

From: Allen Howe
To: Holahan, Patricia
Date: Tue, Aug 15, 2000 5:17 PM
Subject: Call from Bill Brach RE: NAC-UMS

Bill Brach called today with two questions related to the NAC-UMS Amendment 1. He was seeking clarification before calling M. Meisner.

Q1: What decision has been made wrt to posting generic rulemaking schedules on the web?

A: As per the action plan the WG is to consider this but the current decision is to not post that info on the web.

Q2: When will the decision be made for DFR on the NAC-UMS amendment 1?

A: As per the action plan we will compare draft criteria for DFR to the NAC amendment the week of 9/11 and move forward with the decision from there.

I also provided him some feedback on Bill Kane's philosophy on the approach to the DFR process. e.g. Allow for broad use of DFR but set a "just right threshold" for evaluating wether a comment is significant and adverse.

CC: Bush, Stephanie, Horn, Merri



From: Allen Howe
To: GWIA:TThompson@[NACINTL.COM]
Date: Tue, Aug 22, 2000 1:25 PM
Subject: Re: Rulemaking for the NAC-UMS Storage (Standard)

Tom - Beyond the discussion of dates given at the meeting held at the end of July, I cannot speak to the dates provided below other than to say they differ from those discussed at that meeting. As was stated at that meeting, the major milestone for the NAC-UMS amendment #1 is the publication of the "initial certificate effective date" for the *initial* NAC-UMS rulemaking. That date is inserted into the NAC-UMS amendment #1 documents.

Allen

>>> "Tom Thompson" <TThompson@NACINTL.COM> 8/22/00 10:45:24 AM >>>
Allen,

I used an incorrect e-mail address previously. I think this may have been forwarded to you, but just in case, I repeat it here. Thanks.

I have been requested by NAC senior management to "project" a potential schedule for issuance of the NAC-UMS Storage (Standard) CoC, and thus an anticipated schedule for the Rulemaking for the UMS-MY Amendment. From previous discussions I understand that any comments on the specifics of the schedules and dates may be limited, but I would appreciate it if you would indicate whether I have left any significant steps out of my projected rulemaking schedule:

10/02/00 NAC anticipates NRC will issue CoC and SER (NAC-UMS Storage (Standard))
[Based on NRC's stated 11-month Rulemaking Period]

10/23/00 NAC anticipates Federal Register Publication of Final Rule for NAC-UMS Storage (Standard)
[Effective 30 days from this date]

11/22/00 NAC anticipates NAC-UMS Storage (Standard) CoC effective

11/27/00 NAC anticipates NRC will forward Draft CoC and SER for UMS-MY Amendment to the Federal Register

Thank you for your help. I will appreciate your response at your earliest convenience.

Tom Thompson
Director, Licensing
NAC International
770-447-1144

CC: Bush, Stephanie, Hall, James Randall, Holahan, P...