main Street  
White Plains, New York 10601

Power Authority of the State  
of New York

- 2 - Indian Point 3

cc

Mr. Peter Kokolakis, Director  
Nuclear Licensing  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York  
123 Main Street  
White Plains, New York 10601

Ms. Donna Ross  
New York State Energy Office  
2 Empire State Plaza  
16th Floor  
Albany, New York 12223

Mr. S. S. Zulla, Vice President  
Nuclear Engineering  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York  
123 Main Street  
White Plains, New York 10601

Mr. R. Burns, Vice President  
Nuclear Operations  
Power Authority of the State  
of New York  
123 Main Street  
White Plains, New York 10601

Charlie Donaldson, Esquire  
Assistant Attorney General  
New York Department of Law  
120 Broadway  
New York, New York 10271



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

DOCKET NO. 50-286

INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NO. 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 84  
License No. DPR-64

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Power Authority of the State of New York (the licensee) dated February 24, 1988, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-64 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 84, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

*Robert A. Capra*

Robert A. Capra, Director  
Project Directorate I-1  
Division of Reactor Projects, I/II

Attachment:  
Changes to the Technical  
Specifications

Date of Issuance: March 8, 1989

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 84

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-64

DOCKET NO. 50-286

Revise Appendix A as follows:

<u>Remove Pages</u>	<u>Insert Pages</u>
3.1-1	3.1-1
3.1-1a	3.1-1a
3.1-1b	3.1-1b
3.1-3	3.1-3
3.1-3a	3.1-3a
3.1-3b	3.1-3b
---	3.1-3c

### 3. LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

For the cases where no exception time is specified for inoperable components, this time is assumed to be zero.

#### 3.1 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

##### Applicability

Applies to the operating status of the Reactor Coolant System; operational components; heatup; cooldown; criticality; activity; chemistry and leakage.

##### Objective

To specify those limiting conditions for operation of the Reactor Coolant System which must be met to ensure safe reactor operation.

##### Specification

#### A. OPERATIONAL COMPONENTS

##### 1. Coolant Pumps

- a. When a reduction is made in the boron concentration of the reactor coolant, at least one reactor coolant pump or one residual heat removal pump (connected to the Reactor Coolant System ) shall be in operation.
- b. (1) When the reactor coolant system  $T_{avg}$  is greater than 350°F and electrical power is available to the reactor coolant pumps, and as permitted during special plant evolutions, at least one reactor coolant pump shall be in operation. All reactor coolant pumps may be de-energized for up to 1 hour provided no operations are permitted that would cause dilution of the reactor coolant system boron concentration, and core outlet temperature is maintained at least 10°F below saturation temperature.  
  
(2) When the reactor is subcritical and reactor coolant system  $T_{avg}$  is greater than 350°F, control bank withdrawal shall be prohibited unless four reactor coolant pumps are operating.
- c. When the reactor coolant system  $T_{avg}$  is greater than 200°F and less than 350°F, and as permitted during special plant evolutions, at least one reactor coolant pump or one residual heat removal pump (connected to the Reactor Coolant System) shall

be in operation. All reactor coolant pumps may be de-energized with RHR not in service for up to 1 hour provided no operations are permitted that would cause dilution of the reactor coolant system boron concentration, and core outlet temperature is maintained at least 10°F below saturation temperature.

- d. When the reactor coolant system  $T_{avg}$  is less than 200°F, but not in the refueling operation condition, and as permitted during special plant evolutions, at least one residual heat removal pump (connected to the Reactor Coolant System) shall be in operation.
- e. When the reactor is critical and above 2% rated power, except for natural circulation tests, at least two reactor coolant pumps shall be in operation.
- f. The reactor shall not be operated at power levels above 10% rated power with less than four (4) reactor coolant loops in operation.
- g. If the requirements of 3.1.A.1.e and 3.1.A.1.f. above cannot be satisfied, the reactor shall be brought to the hot shutdown condition within 1 hour.
- h. A reactor coolant pump (RCP) may not be started (or jogged) when the RCS cold leg temperature ( $T_{cold}$ ) is at or below 326°F, with no other RCP's operating, unless RCS make up is not in excess of RCS losses, and one of the following requirements is met:

