

**From:** Tim McGinty  
**To:** Susan Shankman  
**Date:** Mon, Aug 7, 2000 5:37 PM  
**Subject:** Request Meeting with You to Discuss Maine Yankee Direct Final

Susan:

SFPO staff (Tim, Eric, Chris Jackson, Phil Brochman and Wayne (and/or Earl or Jack)) would like to meet with you, tomorrow (8/8) if possible, to discuss the attached with respect to the need to make a decision on pursuing direct final rulemaking for the NAC-UMS Maine Yankee amendment.

The **first attachment** is an IMNS Action Plan to resolve issues identified 1) at a public meeting with NAC/Maine Yankee on 7/28, and 2) through interactions with Bill Kane.

The **second attachment** is why we need to meet with you, and deals with Phase 1 of the IMNS Action Plan, i.e., the development of Pro's and Con's with pursuing direct final rulemaking for Maine Yankee. These are intended to be suitable for discussions with Trish Holahan and a briefing of Bill Kane.

Please note that **I am also forwarding this e-mail to IMNS**. The SFPO licensing section recommendation to pursue direct final rulemaking for the Maine Yankee amendment does **not** represent a consensus. However, I wanted to let IMNS know our recommendation, and basis, to facilitate future discussions with Trish and yourself, and then Bill Kane.

Thanks, Tim McGinty

**CC:** Allen Howe, Catherine Jensen, Christopher Jackso...

C/8/5