

From: Kimberly Gruss
To: Carlson, Donald, Easton, Earl, Hall, James Randa...
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2000 6:20 PM
Subject: Re: Mike Meisner of Maine Yankee called Bill Brach

Ginny, Steve-

Here you go, as requested by Tim. FYI... this information will be updated in ISG-11 as soon as I can get the Wesflex review done.

Kim

>>> Tim McGinty 02/24 5:17 PM >>>

Not long after we hung up with NAC, Mike Meisner of Maine Yankee called Bill Brach. Wayne Hodges, Bill and I spoke to him. He was distressed that we suggested a pin failure analysis, up front, for dealing with the 2A damaged fuel assemblies, and got away from the 50.59 approach discussed yesterday.

He understands the high burnup fuel criteria that we forwarded, and that will still be approached the same way that we discussed earlier today.

However, for damaged fuel, he made the pitch that a rigorous 50.59-like type analysis should be adequate if they commit to that (for determining whether an assembly must be canned or not). Wayne and Bill did not say no to this approach, although we did discuss the risks we feel are associated with this approach, at this point in time.

I wanted to inform you. See Wayne for more information, I just don't have the time to type it all in.

Kim/Steve/Ginny: Regarding: The ISG-11 Rev for the future information that we provided today to NAC. Bill Brach wants that docketed for the public. Kim, please provide a hard copy to Steve or Ginny, for docketing under the NAC-MPC, 72-1025 (it is a one page sheet of information).

Tim

CC: Hodges, M. Wayne, Tharpe, Virginia

C/14