(1) The OPS is operable, steam generator pressure is not decreasing, and the temperature of each steam generator is less than or equal to the coldest  $T_{cold}$ ;

Or

(2) The OPS is operable, the temperature of the hottest steam generator exceeds the coldest  $T_{cold}$  by no more than 64°F, pressurizer level is at or below 75 percent, and  $T_{cold}$  is as per Figure 3.1.A-1;

Or

(3) The OPS is inoperable, steam generator pressure is not decreasing, the temperature of each steam generator is less than or equal to the coldest  $T_{cold}$ , pressurizer level is at or below 75 percent, and the RCS pressure does not exceed that given by Curve I on Fig. 3.1.A-2;

Or

3.1.1-a

(4) The OPS is inoperable, the temperature of the hottest steam generator exceeds the coldest  $T_{cold}$  by no more than  $64^{\circ}F$ , and pressurizer level and RCS pressure do not exceed the boundaries given on Fig. 3.1.A-4.

- i. Additional pumps may not be started (or jogged) unless the OPS is operable and the pressurizer level is not increasing.

(1) Specification 3.1.A.1.i above may be modified to allow the OPS inoperable, providing the temperature of each steam generator has remained less than or equal to the coldest  $T_{cold}$  since the first RCP start, pressurizer level is at or below 75 percent, and the RCS pressure does not exceed that given by Curve I on Fig. 3.1.A-2.

(2) Specification 3.1.A.1.i above may be further modified to allow the OPS inoperable and the temperature of the hottest steam generator to be no greater than  $64^{\circ}F$  higher than the coldest  $T_{cold}$ , provided that pressurizer level is at or below 75 percent and RCS pressure does not exceed that given by Curve II on Fig. 3.1.A-2.

- j. Following the start of one or more RCP's and prior to reaching  $326^{\circ}F$ , the RCS pressure shall not exceed that given by Curves I and II on Fig. 3.1.A-3 as appropriate.

3.1.1-b

## Basis

When the boron concentration of the Reactor Coolant System is to be reduced the process must be uniform to prevent sudden reactivity changes in the reactor. Mixing of the reactor coolant will be sufficient to maintain a uniform boron concentration if at least one reactor coolant pump or one residual heat removal pump is running while the change is taking place. The residual heat removal pump will circulate the primary system volume in approximately one half hour. The pressurizer is of no concern because of the low pressurizer volume and because the pressurizer boron concentration will be higher than that of the rest of the reactor coolant.

Heat transfer analyses show that reactor heat equivalent to 10% of rated power (P-7) can be removed with natural circulation only (1); hence, the requirement for one operating RCP above 350°F and two operating RCP's above 2% rated power (connected to the RCS) provides sufficient heat removal capability for removing decay heat.

The restriction on control bank withdrawal with less than four reactor coolant pumps operating when the reactor is subcritical and RCS  $T_{avg}$  is greater than 350°F is necessary to conform with the assumptions used in the transient analyses for the uncontrolled control rod withdrawal event from subcritical condition. The FSAR safety analysis for uncontrolled control rod assembly withdrawal from a subcritical condition assumes all four reactor coolant pumps to be operating within the temperature range of concern. Using this assumption the DNB design basis is satisfied for the combination of the two banks of the maximum combined worth withdrawn at maximum speed. Since there is no mechanism by which the control rods can be automatically withdrawn due to a control system error when  $T_{avg}$  is between 350°F and the no-load temperature, such an event can only be initiated as a result of human error during rod manipulation. Prohibiting control bank withdrawal with less than four RCPs operating provides assurance that the plant is operated within the accident analysis assumptions.

The reactor shall not be operated at power levels above 10% rated power with less than four (4) reactor coolant loops in operation until safety analyses for less than four loop operation have been submitted by the licensee and approval for less than four loop operation at power levels above 10% rated power has been granted by the Commission. (See license condition 2.C. (3))

Each of the pressurizer code safety valves is designed to relieve 420,000 lbs. per hr. of saturated steam at the valve set point.

If no residual heat were removed by the Residual Heat Removal System the amount of steam which could be generated at safety valve relief pressure would be less than half the capacity of a single valve. One valve therefore provides adequate protection for overpressurization.

The combined capacity of the three pressurizer safety valves is greater than the maximum surge rate resulting from complete loss of load (2) without a direct reactor trip or any other control.

The requirement that 150 kw of pressurizer heaters and their associated controls be capable of being supplied electrical power from an emergency bus provides assurance that these heaters can be energized during a loss of offsite power condition to maintain natural circulation at hot stand down.

The power operated relief valves (PORVS) operate to relieve RCS pressure below the setting of the pressurizer code safety valves. These relief valves have remotely operated block valves to provide a positive shutoff capability should a relief valve become inoperable. The electrical power for both the relief valves and the block valves is capable of being supplied from an emergency power source to ensure the ability to seal off possible RCS leakage paths.

The limit on maximum indicated  $T_{avg}$  provides assurance that Reactor Coolant System Temperatures are maintained within the normal steady-state envelope of operation assumed in the FSAR transient and accident analyses and in WCAP-10704, "Safety Evaluation of Indian Point Unit 3 with Asymmetric Tube Plugging Among Steam Generators." WCAP-10704 assumed a maximum full-power  $T_{cold}$  of 546.9°F (including control deadband and measurement uncertainties). As shown in Tables II-1 and II-2 of WCAP-10704, a maximum indicated  $T_{avg}$  of 576°F (including 2°F measurement uncertainty) is calculated for a full power  $T_{cold}$  of 546.9°F at a flow of 323,600 gpm. Restricting maximum  $T_{avg}$  to 576°F (indicated) at all power levels will preserve the steady-state DNB margins assured in WCAP-10704.

Reactor vessel head vents are provided to exhaust noncondensable gases and/or steam from the primary system that could inhibit natural circulation core cooling. The OPERABILITY of at least one reactor vessel head vent path ensures the capability exists to perform this function.

The valve redundancy of the reactor coolant system vent paths serves to minimize the probability of inadvertent or irreversible actuation while ensuring that a single failure of a vent valve power supply or control system does not prevent isolation of the vent path.

The function, capabilities, and testing requirements of the reactor coolant system vent systems are consistent with the requirements of Item II.B.1 of NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements", November, 1980.

The OPS is designed to relieve the RCS pressure for certain unlikely incidents to prevent the peak RCS pressure from exceeding the 10 CFR 50, Appendix G, limits. "Arming" means that the motor operated valve (MOV) is in the open position. This can be accomplished either automatically by the OPS when the RCS temperature is less than or equal to 326°F or manually by the control room operator.

3.1-3a

The start of an RCP is allowed when the steam generators' temperature does not exceed the RCS and the OPS is operable (i.e., both PORVs available). During all modes of operation, the steam generator temperature may be measured using the Control Room instrumentation or, as a backup, from a contact reading off the steam generator's shells.

Most start-ups will satisfy these requirements as provided in Specification 3.1.A.1.d.(1)(a). In order to allow start of an RCP when the steam generators are hotter than the RCS, requirements for a pressurizer bubble (gas or steam) are developed. During this Heat Input initiation event the RCS fluid temperature rise is considerably more rapid than the reactor vessel metal temperature rise. Since OPS utilizes a setpoint curve (Fig. 3.1.A-2, curve II) and the temperature measured is the fluid temperature, and not the reactor vessel metal, it is necessary to shift to the right the OPS setpoint curve to ensure the pressure does not exceed the allowable (appendix G) values for the vessel. For the conditions when the OPS is inoperable, additional requirements are developed for the pressurizer bubble, RCS pressure and temperature.

Due to the rate of energy transferred to the RCS, when the RCP is started, the resultant rate of temperature rise and the pressure increase are strongly dependent on the temperature difference between the RCS and the steam generators. The presence of a pressurizer bubble provides for a more moderate pressure increase. The bubble size is sufficient to prevent the RCS from going water solid for 10 minutes during which time operator action will terminate the pressure transient. Pressurizer level refers to indicated level and includes instrument uncertainty. The preventive measures for a Mass Input initiating event (i.e., SI pump or charging pumps) as well as the Heat Input initiating event are described in References (3), (4) and (5). (Also refer to Specification 3.3.A.8. Safety Injection and Residual Heat Removal Systems). The OPS need not be operable when the RCS temperature is less than 326°F if the RCS is depressurized and vented with an equivalent opening of at least 2.00 square inches. This opening is adequate to relieve the worst case analyzed.

The OPS arming temperature of 326°F permits the performance of an RCS hydrostatic test (see Fig. 4.3-1) without activating the OPS.

Upon OPS inoperability, the RCS may be heated above 370°F. This temperature is that value for which the RCS heatup and cooldown curves (Figures 3.1-1 and 3.1-2) permit pressurization to the setting of the pressurizer safety valves. Accordingly, with an inoperable OPS and an RCS temperature 370°F, the pressurizer safety valves will preclude violation of the 10 CFR 50, Appendix G, curves. In addition, the OPS need not be operable upon satisfying the conditions of Specification 3.1.A.8.b. (3) which requires the presence of a pressurizer bubble to preclude RCS overpressurization during inadvertent mass inputs. Specification 3.1.A.8.b(3) also places restrictions on the number of SI pumps capable of feeding the RCS (see Specification 3.3.A.8). An SI pump can be rendered

3.1-3b

capable of feeding the RCS is, for example, its switch is in the trip pull-out position, or if at least one valve in the flow path from the SI pump to the RCS is closed and locked (if manual) or de-energized (if motor operated). This section has also been revised in accordance with the results of tests conducted on the capsule "T" specimens (Reference 6).

#### References

- 1) FSAR Section 14.1.6
- 2) FSAR Section 14.1.8
- 3) Letter dated 10/25/78 "Summary of Changes to IP-3 Plant Operating Procedures in Order to Preclude RCS Overpressurization"
- 4) Letter dated 2/28/76 "Conceptual Design of the Reactor Coolant Overpressure Protection System" and response to NRC questions.
- 5) IP-3 Low Temperature Overpressurization Protection System Analysis, NYPA Report dated 8/24/84.
- 6) WCAP-9491 "Analysis of Capsule T from IP-3 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program", J.A. Davidson, S.L. Anderson, W. T. Kaiser, April 1979.



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 84 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-64

POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NO. 3

DOCKET NO. 50-286

INTRODUCTION

By letter dated February 24, 1988, the Power Authority of the State of New York (the licensee) requested an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-64 for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3. This change would prohibit control bank withdrawal when Tavg is greater than 350°F unless four reactor coolant pumps are operating.

EVALUATION

The FSAR Safety Analysis for steamline breaks, rod ejection, and control bank withdrawal from the subcritical state (the limiting zero power transients) assume all four reactor coolant pumps are operating at initial conditions. However, the existing Technical Specification 3.1.A.b. requires at least one reactor coolant pump be in operation when the reactor coolant system average temperature is above 350°F. The licensee has reviewed the steam line break and rod ejection analyses, and finds that under the reduced flow conditions of only one reactor coolant pump in operation, the FSAR conclusions are not impacted. For the uncontrolled control bank withdrawal, the licensee has found that the DNB design basis may not be met when only one pump is in operation.

This proposed Technical Specification change would prohibit control bank withdrawal with less than four reactor coolant pumps in operation at reactor coolant system temperatures greater than 350°F, thereby providing assurance that the plant is operated within the accident analysis assumptions and that the margin of safety as defined in the FSAR analysis is not reduced.

Since the proposed change makes the Technical Specifications conform to the FSAR Safety Analysis and constitutes an additional restriction not presently included in the Technical Specifications, we find it acceptable.

The new Technical Specification page 3.1-1 deletes an expired Limiting Condition for Operation related to service water temperature. This is an administrative change that was not mentioned in the May 4, 1988 Federal Register notice and has no effect on the staff's initial determination.

8903170327 890308  
PDR ADDCK 05000286  
F FDC

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves a change in the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Sec 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: March 8, 1989

PRINCIPAL CONTRIBUTOR:

Joseph D. Neighbors